



A FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYZING IMPACT OF EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES ON NUCLEAR AND RADIOLOGICAL SECURITY



Alexander A. Solodov, Sandia National Laboratories

*Presented at the International Conference on Nuclear Security 2020
IAEA, Vienna, Austria
February 10-14, 2020*

Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525.

Introduction and Motivation

- Rapid advancement in technological development has a profound effect on the world around us
- The research objectives for this work were to develop a systematic understanding of:
 - **How might emerging technologies both create and address current and future risks to security of nuclear and radiological materials around the world?**
- Enable decision makers to evaluate the potential impact of emerging technologies and prioritize investments




Image source: <http://anthillonline.com/technology-headed-two-brilliant-minds-cast-light-onto-shadowy-road/>




Image source: <http://presolutions.responsivemag.com/news/ES70/advanced-reveals-top-eight-technology-threats-of-the-future-for/>

The History of Accelerometer

- First created in 1920s
 - to monitor equipment vibrations
- Modern select applications
 - Navigation systems for aircraft and missiles
 - Detection of vibrations of rotating machinery
 - UAS flight stabilization
 - Phones and tablets
 - Gravitational waves detection
 - Building and structure monitoring
 - Sports watches
 - ...




www.amazon.com



https://www.researchgate.net/figure/The-first-commercial-resistance-bridge-accelerometer-McCollum-and-Peters-photo-date_fig6_275421821



[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Military_aircraft#/media/File:1st_Fighter_Wing_hosts_coalition_aerial_exercise_\(3\).jpg](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Military_aircraft#/media/File:1st_Fighter_Wing_hosts_coalition_aerial_exercise_(3).jpg)





<https://astronomy.com/news/2019/07/scientists-start-developing-a-mini-gravitational-wave-detector>



<https://www.gearhungry.com/best-sports-watch-for-men/>

Analysis Framework

- Analysis framework requirements:
 - effectively address specific mission areas
 - be robust
 - be scalable
 - be flexible



Analysis Framework (Cont'd)

- placed each scenario on a *risk analysis* matrix
- as a result each scenario gets assigned a score
- for each pair Criteria - Technology pair we kept only ONE most impactful scenario for further analysis

Threat

		IMPACT			
		A	T	U	I
		ACCEPTABLE	TOLERABLE	UNDESIRABLE	INTOLERABLE
		LITTLE TO NO EFFECT ON THE SYSTEM	EFFECTS ARE FELT, BUT NOT CRITICAL	SERIOUS IMPACT TO THE SYSTEM MISSION	COULD RESULT IN DISASTER
	LIKELIHOOD				
I	IMPROBABLE UNLIKELY TO OCCUR	LOW - 1 -	LOW - 2 -	MEDIUM - 6 -	HIGH - 9 -
P	POSSIBLE MAY OCCUR	LOW - 2 -	MEDIUM - 5 -	HIGH - 8 -	EXTREME - 10 -
R	PROBABLE WILL MOST LIKELY OCCUR	MEDIUM - 6 -	HIGH - 8 -	HIGH - 9 -	EXTREME - 11 -
H	HIGHLY PROBABLE WILL DEFINITELY OCCUR	HIGH - 8 -	EXTREME - 10 -	EXTREME - 11 -	EXTREME - 12 -

Technology Protection Score (TPS)

$$TPS_i = \sum_{j=1}^N d_j \cdot X_j ,$$

where i – protection criteria number;
 N - total number of protection criteria

Protection

		IMPACT			
		T	M	J	C
		TRIVIAL	MINOR	MAJOR	CRITICAL
		LITTLE TO NO EFFECT	EFFECTS ARE FELT, BUT NOT CRITICAL TO THE MISSION	SERIOUS IMPACT ON THE MISSION	COULD RESULT IN A COMPLETE CHANGE OF APPROACH
	LIKELIHOOD				
I	IMPROBABLE UNLIKELY TO OCCUR	LOW - 1 -	LOW - 2 -	MEDIUM - 6 -	HIGH - 9 -
P	POSSIBLE MAY OCCUR	LOW - 2 -	MEDIUM - 5 -	HIGH - 8 -	EXTREME - 10 -
R	PROBABLE WILL MOST LIKELY OCCUR	MEDIUM - 6 -	HIGH - 8 -	HIGH - 9 -	EXTREME - 11 -
H	HIGHLY PROBABLE WILL DEFINITELY OCCUR	HIGH - 8 -	EXTREME - 10 -	EXTREME - 11 -	EXTREME - 12 -


Technology Threat Score (TTS)

$$TTS_i = \sum_{j=1}^M t_j \cdot X_j ,$$

where i – threat criteria number;
 j – threat criteria number
 M - total number of defense criteria

Analysis Results: Technology Prioritization

- The last step of the analysis was assembling the final prioritized list of technologies and applications
- the final results were presented in a form a two-dimensional plot:
 - threat score along the abscissa
 - protection score along the ordinate



Sample Analysis (List of Technologies/Applications)

Additive Manufacturing

- low volume production
- counterfeit goods production

Artificial Intelligence

- predictive policing

Autonomy

- assured autonomy
- autonomous weapons

Sample Analysis (Criteria)

Criteria	Weight
Threat	
Theft	0.4
Sabotage	0.6
Protection	
Physical Protection System (PPS)	0.5
Training	0.3
Deterrence	0.2

9 | Sample Analysis (Scenarios)

Counterfeit goods production/ Sabotage:

- Building counterfeit parts designed to fail to be supplied to a facility to be sabotaged

Predictive policing/ Physical Protection System (PPS):

- Predictive policing would extend the PPS further outside of facility boundaries through early identification of potential threats & adversaries

Assured autonomy/ Theft:

- Fully autonomous vehicles may aid in theft of nuclear/radiological materials by providing get away vehicles. This could also reduce the number of human attackers needed, and additional vehicles could be used as a decoy.

Autonomous weapons/ Theft:

- Autonomous weapons may be used to distract response forces or lower a response force's probability of neutralization by providing additional fire power for the adversaries. This eliminates tasks that must be completed by a human and makes smaller design-basis threats more effective, as they are able to engage multiple targets using what are essentially tools.


Autonomous weapons/ Deterrence:

- Autonomous weapons may serve as a strong deterrence against attacks on a facility.

Sample Analysis (Results)

Technology\Criteria	Threat			Protection			
	Theft	Sabotage	Total	PPS	Training	Deterrence	Total
Additive Manufacturing							
low volume production	8	2	4.4	8	6	2	6.2
counterfeit goods	5	11	8.6	0	2	0	0.6
Artificial Intelligence							
predictive policing	8	8	8	12	8	9	10.2
Autonomy							
assured autonomy	10	11	10.6	12	11	9	11.1
autonomous weapons	12	12	12	10	5	6	7.7

Sample Analysis (Results)



Summary

- Emerging technologies can have a profound impact the field of national security and, in particular, nuclear- and radiological security and detection of materials outside of regulatory control
- The analysis framework developed allows for the comparison of multiple technologies and their effects and prioritization through quantitative analysis
 - While being quantitative, the analysis process relies heavily on SMEs' opinion, adding a degree of subjectivity to the results
 - The effects of subjectivity can be mitigated by increasing the number of SMEs as well as by diversifying the SME group's areas of expertise