



## Making the Case: Demonstrating the Integrity of PWR Spent Nuclear Fuel During Long-term Storage and Subsequent Transportation

Ned Larson      DOE Office of Nuclear Energy  
Sylvia Saltzstein      Sandia National Laboratories  
Brady Hanson      Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

## EPRI ESCP Fall Workshop

Charlotte, NC

November 5, 2019

SAND2019-13326 PE

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc. for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

# Program is Focused on Obtaining In-Service Data

## DOE/EPRI High Burnup Confirmatory Data Project

Goal: *To provide confirmatory data for models, future SNF dry storage cask design, to support license renewals, and new licenses for ISFSIs*

### Steps

1. Loaded a commercially licensed TN-32B storage cask with 4 common cladding alloys of high burn-up fuel in the North Anna Nuclear Power Plan storage pool
2. 63 thermocouples inserted within cask
3. Gas samples taken before going to pad
4. Dried using industry standard practices (completed November 2017)
5. Currently storing at utility dry cask storage site for 10 years
6. After ten years, the US DOE will test rods to quantify mechanical properties. 25 Sibling Pins are currently being tested to obtain baseline mechanical properties.

The Research Project Cask stored at North Anna with a solar panel to power the internal thermocouple data acquisition system.



Photo courtesy of Dominion Energy

# Thermal Profiles:

*The TN-32B Research Project Cask Bounds Most Cask Loadings*

	TN-32 Safety Evaluation Report (generic)	TN-32B Research Project Cask License Amendment
Maximum burnup (GWd/MTU)	$\leq 45$	$\leq 60$
Maximum decay heat per assembly	1.02 kW	1.5 kW
Total decay heat	32.7 kW	36.96 kW
Minimum decay time	7-10 years	4.81 years
Est. Peak cladding temperature (PCT)	328°C	348°C



Photo courtesy of Dominion Energy

## Slide 3

---

**SSJ1** the FSAR thermal numbers don't match in this and the next slide.

Saltzstein, Sylvia J, 8/1/2019

# Thermal Profiles:

## Round Robin Analysis Comparison with Measured Data



- Steady state PCTs from all models and measurements significantly lower than the design licensing basis:

Parameter	FSAR	LAR	Best-Estimate	HBU Cask Measurements
PCT (model vs data)	348°C	318°C	254-288°C	229°C
Heat Loadouts	36.96kW	32.934kW	30.456kW	30.456kW
Ambient Temperature	100°F	93.5°F	75°F	75°F
Design Specifics	Gaps	Gaps	Gaps	No Gaps?

FSAR: Final Safety Analysis Report

LAR: License Amendment Report (submitted after refinement of model inputs to FSAR)

Courtesy of Al Csontos, Co-chair of EPRI ESCP Thermal Subcommittee

The aluminum basket expands and closes the gaps, but we don't know by how much.

Current Work is focused on identifying biases and conservatisms that overestimate thermal environment.

## Slide 4

---

**SSJ2** Why the question mark? after gaps?

Saltzstein, Sylvia J, 8/1/2019

**SSJ3** This is for the Demo cask.


Saltzstein, Sylvia J, 8/1/2019

**SSJ4** aluminum basket will expand and close gaps, but we don't know by how much.

Saltzstein, Sylvia J, 8/1/2019

# Stress Profiles:

When Temperature is Lower, Rod Internal Pressure is Lower (< 5 MPa at 25° C)



Billone, M., Burtseva, T., "Results of Ring Compression Tests", SFWD-SFWST-2018-000510, ANL-18/36. September 2018.

## Slide 5

---

**SSJ5** The newer fuels get higher burnup, but the rods are smaller and the initial pressure is lower to begin with.  
Saltzstein, Sylvia J, 8/1/2019

# Stress Profiles:

## Modeled Hoop Stress from Rod Internal Pressure

Table 1. Maximum Hoop Stress (MPa) 400°C Peak Temperature

Profile	Vacuum (0.004 atm)	Medium Flow (1 atm)	High Flow (6.8 atm)
<b>Fuel</b>			
10x10	40.0	43.8	41.7
17x17	49.9	53.4	50.5
17x17 IFBA	84.4	88.1	86.3

Model results similar to the Research Project Cask conditions show 53.4MPa @ 400°C, but the Research Project Cask only reached 229 °C.

Table 2. End of Life Rod Internal Pressure (MPa) 400°C Peak Temperature

Profile	Vacuum (0.004 atm)	Medium Flow (1 atm)	High Flow (6.8 atm)
<b>Fuel</b>			
10x10	5.4	6.1	6.4
17x17	6.2	6.8	7.0
17x17 IFBA	10.6	11.1	11.5


Table 3. Maximum Plenum Temperature (all fuel types)

Profile	Temperature (°C)
Vacuum (0.004 atm)	264
Medium (1 atm)	348
High (6.8 atm)	397

# Stress Profiles:

## Ductile-Brittle Transition Temperature using Ring Compression Tests (RCT)

Apparent threshold for reduced ductility with radial hydride treatment at >90MPa Hoop Stress.



# Mechanical Properties: Summary

The lower PCTs mean:


- Less hydrogen is dissolved in the cladding during the drying process.
  - This means that less hydrogen is available to precipitate into a radial orientation during thermal stabilization
- The RIP is lower.
  - Lower RIP results in lower cladding hoop stress and contributes to less radial hydride formation during the drying process.
- Industry loading of high burnup fuel has margin relative to the 400° C regulatory guidance.

Benchmarking of the PCT data from the Research Project Cask supports the ability to model other dry storage systems without having to perform testing for each specific vertical design.

# What are Realistic Mechanical Loads?

- most mechanical loads occur during transportation

# Mechanical Loading: Multimodal Transportation and Handling Tests




Photos provided by Steve Ross, PNNL

- 1) Heavy-haul truck from within Spain ~ June 14, 2017
- 2) Coastal sea shipment from Santander to large northern European port ~ June 27, 2017
- 3) Ocean transport from Europe to Baltimore
- 4) Commercial rail shipment from Baltimore to Pueblo, Colorado ~ Aug 3, 2017
- 5) Testing completed at the Transportation Technology Center, Inc.
- 6) Return trip to ENSA, September 5, 2017


Data was collected throughout all legs of the transport as well as the transfers between legs.

# Mechanical Loading:

*Maximum Strains and Accelerations from all Transportation Tests*



Measured in g



Measured in  $\mu\epsilon$

Measured yield stress levels for irradiated SNF cladding is  $\sim 7000 - 9000 \mu\epsilon$


# Stress Profiles:

## Cyclic Integrated Reversible-Bending Fatigue Tester (CIRFT) tests fatigue to failure


Goal: To determine the number of cycles to fatigue failure as a function of rod curvature and cladding stress and strain

- Both static bending and cyclic fatigue
  - Developed at ORNL under an NRC program and continued with DOE:NE.
- Fatigue life depends on the level of loading
- Pellet-clad and pellet-pellet bonding provides additional stiffness


J-A Wang et. al. Mechanical Fatigue Testing of High-Burnup Fuel for Transportation Applications, NUREG/CR-7198/R1 ORNL/TM-2016/689, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, January 2017.



CIRFT tester out of the hot cell (above) and in the hot cell (right) testing High-Burnup Fuel samples.




Images courtesy of ORNL.



Most rods break cleanly between two pellets.

# Mechanical Loading:

Will Fatigue Failure Occur During Normal Conditions of Transport?



Fatigue design curve (—): O'Donnell and Langer, "Fatigue Design Basis for Zircaloy Components," Nucl. Sci. Eng. 20, 1, 1964. (cited in NUREG-0800, Chapter 4)


Data plot courtesy of Ken Geelhood, PNNL  
The large circles are ORNL HBR data

J-A Wang et. al. Mechanical Fatigue Testing of High-Burnup Fuel for Transportation Applications, NUREG/CR-7198/R1 ORNL/TM-2016/689, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, January 2017.

- Large red and green circles represent CIRFT data.
- Horizontal red line represents highest recorded stress value from the multimodal tests.
- Bold black line represents failure criteria above which failure may occur. Stress levels and the number of fatigue cycles from the multimodal tests are well below the failure limits.

# Spent Fuel Transportability Following Extended Storage –

## Cladding Fatigue Damage (Baltimore to Pueblo)



Damage Fraction of 1 represents failure. Strain data is 12 orders of magnitude below fatigue failure. Accumulated fatigue damage is approximately zero.



# Developing a Model to Assess Risks in Canister Integrity

# Atmospheric Stress Corrosion Cracking:

SCC Requires 3 Concurrent Conditions




Photo of canister weld. Photo: SNL




Dust on canister surface at Calvert Cliffs (EPRI 2014)

AT SOME ISFSI SITES, AN AGGRESSIVE ENVIRONMENT (CHLORIDE-RICH SALT AEROSOLS) WILL BE PRESENT, AND ALL THREE CRITERIA WILL BE MET.



# Atmospheric SCC of Dry Storage Canisters:

## SNL INTEGRATED MECHANISTIC/PROBABILISTIC MODEL FOR CANISTER SCC





**Goal:** Improve ability to predict timing and location of potential canister penetration by SCC cracks

# Canister Surface Environment:

## Determine Brine Compositions and Evolution with Time

### 1) Dust sampling: Maine Yankee ISFSI, Oct. 2019

- Samples placed in 4 overpacks in 2017
- 8 Dust collectors sampled and replaced
- Corrosion test specimens examined (different loads and surface finishes)



### 2) Experimental Evaluation of Brine Stability and Evolution


#### *Mg-chloride brine stability at elevated temperatures:*

- Experimental testing indicates chloride loss, conversion to less-deliquescent salts (dry-out?)
- Mg-hydroxychlorides (observed experimentally)
- Reactions controls deliquescence RH, brine composition & properties

#### *Current Experiments in the Lab:*

- Degassing tests at higher air flow and realistic humidities for longer duration
- *Characterization of Mg-hydroxychlorides*

*EDS element maps: depletion of Cl<sup>-</sup> in MgCl<sub>2</sub> droplets with exposure time*



# SNL Corrosion Studies

## Pitting, pit-to-crack transition, and crack growth

### PRIMARY FOCUSES:


- Determine the relationship between surface environment and damage distributions/rates
- Determine the effects of material condition (microstructure, stress) on corrosion distributions/rates

### PITTING EXPERIMENTS: LONG TERM EXPOSURE IN CANISTER-RELEVANT CONDITIONS

- Generating statistical pitting damage sets as a function of environment and material properties




Statistical Pitting Damage Sets



- Material condition and environment govern pit morphology, possibly increasing cracking susceptibility



Pitting *f*(environment)




### SCC TESTING:

- High-fidelity fracture mechanics testing of pit-to-crack transition and crack growth rate
- Development and interpretation requires knowledge of:
  - Brine Evolution
  - Corrosion damage *f*(environment and material condition)




# What is the Consequence of a Through-Wall Crack?




Study system physics with simplified conditions

- Start with slot orifices with SCC-like dimensions
- Non-radioactive surrogates ( $\text{CeO}_2$ )
- Measure flow rates and pressure drop during blowdown
- Quantify particle density and size distribution both upstream and downstream of “crack”
- *Incorporate knowledge from mechanical testing, such as respirable fraction, overall robustness of fuel rods, and external loads.*



SCC flow test setup



Slot orifice constructed from modified gage blocks after aerosol flow test

# Conclusions

- Models can accurately predict cask and component temperatures when accurate inputs are provided.
  - Future work will benchmark models to horizontal dry storage systems data.
- Measured PCT (229°C) from the demonstration cask were far below the regulatory threshold of 400°C.
  - Limits amount of hydrogen that is available to reorient in the radial position during drying
  - Reduces the rod internal pressure and the hoop stress
- Ring Compression Tests show that cladding operating in representative storage environments will behave in a ductile fashion.
  - Upper bound tests at 90 MPa and 400°C were well above the demonstration cask values of 50 MPa and 229°C .
- Estimated stresses from measured mechanical loads are far below yield stress levels and fatigue limits of high burnup spent nuclear fuel.
- Canister Stress Corrosion Cracking is a risk, so consequence needs to be determined.

**With the data that is currently available and using the integrated approach, cladding integrity will not be challenged during extended storage and normal conditions of transport.**

**Yet, extended storage is not a final solution. Deep Geologic Disposal is still required.**

# References

Billone2018. Billone, M., Burtseva, T., "Results of Ring Compression Tests", SFWD-SFWST-2018-000510, ANL-18/36. September 2018.

Bryan2018. Bryan, C., Schindelholz, E., "FY18 Status Report: SNL Research into Stress Corrosion Cracking of SNF Interim Storage Canisters", M2SF-18SN010201049, September 2018.

EPRI2014. "High Burnup Dry Storage Cask Research and Development Project: Final Test Plan", DOE Contract No. DE-NE-0000593, February 2014.

Durbin2019. Durbin, S. et al., "Test Plan for the Horizontal Dry Cask Simulator", M2SF-19SN010203032, February 2019.Sch

Hanson2012. Hanson, B., et al., "Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel, Rev. 0", FCRD-USED-2011-000136 Rev. 0, PNNL-20509, January 2012.

Hanson 2019a. Hanson, B., Alsaed, H., "Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel: Five Year Delta",

Hanson2019b. Hanson, B., "Phase 1 Testing Sister Rod Program", INMM 34<sup>th</sup> Spent Fuel Management Seminar, PNNL-SA-140748, January 2019.

Kalinina2018. Kalinina, E., et al., "Data Analysis of ENSA/DI Rail Cask Tests", SFWD-SFWST-2018-000494, SAND2018-13258 R.

Larson 2019. Larson, N., "DOE Spent Fuel Research for Storage and Transportation", NEI Spent Fuel Management Conference, May 2019.

Montgomery2019. Montgomery, R., et al., "Sister Rod Destructive Examinations", M2SF-19OR010201028, ORNL/SPR-2019/1112, March 2019.

NRC2003. Interim Staff Guidance (ISG)-11, Revision 3, "Cladding Considerations for Cladding for Storage and Transportation of Spent Fuel", November 2003, ML033230335.

NRC2018. NUREG-2224 (Draft), "Dry Storage and Transportation of High Burnup Spent Nuclear Fuel: Draft Report for Comment", July 2018.


Pan, G., et al, "Characteristics and Performance Of Zirlo Cladding Used Fuel" TOP FUEL 2019, Seattle Washington, 2019

Saltzstein2019. Saltzstein, S., et al., "Gap Analysis to Guide DOE R&D in Supporting Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel: An FY2019 Assessment", publication pending.

Shimskey2019. Shimskey, R., et al., "Initial Results of Destructive Examination of Ten Sister Rods at PNNL", PNNL-28548, March 2019.

Wang2017, Wang, J.A., et al, "FY2017 Status Report: CIRFT Data Update and Data Analyses for Spent Nuclear Fuel Vibration Reliability Study" M3SF-17OR01201026, ORNL/SR-2017/291

# Questions?



Clean. **Reliable. Nuclear.**