



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Arrhenius reactive burn model calibration for Hexanitrostilbene (HNS)

G. D. Kosiba, J. D. Olles, C. D. Yarrington, R. R.
Wixom

July 3, 2018

16th International Detonation Symposium
Cambridge, MD, United States
July 15, 2018 through July 20, 2018

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Arrhenius reactive burn model calibration for Hexanitrostilbene (HNS)

Graham D. Kosiba*, Joseph D. Olles#, Cole D. Yarrington#, and Ryan R. Wixom#

*Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94551 USA

#Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185 USA

Abstract. An Arrhenius reactive model was calibrated for hexanitrostilbene (HNS) using photon Doppler velocimetry (PDV) data from electrically driven flyer experiments to improve initiation modeling capabilities. Parameter optimization simulations investigated HNS response and parameter dependence on meso-scale HNS microstructure, simulation dimensionality, and the presence of air during the flight of the flyer. The resulting simulations with the calibrated Arrhenius reactive model show good agreement with experimental data. It was found that the simulation results improved in agreement with the PDV data when the simulation included microstructure and air, which results in a pre-shock in the HNS. This work also demonstrates differences in simulation designs for which calibrated parameters are not transferable.

Introduction

Modeling the initiation of explosives requires that many physical phenomena be captured and represented as accurately as possible. Chemical processes and energy release during run-to-detonation are especially challenging to capture. These processes can be modeled with detailed or global kinetic mechanisms or more analytical models, such as, History Variable Reactive Burn (HVRB)¹ and Ignition and Growth². Excluding a detailed kinetic mechanism, each model requires empirical calibration. Experimental data, providing particle velocity as a function of time or distance within the explosive, is particularly useful for calibrating chemical models. This data is often collected by cutback or embedded gauge experiments, however HNS exhibits growth to detonation in spatial distances which are too short to be captured by those experiments³. Recently, thin vapor-deposited hex-

anitrostilbene (HNS) films of increasing thicknesses were initiated with electrically driven flyers⁴. Particle velocity data for the flyer flight, impact, and shock duration was obtained via PDV⁵. This work seeks to utilize that experimental data to calibrate a single-step Arrhenius reaction rate for HNS shock to detonation transition.

Even with excellent calibration data, the simulation itself must be as realistic as possible or it is even more difficult to have confidence in simulation results outside the calibration case. One key material property which should be captured in the simulation is the microstructure. The microstructure of the prepared HNS has been shown to have a direct influence on the behavior after impact, including, run-to-detonation distances^{6, 7}. The mechanism of growth to detonation has also been shown to differ in homogeneous versus heterogeneous explosive initiation simulations⁸. There are several proposed explanations for shock to detonation transition, with

the most commonly put forward explanation being hot-spot theory. These small, localized high temperature regions are observed in the simulations and it follows that the phenomenon is roughly captured when calibrating the temperature dependent Arrhenius equation. Additionally, the geometry and dimensionality of the simulations impact the applicability of the results and has been accounted for and studied during calibration.

Experimental Methodology

The short distance for growth to detonation in HNS excludes the use of conventional embedded gauge or cutback tests for measuring initiation properties necessary for development of a reactive burn model. However, a physical vapor deposition process for HNS has been developed which allows for sub-millimeter explosive samples⁴. There is a degree of control over the deposition process which results in desired film thickness and microstructure characteristics. This means that HNS samples can be made which have thicknesses near the expected run-distance. Experiments which initiate the thin films with an electrically driven flyer and measure the flight of the flyer with frequency shifted photon Doppler velocimetry (PDV) were able to determine the growth to detonation in HNS⁵. These data, which may be the first direct measurements of growth to detonation at these scales, were used to calibrate an Arrhenius burn model for the initiation of HNS.

A $2\mu\text{m}$ aluminum film was first deposited on the acrylic substrate (PMMA). The aluminum provides a reflective surface for the PDV measurement. HNS was then deposited onto the aluminum film using a high-vacuum deposition system. The thickness of the resulting film was controlled and samples were produced with thicknesses of $30\text{-}150\mu\text{m}$ in $30\mu\text{m}$ increments. These films were initiated by $50\mu\text{m}$ thick Parylene-C flyers driven by exploding 2.54×2.54 mm metal foil bridges. A high voltage discharge unit vaporizes the metal foil bridge, accelerating the plastic flyer to several km/s which impacts a free surface of the HNS film. In these experiments, the particle velocity history at the aluminum film and acrylic substrate interface was recorded via frequency shifted PDV with a collimated gradient-

index probe with spot size of 0.5 mm^5 . A schematic of the experimental setup from Olles et al. is shown in Figure 1⁵.




Fig. 1: Schematic of experiment. Image from Olles et al.⁵

Modeling Methodology

Several simulations were designed and used for calibration of the Arrhenius equation parameters with Sandia's hydrocode CTH⁹. The experimental setup described above was replicated in one and two-dimensional simulations. Additionally, in experiments, the flyer accelerates through a gap filled with air until impact with the explosive. This flight of the flyer through air was investigated in simulation and an approximation of the acceleration profile was implemented. Thus, simulations may consist of three or four materials: PMMA, HNS, Parylene-C, and Air (if included). The Parylene-C flyer equation of state (EOS) was implemented in CTH with a Mie-Gruneisen analytical model. The PMMA window, air, and reacted HNS equations of state were implemented as sesame tables built from thermochemical equilibrium calculations. The unreacted HNS equation of state was developed previously with density functional theory molecular dynamics (DFT-MD)⁸. The HNS was modeled in both continuum and with representative microstructure. In continuum, the HNS is a homogeneous material of prescribed density. To include microstructure, an HNS sample was cross-sectioned and faced with an ion-beam and imaged with a Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM). A binary image from this electron micrograph was directly imported as the HNS in CTH. An example two-dimensional simulation setup with HNS microstructure and the binary image of the HNS micrograph is shown in Figure 2. In a PDV experiment, the particle velocity measurement is determined from an area which is limited to the probe spot size. The effects of higher sim-




Fig. 2: Binary SEM image of ion-beam faced HNS film (left). Example 2D simulation geometry with inserted microstructure (right).

ulation dimensionality on properties, such as particle velocity, in the HNS within an area equal to the PDV probe was investigated. As expected, it was found that in the continuum case the response within the HNS, in an area equivalent to the PDV probe, appears one-dimensional. Then, the overall simulation domain can be reduced, improving computation time. However, when microstructure is included, the behavior after impact requires resolution of the higher dimensional effects. Properly capturing the effects of the microstructure includes understanding the effect of size and distribution of included pores, *i.e.* the response following a single large pore is different than a grouping of small pores. For use in a calibration simulation, it is critical that the net behavior arising from microstructure is not a function of the simulation domain⁸. Additionally, this lends itself to interesting macro- vs meso-scale studies with a calibrated reactive model. An Arrhenius equation for a temperature dependent reaction rate, shown in Equation 1, consists of two empirical parameters, the pre-exponential factor or frequency factor (FF) and the activation temperature (AT).

$$k = FF \exp\left(\frac{-AT}{T}\right) \quad (1)$$

Calibration of the Arrhenius equation parameters was performed by varying the pre-exponential factor and minimizing a designed objective function. The activation temperature was fixed at a value which was previously determined in molecular dynamics (MD) simulations¹⁰. The objective function consists of the sum of squares error between the experimental and simulation particle velocity trace at

equivalent time increments. A fifteen nanosecond time band beginning at impact, sampled every 0.1 nanoseconds, was used in quantifying the error for optimization.

Results

Dimensional Effects

There is significant computational cost increase moving between one, two, or three-dimensional simulations. A balance between minimizing computational cost and capturing realistic and relevant physics in simulations must be made. In flyer impacts, higher dimensional effects may arise at the edges of the flyer and at domain boundaries with boundary conditions as they are assigned. However, the experimental data described above is captured by a PDV probe with a spot size of 0.5mm. This spot size, if centered on the plastic flyer, is significantly smaller than the flyer itself. Then in continuum simulations, the shock front traveling through the material is one-dimensional as observed in an area equivalent to the PDV probe. Figure 3 illustrates this, depicting a particle velocity trace at the HNS-PMMA interface in the center of the flyer for a one and two-dimensional simulation. For explosive initiation by flyer impact, the flyer area, thickness, and impact velocity account for the critical ignition condition or threshold and there is likely appreciable difference between one and two-dimensional simulations near this threshold^{6, 11}. In addition to the PDV spot-size versus flyer dimension resulting in no edge effects as discussed above, the flyer thickness (50 μm) and impact velocity (3.1 km/s) used in the experiment and simulations here are

well above the critical ignition condition^{12, 11}. Then the calibration of Arrhenius parameters without microstructure can be performed as one-dimensional simulations, which are significantly cheaper than equivalent two-dimensional simulations (~ 450 s vs ~ 2000 s at each calibration point).




Fig. 3: Particle velocity trace in one and two-dimensional simulations are indistinguishable, demonstrating one-dimensionality in area of interest equivalent to PDV probe spot size for calibration simulations at impact velocity above threshold.

Air Gap Effects

In the experiment, there is a gap between the exploding bridge which accelerates the flyer and the surface of the explosive which is impacted. Simulations were done to study the effect of this gap which is filled with air. A schematic of the one-dimensional simulation is presented in Figure 4. The flyer traveling through the air generates a bow shock ahead of the flyer, shown in Figure 5.

This pressure front impacts the HNS film ahead




Fig. 4: CTH input geometry sketch.




Fig. 5: Density gradient field. Gradient values clipped to only display large gradients (shocks).

of the plastic flyer and pre-shocks the material, to ~ 10 MPa. Although this pressure is low relative to the peak pressure from the flyer impact, it was found to have a significant effect on the impact response of the HNS. Figure 6 presents the calibration minima and particle velocity trace overlay for simulation and experiment in the case where there is no air in the gap and thus no pre-shocked HNS. Figure 7 presents the calibration minima and particle velocity trace overlay for the case where there is air in the gap and thus the flyer impacts pre-shocked HNS.

The presence of air and thus the flyer's impact into pre-shocked HNS drastically changes the impact response of the explosive. The particle velocity traces of the simulation with air have less error when compared to the experiment, suggesting this is an important physical phenomenon which should be included in simulations, despite likely increased computational costs. A pre-shock wave desensitizes an explosive and this trend is observed in the resulting calibrated Arrhenius parameters, for the same conditions, a higher reaction rate optimization solution is found when the HNS has been desensitized. In other words, for the same film thickness, the desensitized HNS requires a faster reaction rate to reach detonation than the unshocked explosive.




Fig. 6: One-dimensional flyer impact simulation without presence of air. FF and AT parameter calibration space, with minima highlighted (left). Experiment and simulation particle velocity traces overlay with calibrated Arrhenius parameters (right).




Fig. 7: One-dimensional flyer impact simulation with presence of air. FF and AT parameter calibration space, with minima highlighted (left). Experiment and simulation particle velocity traces overlay with calibrated Arrhenius parameters (right).

Microstructure Effects

The microstructure of the prepared HNS has been shown to have a direct influence on the behavior after impact, including, run-to-detonation distances. Then, in looking to evaluate HNS in predictive hydrocode simulations it is important to include some representative microstructure, but also consider how constitutive models may now fall outside their original empirical calibration with the addition of the microstructure. Here, the same Arrhenius parameters are calibrated from a series of simulations which include a representative microstructure. A thin vapor deposited HNS film was ion polished and imaged with an SEM. The resulting image, after minor processing was imported into CTH as the HNS directly. In some cases, the bounds of the micrograph are smaller than the HNS which is being modeled. For these cases, the image is tiled and stitched together to reach the desired dimensions. The pores in the films have very small aspect ratio, appearing as vertical strips. In the minor direction the pore is quite small, on the order of $0.5\mu\text{m}$. Then, in importing and simulating the impact response of these pores it is necessary to have a very fine mesh resolution. A view of the two-dimensional pressure field after flyer impact is shown in Figure 8. Unlike the continuum case, the shock front is not expected to be perfectly planar. Then, it isn't appropriate to consider the particle velocity from only one cell. All cells which fall in the 0.5mm PDV probe spot size at the HNS-PMMA interface are averaged to find a final value. Figure 9 shows an example variation in particle velocity at each cell. Then the average




Fig. 8: Pressure field after flyer impact.




Fig. 9: Particle velocity at every cell at HNS-PMMA interface in PDV probe spot-size.

value across the spot size is used for the calibration and experimental data comparison, shown in Figure 10. The introduction of the microstructure in the HNS resulted in new calibrated Arrhenius parameters that had better agreement with the experimental data than the homogeneous HNS. The localized heating at crushed pores results in higher reaction rates and is a more accurate representation of the experiment.




Fig. 10: Experiment and full microstructural simulation particle velocity traces overlay with calibrated Arrhenius parameters ($AT=0.42$, $FF=10^{9.3}$).

Conclusions

The resulting simulations with calibrated Arrhenius reactive model show good agreement with experimental data. In the continuum case and at conditions above initiation threshold, the response within the HNS, in an area equivalent to the PDV probe, appears one-dimensional. However, when microstructure is included, the behavior after impact requires resolution of the higher dimensional effects. A group of cells which represent the PDV probe were averaged for use in the optimization. The inclusion of microstructure greatly improved the resulting growth to detonation results. Simulations were done to study the effect of the flyer accelerating through an air gap prior to impact with the explosive. The flyer traveling through the air generates a bow shock and this pressure front impacts the HNS film ahead of the plastic flyer, pre-shocking the material. The pre-shock desensitizes the explosive and changes the Arrhenius parameters found to optimize the growth to detonation response of the model. The variations in calibrated Arrhenius parameters depending on simulation design highlights the care which must be taken when incorporating empirical models in predictive calculations. The resulting burn model shows good agreement with run to detonation experimental results which were previously unavailable. Further investigation of HNS initiation, including threshold, with the improved models is on-going.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank J. Patrick Ball, Michael Marquez, and Robert Knepper for their work in sample preparation and experimental data collection.

This work was conducted in part at Sandia National Laboratory and the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, a US Department of Energy, Office of Science user facility. Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE_NA0003525.

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory is op-

erated by Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, for the U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344. LLNL-PROC-754222

References

1. Hertel, E. and Kerley, G., "CTH EOS Package," SAND98-0945, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM, 1998.
2. Lee, E. L. and Tarver, C. M., "Phenomenological model of shock initiation in heterogeneous explosives," *The Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 23, pp. 2362–2372, 1980.
3. Wixom, R. R., Yarrington, C. D., Knepper, R., Tappan, A. S., Olles, J. D. and Damm, D. L., "Characterizing the growth to detonation in HNS with small-scale PDV "cutback" experiments," in "AIP Conference Proceedings," Vol. 1793, p. 160001, AIP Publishing, 2017.
4. Knepper, R., Wixom, R. R., Marquez, M. P. and Tappan, A. S., "Near-failure detonation behavior of vapor-deposited hexanitrostilbene (HNS) films," in "AIP Conference Proceedings," Vol. 1793, p. 030014, AIP Publishing, 2017.
5. Olles, J. D., Wixom, R. R., Knepper, R., Tappan, A. S. and Yarrington, C., "Growth to detonation in hexanitrostilbene (HNS)." *Technical report*, Sandia National Lab.(SNL-NM), Albuquerque, NM (United States), 2016.
6. Schwarz, A. C., "Shock initiation sensitivity of hexanitrostilbene (HNS)," *Technical report*, Sandia National Labs., Albuquerque, NM (USA), 1981.
7. Schwarz, A. C., "Study of factors which influence the shock-initiation sensitivity of hexanitrostilbene (HNS)," *Technical report*, Sandia National Labs., Albuquerque, NM (USA), 1981.
8. Yarrington, C. D., Wixom, R. R. and Damm, D. L., "Shock interactions with heterogeneous energetic materials," *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol. 123, p. 105901, 2018.

9. McGlaun, J. M., Thompson, S. and Elrick, M., "CTH: a three-dimensional shock wave physics code," *International Journal of Impact Engineering*, Vol. 10, pp. 351–360, 1990.
10. Personal communication from Ryan Wixom and Ray Shan, Sandia National Laboratories, 2017.
11. Welle, E., Molek, C., Wixom, R. and Samuels, P., "Microstructural effects on the ignition behavior of HMX," in "Journal of Physics: Conference Series," Vol. 500, p. 052049, IOP Publishing, 2014.
12. Bowden, M. D. and Maisey, M. P., "Determination of critical energy criteria for hexanitrostilbene using laser-driven flyer plates," in "Optical Technologies for Arming, Safing, Fuzing, and Firing IV," Vol. 7070, p. 707004, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2008.