



# Dispenser Reliability R&D: Materials Compatibility

Annual Merit Review 2019

Crystal City, VA

Michael Peters<sup>1</sup>, Nalini Menon<sup>2</sup>, Ethan Hecht<sup>2</sup>,  
Tiffany Longfield<sup>2</sup>, April Nissen<sup>2</sup>, Jeff Campbell<sup>2</sup>

Project ID: in013

1 – National Renewable Energy Laboratory

2 – Sandia National Laboratory



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology & Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA0003525. Hydrogen Fueling Station Technology

# Hydrogen First: Program highlights



- NREL and Sandia, CA collaboration started in October'16
- Dispensers are the top causes of maintenance events and downtime at retail H2 stations
- Project focuses on assessing reliability and prediction of lifetimes of fueling and dispensing components exposed to pre-cooled hydrogen at high pressures based on component testing and material analyses

## NREL Role

- Plan, build test set-up and conduct Highly Accelerated Lifetime Testing (HALT) of H<sub>2</sub> components
- Survival analysis to determine probability of failure of components and determine failure modes



## SNL Role

- **Support NREL's project goal of dispenser lifetime prediction with post-exposure compatibility analyses of polymeric materials in failed, and non-failed fueling and dispenser components**

## Approach: Accelerated Reliability Testing

- Measure mean fills between failures (MFBF) and mean kilograms between failures (MKBF) of hydrogen components subjected to pressures, ramp rates, and flow rates similar to light duty fuel cell electric vehicle fueling at -40°C, -20°C, and 0°C
- Devices under Test (DUTs) include nozzles, breakaways, normally closed valve, normally open valve, and filters
- Total of 10 components tested from multiple suppliers for each DUT
- Highly Accelerated Life Test (HALT) of multiple dispenser-like systems simultaneously
- Survival analysis to determine probability of failure of components and determine failure modes
- **Material analysis of actual tested components to establish causes of failure modes observed and predict component lifetimes**

# Devices under Test (DUTs)



Component	Supplier A	Supplier B
Breakaways	Walther Prazision	WEH
Fueling nozzles	Walther Prazision	WEH
Normally open valves	Parker	HiP
Normally closed valves	Parker	HiP
Filters	Maximator	Autoclave Engineers

**Multiple suppliers of components points to possibility of different polymeric materials in the same component type**



Sandia National Laboratories



Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure Research Station Technology

# Testing factors and response variables

Leverage the National Fuel Cell Technology Evaluation Center (NFCTEC)'s station and vehicle data to define an average fill at a retail station

Fixed Factors		Variable Factors			
Controlled		Controlled		Uncontrolled	
H2 pressure ramp rate (> 17.6 MPa/min)		H2 temperature	-40, -20, 0C	Ambient temperature	10 - 40C
H2 flow rate (0.8 kg/min)		Component types	Nozzles Breakaways NO valves NC valves Filters	Ambient humidity	0 - 100%
H2 pressure range (14.7-77.9 MPa)					



Response Variables	
H2 leak (qualitative)	Yes or No
Fills before failure (quantitative)	Number
Amount of H2 through component before failure (quantitative)	Kilograms

Important to know polymer exposure environment

# Polymers for hydrogen environments



- Polymers are used extensively in the hydrogen infrastructure for :
  - Distribution and Delivery (Piping and Pipelines)
  - Fueling Stations
  - Vehicle Fuel Systems
- Component designs such as tanks, pipeline liners, valves, O rings, gaskets, regulators, pistons and other fittings are made of polymers
- Conditions of high pressures (0.1 to 100 MPa) and rapid cycling of temperatures (-40°C to +85°C) possible during service



## Elastomers

EPDM, NBR/HNBR  
Levapren, Silicone,  
Viton, Neoprene

## Thermoplastics

HDPE, Polybutene, Nylon,  
PEEK, PEKK, PET, PEI, PVDF,  
Teflon, PCTFE, POM

## Thermosetting polymers

Epoxy, PI, NBR,  
Polyurethane

# Typical polymer characterization techniques



- **Microscopy (ND)**
  - Optical (Keyence) – blisters, external cracks, surface roughness/texturing, damage in the form of bubbles and/or tears or shredding
  - Micro Computed Tomography – internal cracks and voids
  - Permanent damage
- **Density (ND)**
  - ASTM B962-17 – specific volume changes or swelling due to uptake of H<sub>2</sub>
  - Can be permanent or transient (comes back to original density)
- **Hardness (ND)**
  - Shore A hardness changes for permanent change in microstructure by crosslinking or scission
  - Nano indentation for coefficient of friction changes due to H<sub>2</sub> exposure on surface
- **Compression Set (D)**
  - ASTM 395 – permanent deformation that indicates crosslinking or permanent microstructural changes
  - Applicable to elastomers only
- **Mechanical Strength (D)**
  - Changes in Young's Modulus, tensile strength, tear strength from microstructural changes

**ND** = Non-destructive; can be used for multiple characterization tests; **D** = Destructive; specimen not reusable

# More polymer characterization techniques



- **Chemical characterization (all ND) except DMTA (D)**
  - Fourier-transform infra Red (FTIR) spectroscopy – polymer microstructure changes through functionalities identification
  - Raman spectroscopy – changes in intramolecular bonds
  - X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) – For changes in crystalline domains in elastomers or degree of crystallinity in thermoplastics
  - Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) – Structural changes
  - Dynamic mechanical and thermal analysis (DMTA) –  $T_g$  glass transition temperature changes and modulus changes
  - Thermal desorption spectroscopy (TDS) – gas capture and release characteristics

**These methods capture permanent structural changes in the polymer**

# Some typical polymer characterization outputs



Keyence images of polymer O rings damaged in service





Shore A hardness tester

Measurement Pointer  
Displays current reading.

Optional Memory Pointer  
Allows you to record peak value  
during measurement. Must be  
manually reset by user before  
measurement.

1. Place the instrument on the material to be tested. The durometer must be level and perpendicular to the specimen.
2. Press the foot of the gauge firmly against the specimen, but not so firmly as to imbed the foot into the surface of the material.
3. Maintain pressure for 2 to 3 seconds. The dial hand gives the reading in durometer points.

Micro CT images for cracks originating from the inside




NBR and EPDM shown at 500 microns to magnify any voids or cracks

Change in  
Coefficient of  
friction in response  
to H2 exposure  
(Nano indentation)

EPDM	Avg. Friction	Std. Dev Friction
Before H2	0.41	0.091
After H2 cyclic	0.49	0.094
After H2 static	0.63	0.095



# IR spectroscopy confirming polymer structure



- Material characterization through Infrared spectroscopy ATR (attenuated total reflectance)
- Easy to use and quick accurate identification possible due to fingerprint region (1500 to 500 cm<sup>-1</sup>); complex bending, rotational and vibrational modes of molecules which are unique to materials
- However, materials such as plastics and elastomers not easy to decipher because they have multiple additives


In the figure shown, O-ring B1 (blue) compares well to a standard spectrum of PTFE

# Examples of spectroscopy for structural changes in polymers after H<sub>2</sub> exposure




## Thermal desorption spectroscopy

## Raman spectroscopy



**Suggestion of additional volatility after Ar/H<sub>2</sub> exposure**


## X-ray diffraction



# Example of chemical identification of polymers using DMTA



H2 FIRST, PTFE thin gasket DMTA, 0.5% strain, 1 Hz, 5°C/min heating




# Example of compression set property of elastomers




Compression set of elastomeric O rings from H2 FIRST parts

Under 25% compression at 110°C for 22 hours, recover 30 minutes (ASTM D 395 Method B)



# Materials compatibility testing steps



# NREL components received for Material Analyses



Component	Manufacturer (ID protected)	NREL ID	SNL ID	Tested or not tested
Breakaways	A	BR001	1B	Not tested, control
	B	BR006	2F	Not tested, control
	A	BR018	3C	Tested and failed
	B	BR026	2G	Not tested, control
Fueling nozzle	A	FN001	2B	Not tested, control
	B	FN050	2D	Not tested, control
2 Way straight valve normally open	C	NO001	2A	Not tested, control
	D	NO026	1E, 1D, 1C, 1A	Not tested, control
2 Way straight valve normally closed	C	NC001	2C	Not tested, control
	D	NC026	1A, 1C, 1D	Not tested, control
	D	NC047	3D	Tested and failed
	D	NC049	3A	Tested and failed
Filters	E	MF026	00, no polymers	Not tested, control
	F	MF001	2E, no polymers	Not tested, control
	E	No marking	3E, no polymers	Tested, no polymers

All incoming parts are logged into an internal database for traceability

# Disassembly of components



**Same sequence of steps used to process both exposed and unexposed components**

1. Pictures taken of whole components received from NREL with NREL designation clearly depicted
2. Component disassembled carefully with special tools so as to not alter polymer physical form
3. Polymer O rings retrieved are bagged individually and assigned special combo of letter and number to indicate component source, entered into database
4. Polymer pictures taken and stored along with whole component pictures
5. Specimens distributed to non-destructive testing first followed by destructive testing

# Samples of components received



2 way straight  
normally closed valve



2 way straight  
normally open valve



Breakaway devices

Fueling nozzles




Filters




Five different components from six suppliers

# First step: Identify O ring chemistry in components




2B1 Black gasket  
Acetal

FT-IR spectra shown for  
manufacturer A Fueling Nozzle  
NREL FN001/2B



2B2 tall black ring  
Nylon

- N—H bond present at 3295  $\text{cm}^{-1}$
- Bands corresponding to presence of epoxide group observed
  - 1259  $\text{cm}^{-1}$
  - 1089  $\text{cm}^{-1}$
  - 1016  $\text{cm}^{-1}$
  - 796  $\text{cm}^{-1}$



2B3 White gasket  
Viton

- Some C—H bands observed above 3000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicate unsaturated material
- C=C observed at 1651  $\text{cm}^{-1}$
- Further analysis of bands needed


Different manufacturers of components use different polymers (compare to next slide)

# Comparing O rings in similar components from Manufacturer B




2D1 Medium tan O ring  
2D6 Small tan O ring

Polysulfone




2D2 Large black O ring  
2D3 Medium black O ring

Viton



2D5 Small white O ring  
2D7 Large white O ring


Nylon



FT-IR spectra shown for manufacturer B Fueling Nozzle NREL FN050/2D

2D4 Small black O ring

EPDM with different fillers (carbon black) or NBR ???




- Functionally identical components from different manufacturers can be built with different polymer O rings
- Multiple analytical methods need to be used to confirm polymer identity (see DMTA data on next slide)

# DMTA analysis: Fueling Nozzle NREL FN050/2D



H2 FIRST, part FN050-2D, glass transition temperature and modulus  
DMTA, Rectangular Torsion clamp, 1 Hz, 5° C/min



Confirmation of FTIR findings (previous slide) with glass transition temperatures (DMTA)

# Failed components received from NREL



The table below shows the failed components and the number of fills they completed before failure at -40C


Part Description	Manufacturer	Part Identifier (sticker)	Number of Fills	Fill Temp Target (C)
Normally Closed Valve	D	NC047	99	-40
Normally Closed Valve	D	NC049	99	-40
Breakaway	A	BR018	132	-40
Filter	E	-	-	-

Next step would be to compare the polymers retrieved from these failed components against unexposed/untested ones.

# Comparison of polymers before and after exposure in breakaways (Example 1)




H2FIRST BR018-3C1 O-ring, comparison to similar BR001-1B4 O-ring  
DMTA Rectangular Torsion, 1 Hz, 1% strain, 5° C/min heating



# Comparison of polymers before and after exposure in normally closed valves (Example 2)



H2FIRST NC047-3D2 gasket, comparison to NC026-00 gasket  
DMTA Rectangular Torsion, 1 Hz, 0.1% strain, 5° C/min heating



- NREL and Sandia collaborated Dispenser Reliability project has completed ~ 1000 cycles of component testing at -40C
- Three significant failures (two normally closed valves and a breakaway) seen so far
- Material analyses used to establish baseline properties of polymer O rings in the components before exposure
- Failure mode analyses through material characterization after exposure can be used for survival analysis and lifetime predictions
- Multiple characterization methods are currently being used in synergy to measure property changes
- Analyses are in progress at this time on the -40C parts
- Support to tests at -20C and 0C to continue

Michael Peters – NREL – [Michael.Peters@NREL.gov](mailto:Michael.Peters@NREL.gov)

Nalini Menon – SNL – [ncmenon@sandia.gov](mailto:ncmenon@sandia.gov)

Any Questions?

**THANK YOU**

# EXTRA SLIDES

# AFT Models, Factors and Levels of DRP

## AFT Models:

- Parametric models frequently used in reliability testing
- Used often especially in expensive experiments
- Fast method to determine probability of failures of components and determine failure mode

## Factors of DRP:

- Manufacturer of part
  - At most 2 manufacturers per part
  - 50 units of each of the six different components
- Median Rank Method is not as accurate or precise as MLE or Kaplan-Meier
- Median Rank Method is more complicated and requires more transformations
- MLE and Kaplan-Meier are simple
- Results consistently show MLE method is the most accurate and precise
- Kaplan-Meier should be used to determine distribution of failure times
- Distribution found with Kaplan-Meier should be used for MLE method
- MLE and Kaplan-Meier methods are more efficient to run and more versatile than Median Ranks

## Levels of DRP:

- Temperature of Hydrogen
- 3 different temperatures of hydrogen

## Tracking:

- All parts will be tracked by barcode and stored in FileMaker
- No parts will be used on different temperatures
- Failed parts will not be repaired
- Censored parts are subject to continued testing