

## PROJECT OBJECTIVES

### Goal:

- Demonstrate the feasibility of significant thermal storage for dish Stirling systems to leverage their existing high performance to greater capacity
- Demonstrate key components of a latent storage and transport system enabling on-dish storage with low exergy losses
- Provide a technology path to a 25kW<sub>e</sub> system with 6 hours of storage

### Innovation:

- Leverage high performance heat pipes to support feasible system layout
- Develop and test high temperature, high performance PCM storage
- Optimize storage configuration for cost and exergy performance
- Latent storage *and* transport matches Stirling cycle isothermal input<sup>1</sup>

### Q1 Milestones:

- Identify at least 1 salt and 2 metallic PCM's for in-depth evaluation and sample testing

<sup>1</sup>Andraka, C.E., Rawlinson, K.S., Siegel, N.P., "Technical Feasibility of Storage on Large Dish Stirling Systems," Sandia report SAND2012-8352 (2012).

## APPROACH

- PCM development
  - Literature searches and modeling to develop candidate eutectics
  - Sample fabrication and characterization to develop properties
  - Modeling of compatibility with potential containment
  - Long-term testing of compatibility
- Storage optimization
  - Advanced modeling of PCM/heat pipe interfaces including free convection in combined solid/liquid states
  - Exergy and cost optimization
  - 2-D and 3-D models
- Proof-of-concept hardware subscale demonstration

<sup>3</sup>Shabgard, H., Faghri, A., Numerical Simulation of Latent Heat Thermal Energy Storage (LHTES) Systems for Solar Steam Generation Applications, to be submitted to peer-reviewed journal (2013).

<sup>4</sup>Shabgard, H., Robak, C.W., Bergman, T.L., Faghri, A., "Heat transfer and exergy analysis of cascaded latent heat storage with gravity-assisted heat pipes for concentrating solar power applications," Solar Energy 86 (3) (2012) 816–830.

## Q1 KEY RESULTS AND OUTCOMES

- Contract negotiated and placed with UCONN for advanced 2-phase PCM modeling based on past publications
- Basic PCM heat transfer 2-D models built and tested to explore applicability of several 2-D representations
- Potential salt and metallic eutectic PCM's identified through FactSage models and literature searches, melting point as primary screening

| System                          |                  |                    | Weight Percent |       |       | T <sub>m</sub> | ΔH <sub>m</sub> | Mass for       | Volume                      |
|---------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|-------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| A                               | B                | C                  | A              | B     | C     | [°C]           | [J/g]           | 400kWh<br>(kg) | 400kWh<br>(m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| KCl                             |                  |                    |                |       |       | 771            | 353             | 4079           | 2.67                        |
| NaCl                            |                  |                    |                |       |       | 801            | 482             | 2988           | 1.92                        |
| CaF <sub>2</sub>                | LiF              |                    | 44.6           | 55.4  |       | 767            | 790             | 1846           | 0.85                        |
| CaCl <sub>2</sub>               | KCl              | KCaCl <sub>3</sub> | 59.82          | 40.18 |       | 748            | 246             | 5854           | 3.23                        |
| CaF <sub>2</sub>                | KF               |                    | 18.89          | 81.11 |       | 782            | 405             | 3556           | 1.72                        |
| MgF <sub>2</sub>                | KF               |                    | 13.42          | 86.58 |       | 783            | 501             | 2874           | 1.42                        |
| NaF                             | ZrF <sub>4</sub> |                    | 51.7           | 48.3  |       | 755            | -               | -              | -                           |
| KF                              | AlF <sub>3</sub> | ZrF <sub>4</sub>   | 71.67          | 3.35  | 24.98 | 750            | -               | -              | -                           |
| Ca                              | Si               |                    | 97.25          | 2.75  |       | 785            | 309             | 4660           | 3.33                        |
| Cu                              | Si               |                    | 82.97          | 17.03 |       | 802            | 267             | 5393           | 0.89                        |
| Al                              | Cu               | Si                 | 2.35           | 82.55 | 15.1  | 763            | 258             | 5581           | 0.92                        |
| Cu                              | Mg               | Si                 | 50.25          | 24.51 | 25.22 | 742            | 548             | 2628           | 0.82                        |
| Mg                              | Si               | Zn                 | 48.25          | 37.84 | 13.91 | 742            | 548             | 2628           | 0.82                        |
| Al                              | Cu               | Mg                 | 7.46           | 84.88 | 7.67  | 775            | 216             | 6667           | 1.20                        |
| Li <sub>4</sub> Si <sub>2</sub> |                  |                    |                |       |       | 752            | -               | -              | -                           |
| Li <sub>3</sub> Si <sub>4</sub> |                  |                    |                |       |       | 755            | -               | -              | -                           |
| Li <sub>2</sub> Sn <sub>5</sub> |                  |                    |                |       |       | 793            | -               | -              | -                           |
| MgCu <sub>2</sub>               |                  |                    |                |       |       | 797            | 276             | 5217           | -                           |

## NEXT QUARTER

- Downselect PCM candidates
  - manufacture samples for characterization
  - Start thermal properties, conductivity for optimization
  - Start HSC thermochemistry evaluation to determine cost-effective containment
  - Manufacture as many samples as possible to maximize successful final selection
- Continue to develop and validate 2-D PCM model
  - Improve 2-D configuration fidelity to 3-D actual cases
  - Add natural convection enhancement of heat transfer
  - Realistic boundary conditions and transient modes
- Heat pipe wick fabrication (FY12 funds)