

BEAM DIAGNOSTICS SYSTEMS FOR THE NATIONAL IGNITION FACILITY

*R. D. Demaret, R. D. Boyd, E. S. Bliss, A. J. Gates,
J. R. Severyn*

This article was submitted to
8th International Conference on Accelerator and Large Experimental
Physics Control Systems, San Jose, CA November 27-30, 2001

U.S. Department of Energy

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

October 16, 2001

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

This report has been reproduced
directly from the best available copy.

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831
Prices available from (423) 576-8401
<http://apollo.osti.gov/bridge/>

Available to the public from the
National Technical Information Service
U.S. Department of Commerce
5285 Port Royal Rd.,
Springfield, VA 22161
<http://www.ntis.gov/>

OR

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Technical Information Department's Digital Library
<http://www.llnl.gov/tid/Library.html>

BEAM DIAGNOSTICS SYSTEMS FOR THE NATIONAL IGNITION FACILITY

R. D. Demaret, R. D. Boyd, E. S. Bliss, A. J. Gates, J. R. Severyn
LLNL, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

Abstract

The National Ignition Facility (NIF) laser focuses 1.8 megajoules of ultraviolet light (wavelength 351 nanometers) from 192 beams into a 600-micrometer-diameter volume. Effective use of this output in target experiments requires that the power output from all of the beams match within 8% over their entire 20-nanosecond waveform. The scope of NIF beam diagnostics systems necessary to accomplish this task is unprecedented for laser facilities. Each beamline contains 110 major optical components distributed over a 510-meter path, and diagnostic tolerances for beam measurement are demanding. Total laser pulse energy is measured with 2.8% precision, and the interbeam temporal variation of pulse power is measured with 4% precision. These measurement goals are achieved through use of approximately 160 sensor packages that measure the energy at five locations and power at three locations along each beamline using 335 photodiodes, 215 calorimeters, and 36 digitizers. Successful operation of such a system requires a high level of automation of the widely distributed sensors. Computer control systems provide the basis for operating the shot diagnostics with repeatable accuracy, assisted by operators who oversee system activities and setup, respond to performance exceptions, and complete calibration and maintenance tasks.

1 INTRODUCTION

For the NIF to achieve its performance goal of 1.8 Mega-joules of ultraviolet light on target requires that each beam path is carefully controlled and accurately characterized. Each beam will be operated at up to 80%

of its fluence damage threshold and still need to meet the System Design Requirement (SDR) of $\leq 8\%$ rms deviation in the power delivered. This places stringent derived requirements on the energy, power temporal shape, and fluence measurement systems deployed in the NIF. Table 1 lists the principal requirements for measurements of pulse energy and power, and monitoring of the spatial profile of beam fluence.

Table 1: Tolerances for key diagnostics tasks

Beam Diagnostics Measurements Requirements	
Measure pulse power of all beams (power balance)	The rms deviation less than 8% of the specified power averaged over any 2-ns time interval [1].
Measure pulse energy at 1.053 and 0.351 μm	2.8%
Measure pulse temporal shape versus time	2.8% with $\leq 450\text{psec}$ rise time
Record the spatial profile of beam fluence	2% fluence resolution, 1/125 of beam spatial resolution

Sensor packages and diagnostics sensors complete the principal diagnostics hardware. Supporting front-end processors (FEP) and diagnostics electronics capture and process the data. The Integrated Computer Controls System (ICCS) [2] will provide the basis for completing shot preparations with repeatable accuracy on a timely basis.

These beam diagnostic functions are accomplished with optical-mechanical and electronic components distributed along each beamline. Figure 1 identifies these components and illustrates the fact that the beam control systems have interfaces with every part of the laser.




Figure 1: Optical, electronic, and mechanical components distributed along each beam perform beam diagnostic functions. Towers hold components for laser diagnostics functions on eight beams. In the figure, only one beamline is shown for clarity.

2 OPTICAL DIAGNOSTICS SYSTEMS

2.1 Input Sensor Package (ISP)

The input sensor package is located at the beginning of the laser chain and at the output of the preamplifier module (PAM), Figure 2. A charge coupled device (CCD) camera system is used to capture a nearfield or farfield image of the pulsed beam during a shot. A temporal sample of the beam is collected and launched into a fiberoptic cable to the power diagnostics system. The energy in the beamline is measured using an integrating sphere and collected by the energy diagnostic system. This energy diagnostic is calibrated against an insertable whole beam energy calorimeter.




Figure 2: The ISP schematic shows how the PAM beams are sampled for alignment and diagnostics.

2.2 Output Sensor Package (OSP)

The output sensor is located below the transport spatial filter at the end of a set of relay optics as shown in Figure 3. This sensor provides diagnostic functions for the full beamline performance of a pair of beams. The diagnostic tasks performed by the OSP completely characterize the output of the main amplifier, measuring wavefront, and recording spatial and temporal pulse shapes. Multiplexing is utilized to record the performance at a reasonable cost. Two nearfield images are fit onto the 1 ω CCD camera, so every beam is imaged every shot.

Energy measurement is accomplished for each beam in the relay optics, where a beam sample is directed onto a photodiode assembly. A temporal sample of one beam from every pair of 1 ω beams is sent to the power diagnostic as described in the Input Sensor Package (ISP). The CCD cameras image the target plane by collecting light reflecting off of the final focus lens. Full-aperture roving calorimeters, which consist of an array of eight calorimeters that can move to intercept from 1 to 8 of the beams in a bundle, are located in the

switchyard and complete the list of diagnostics. These calorimeters are used to calibrate the main amplifier photodiodes.




Figure 3: A model of the area under the transport spatial filter. The main beam samples are relayed to the output sensors. There is one energy diode for each beamline sample.

2.3 3 ω Diagnostic

The 3 ω diagnostics package is located at the target chamber following the frequency conversion crystals. The package measures the energy in the 3 ω output beam with a calorimeter and the temporal waveform by directing a beam sample into a 3 ω fiberoptic to the power diagnostics system as described in the ISP, see Figure 4.




Figure 4: The absorbing glass calorimeter in the FOA gets a sample of the 3 ω energy from an off-axis diffractive splitter.

3 DIAGNOSTICS MEASUREMENT SYSTEMS

3.1 Energy Diagnostics

The Energy Diagnostics system measures the laser pulsed energy to 2.8% accuracy using photodiodes and calorimeters at 432 locations distributed throughout the facility. The measurement electronics need to be accurate to better than 1.0% over a dynamic range of at

least 10^3 . An additional 24 calorimeters are used to calibrate the ISP and OSP photodiodes online, minimizing measurement errors induced by diagnostic component changes. These widely distributed sensors are interfaced using an Echelon™ LonTalk™ field bus-based embedded controller architecture to control the energy nodes located at the sensors. Centrally located VME-based PowerPC FEPs use a commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) VME-based Echelon™ LonTalk™ interface to communicate with the remote nodes. To simplify the design, the sensor power is also distributed on the network cable. The energy nodes are designed to minimize measurement errors and include maintenance functions to calibrate and detect energy node failures. Each LLNL-designed node has a signal source used to calibrate and test the node hardware in-situ. All calibration data, both system and hardware specific, is stored on the node in nonvolatile memory to ensure the calibration information remains with the node. Once the node is online and data is collected, the calibration information is used to calculate the absolute energy measured at this location.

3.2 Power Diagnostics

The temporal power measurement system (Power Diagnostics or PD) measures the pulse temporal shape to 2.8% accuracy using digital real-time oscilloscopes (digitizers) at 240 locations distributed throughout the facility. Meeting the measurement accuracy requires a digitizer with a dynamic range ≥ 3100 , a record length of 22 ns, and a rise-time of ≤ 450 ps. In addition, an in-situ calibration system is used to periodically measure system performance for correction of the waveforms. The beam samples are collected from the ISP, OSP, and 3 ω diagnostics and transported to centrally located Power Sensor Packages (PSP). Optically delaying each signal sequentially by 50 ns using 8-80 meter cables and converting them into electrical signals using a vacuum photodiode in the PSP multiplexes these signals. The electrical signals are input into a 2-to-1 transformer and recorded on a digitizer's four channels operated at different gains, allowing "overlying" of the channels to extend the dynamic range of the measurement. These samples are then sequentially recorded on the digitizers to reduce the cost of the system. The 36 COTS digitizers are interfaced to the ICCS using 24 rack-mounted UltraSPARC processors and COTS Ethernet based GPIB interfaces. After the FEP reads the captured channel waveforms, they are corrected using digital signal processing (DSP) algorithms to correct amplitude distortion and

normalize their bandwidth. Once the "raw" channel data is corrected, the four channel segments that correspond to a single "beamline" waveform are reconstructed using DSP algorithms to correct for the channel timing skew. This beamline data is then transferred from the FEP, and all beamline waveforms are analyzed offline with the energy data to verify the NIF power balance for the shot.

3.3 Image Diagnostics

The measurement of the spatial uniformity of energy distribution within the beam is necessary to operate the facility consistently at 80% of the optical components damage threshold. This requires a 2% fluence (energy per unit area) measurement resolution at 1/125 of the beam spatial resolution. The principal means for monitoring the spatial profile of the beams is to capture images on CCD cameras located in the ISP and OSP described above. These images must then be digitized and processed through the ICCS Video FEP to determine the extent and locations of significant fluence modulation. The video FEP is a rack-mounted UltraSPARC processor and uses six four-channel COTS framegrabbers to capture the video images. All CCD cameras in the system are provided power and the synchronization signal by a Camera Interface Unit (CIU) located in proximity to the camera. The RS-170 video signal from the camera is returned to the CIU and digitized by a framegrabber within the FEP. The interconnection between a CIU and the FEP is either a coaxial cable or multi-mode fiber depending on the specific camera location within the NIF.

4 SUMMARY

The flow-down measurement accuracy for diagnosing the NIF created challenging design requirements for these beam diagnostics systems. Through a combination of COTS and LLNL-developed distributed systems combined with in-situ calibration and digital correction, we were able to accomplish these goals.

This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. DOE by LLNL under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. J. Lagin et al., "The Overview of the National Ignition Facility Distributed Computer Controls System," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, September 2001.
- [2] O. Jones, B. Boyd, A. J. Gates, and S. Glenzer "Revised 3 ω Measurement Error Budget," NIF-0053659, August 31, 2000.