




Tensor Decomposition for Bernoulli Data

Tamara G. Kolda
Sandia National Laboratories
Livermore, CA

SIAM Conf. on Computational Science & Engineering (CSE17)
MS236: Tensor Decompositions: Applications and Efficient Algorithms
March 2, 2017


Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-mission laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000.

Data, Estimates, Models, and Loss Functions





WLOG, all data are tensors of size $n_1 \times \cdots \times n_d$

Let $\mathcal{I} = \{ \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_d) \}$ denote the set of all indices



Loss function:
$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}}) \quad (\text{sum of elementwise functions})$$

Sum of Square Error Assumes Normally Distributed Data




Data

Model
(low rank)

Gaussian Probability
Density Function (PDF)

$$\frac{e^{-(x-m)^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}$$



Want to maximize likelihood of model:

$$L(\mathcal{M}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{e^{-(x_i - m_i)^2/2\sigma^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}$$

Equivalent to minimizing negative log likelihood:

$$-\log(L(\mathcal{M})) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{(x_i - m_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi\sigma^2)$$

Typically: Consider data to be
low-rank plus “white noise”

$$x_i \sim m_i + \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma)$$



Equivalently, Gaussian with mean m_i

$$x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(m_i, \sigma)$$


Assume σ is constant, so left with SSE!

$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \underbrace{(x_i - m_i)^2}_{f(x_i, m_i)} \sim \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{1}{2} m_i^2 - x_i m_i$$

KL Divergence Assumes Poisson Distributed Data



$$x_i \sim \text{Poisson}(m_i), m_i \geq 0$$



Poisson Probability Mass Function (PMF) $\frac{e^{-m} m^x}{x!}$

Want to maximize likelihood of model:

$$L(\mathcal{M}) = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{e^{-m_i} m_i^{x_i}}{x_i!}$$



Equivalent to minimizing negative log likelihood:

$$-\log(L(\mathcal{M})) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} m_i - x_i \log m_i + \log(x_i!)$$

Remove constant term and left with KL divergence!

$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \underbrace{m_i - x_i \log m_i}_{f(x_i, m_i)}$$

Alternative for Poisson Distributed Data: Log-Poisson




$$x_{\mathbf{i}} \sim \text{Poisson}(e^{m_{\mathbf{i}}}), \quad m_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} \underbrace{e^{m_{\mathbf{i}}} - x_{\mathbf{i}} m_{\mathbf{i}}}_{f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}})}$$

Contrast with previous slide

$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} m_{\mathbf{i}} - x_{\mathbf{i}} \log m_{\mathbf{i}}$$




Loss Function for Bernoulli Data




Bernoulli Probability Mass Function (PMF)

$$p^x (1 - p)^{(1-x)}, p \in (0, 1)$$




$$x_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(m_i / (1 + m_i)), m_i \geq 0$$

Alternative Loss Function for Bernoulli Data (Logit)




Bernoulli Probability
Mass Function (PMF)

$$p^x(1-p)^{(1-x)}, p \in (0, 1)$$




Data


\approx



Probabilities




Link Function



Model
(low rank)

$$x_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(e^{m_i} / (1 + e^{m_i})), m_i \in \mathbb{R}$$




Loss Function for Bernoulli Data




Bernoulli Probability Mass Function (PMF)

$$p^x (1 - p)^{(1-x)}, p \in (0, 1)$$



$$x_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(m_i / (1 + m_i)), m_i \geq 0$$

Log-likelihood for Bernoulli



Bernoulli Probability Mass Function (PMF)


$$p^x (1 - p)^{(1-x)}, p \in (0, 1)$$

$$x_{\mathbf{i}} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(m_{\mathbf{i}}/(1 + m_{\mathbf{i}})), m_{\mathbf{i}} \geq 0$$

$$L(\mathcal{M}) = \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{m_{\mathbf{i}}}{1 + m_{\mathbf{i}}} \right)^{x_{\mathbf{i}}} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\mathbf{i}}}{1 + m_{\mathbf{i}}} \right)^{(1-x_{\mathbf{i}})}$$


$$-\log(L(\mathcal{M})) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} -x_{\mathbf{i}} \log \left(\frac{m_{\mathbf{i}}}{1 + m_{\mathbf{i}}} \right) - (1 - x_{\mathbf{i}}) \log \left(1 - \frac{m_{\mathbf{i}}}{1 + m_{\mathbf{i}}} \right)$$

$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} \underbrace{\log(m_{\mathbf{i}} + 1) - x_{\mathbf{i}} \log m_{\mathbf{i}}}_{f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}})}$$



Low-Rank Multiway Model

Assume
model has
CP structure




Defined by d factor matrices: $\mathbf{A}_k = [\mathbf{a}_{k1} \cdots \mathbf{a}_{kr}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_k \times r}$

Outer product expression: $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbf{a}_{1j} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{a}_{dj}$

Elementwise expression: $m_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{j=1}^r \mathbf{a}_{1j}(i_1) \cdots \mathbf{a}_{dj}(i_d)$

Shorthand: $\mathcal{M} = \llbracket \mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_d \rrbracket$



Generalized Formulation

$$\text{Minimize } F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}}) \quad \text{subject to } \mathcal{M} = [\![\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_d]\!]$$

Theorem: The partial derivative of F w.r.t. \mathbf{A}_k is given by

MTTRKP



$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{A}_k} = \mathbf{G}_{(k)} (\mathbf{A}_d \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_{k+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_1)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{(k)}$ is the mode- k unfolding of a tensor defined by elementwise by

$$g_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}})$$


Easily extensible to the case of incomplete data, i.e., using a weight tensor.

Notation: Mode- k Unfolding, Khatri-Rao Product, MTTKRP



Khatri-Rao Product

Columnwise Kronecker Product




MTTKRP: matricized tensor times Khatri-Rao product

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{X}_{(k)} (\mathbf{A}_d \odot \cdots \odot \mathbf{A}_{k+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \odot \cdots \odot \mathbf{A}_1)$$

Can exploit special structure in this computation, especially if the tensor is sparse.

Generalized Formulation with Missing Values



$$\text{Minimize} \quad F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{M} = \llbracket \mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_d \rrbracket$$


Theorem: The partial derivative of F w.r.t. \mathbf{A}_k is given by

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{A}_k} = \mathbf{G}_{(k)} (\mathbf{A}_d \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_{k+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_1)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{(k)}$ is the mode- k unfolding of a tensor defined by elementwise by

$$g_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}})$$

Generalized Formulation with Missing Values




$$\text{Minimize } F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \Omega \subseteq \mathcal{I}} f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}}) \quad \text{subject to} \quad \mathcal{M} = [\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_d]$$

Theorem: The partial derivative of F w.r.t. \mathbf{A}_k is given by

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{A}_k} = \mathbf{G}_{(k)} (\mathbf{A}_d \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_{k+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_1)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_{(k)}$ is the mode- k unfolding of a tensor defined by elementwise by

$$g_{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}}) & \text{if } \mathbf{i} \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{i} \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$



Bernoulli Tensor Factorization

Original Equations

$$f(x, m) = \log(m + 1) - x \log m$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x, m) = 1/(m + 1) - x/m$$

Adjustments to Prevent Numerical Issues

$$f(x, m) = \log(m + 1) - x \log(m + \xi)$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x, m) = 1/(m + 1) - x/(m + \xi)$$


$$\xi = 10^{-7}$$

$$\min_{\mathbf{A}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{A}^{(d)}} F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{I}} f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}})$$


$$\text{s.t. } \mathcal{M} = [\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_d] \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_k \geq 0 \forall k \in [d]$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{A}_k} = \mathbf{G}_{(k)} (\mathbf{A}_d \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_{k+1} \odot \mathbf{A}_{k-1} \odot \dots \odot \mathbf{A}_1) \quad g_{\mathbf{i}} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}})$$

Preliminary Analysis: Kinship Data





- Australian tribe
- 104 persons
- 4 sections
- 26 kinship terms




- Kinship Terms
 - Complex relationships having to do with sections, gender, and age
 - Example: *Adiadya* – Younger person in same section
- Citations
 - Denham, PhD Thesis, 1973
 - Kemp, Tenenbaum, Griffiths, Yamada, Ueda, *Learning Systems of Concepts with an Infinite Relational Model*, AAAI-06, 2006
 - Nickel, Tresp and Kriegel, *A three-way model for collective learning on multi-relational data*, ICML-11, 2011

7-Component Results



Scaling Bernoulli Tensor Factorization



- Expectation of dense tensors
 - Even if data is sparse, gradient 'G' tensor is dense
 - If data is sparse, may be dealing with zero inflation
- No clear way to maintain sparsity
 - Is possible in Gaussian & Poisson cases with special handling
- Instead, can use variant of stochastic gradient descent
 - Sparsify function tensor
 - Sparsify gradient tensor


Bernoulli Equations

$$f(x, m) = \log(m + 1) - x \log m$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x, m) = 1/(m + 1) - x/m$$

$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \Omega \subseteq \mathcal{I}} f(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}})$$

$$g_{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial f}{\partial m}(x_{\mathbf{i}}, m_{\mathbf{i}}) & \text{if } \mathbf{i} \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{i} \notin \Omega \end{cases}$$




Bernoulli Tensor Factorization

- Consider data types in formulation of loss function
- General formulation of tensor factorization
 - Accommodates any loss function
 - Accounts for missing data
 - Can be adapted for randomized optimization
- Applied to Bernoulli tensor factorization
- Preliminary results on “kinship” data



$$F(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \log(m_i + 1) - x_i \log m_i$$



More Info: Tammy Kolda
tgkolda@sandia.gov