

RECEIVED: June 13, 2017

ACCEPTED: August 22, 2017

PUBLISHED: September 5, 2017

Three dimensional view of the SYK/AdS duality

Sumit R. Das,^a Antal Jevicki^b and Kenta Suzuki^b

^a*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Kentucky,
Lexington, KY 40506, U.S.A.*

^b*Department of Physics, Brown University,
182 Hope Street, Providence, RI 02912, U.S.A.*

E-mail: das@pa.uky.edu, antal_jevicki@brown.edu,
kenta_suzuki@brown.edu

ABSTRACT: We show that the spectrum of the SYK model can be interpreted as that of a 3D scalar coupled to gravity. The scalar has a mass which is at the Breitenholer-Freedman bound of AdS_2 , and subject to a delta function potential at the center of the interval along the third direction. This, through Kaluza-Klein procedure on $\text{AdS}_2 \times (S^1)/Z_2$, generates the spectrum reproducing the bi-local propagator at strong coupling. Furthermore, the leading $1/J$ correction calculated in this picture reproduces the known correction to the poles of the SYK propagator, providing credence to a conjecture that the bulk dual of this model can be interpreted as a three dimensional theory.

KEYWORDS: AdS-CFT Correspondence, Gauge-gravity correspondence, 1/N Expansion, 2D Gravity

ARXIV EPRINT: [1704.07208](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.07208)

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Overview of SYK	2
3	3D interpretation	6
3.1	Kaluza-Klein decomposition	6
3.2	Evaluation of $G^{(0)}$	7
3.3	First order eigenvalue shift	9
4	Conclusion	11
A	Actions non-polynomial in derivatives	11
B	Schrodinger equation	12
C	Completeness condition of Z_ν	14
D	Evaluation of the contour integral	14

1 Introduction

Recently, the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model [5–12], which arose from Sachdev-Ye model [1–4], has emerged as a useful laboratory to understand the origins of AdS/CFT duality. Related models have been studied [13, 14] with extensions [15–25] and generalizations in the form of tensor type models [26–32]. Interesting random matrix theory interpretations have been studied in [33–39]. The model is notable for several reasons. It features an emergent reparametrization and conformal invariance in the IR. The out-of-time-order correlators exhibit quantum chaos, with a Lyapunov exponent characteristic of black holes, thus providing an example of the butterfly effect [40–49].

Like vector models, the SYK model is solvable at large N . Vector models, in general, at large N can be expressed in terms of bi-local fields, and it was proposed in [50] that these bi-local fields in fact provide a bulk construction of the dual higher spin theory [51], with the pair of coordinates in the bi-local combining to provide the coordinates of the emergent AdS space-time.

The simplest proposal of [50] was implemented nontrivially in three dimensions giving an understanding of bulk higher spin fields [52–54]. In the one dimensional SYK case [10, 11] such bulk mapping is realized in its simplest form, with the bi-local times mapped to AdS₂ space-time, thus providing an elementary example (in addition to the $c = 1$ matrix model [55]) of how a Large N quantum mechanical model grows an additional dimension.

Nevertheless, and despite great interest, the precise bulk dual of the SYK model is still ununderstood. It has been conjectured in [56–59] that the gravity sector of this model is the Jackiw-Teitelboim model [60, 61] of dilaton-gravity with a negative cosmological constant, studied in [62], while [63] provides strong evidence that it is actually Liouville theory. (See also [64–69]) It is also known that the matter sector contains an infinite tower of particles [8–10]. Recently, couplings of these particles have been computed by calculating six point functions in the SYK model [70].

In this paper, we provide a three dimensional interpretation of the bulk theory. The zero temperature SYK model corresponds to a background $\text{AdS}_2 \times I$, where $I = S^1/Z_2$ is a finite interval whose size needs to be suitably chosen. There is a single scalar field coupled to gravity, whose mass is equal to the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound [71] of AdS_2 . The scalar field satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions at the ends and feels an external delta function potential at the middle of the interval. Alternatively, one can consider half of the interval with Dirichlet condition at one end, and a nontrivial boundary condition determining the derivative of the field at the other end.¹ The background can be thought of as coming from the near-horizon geometry of an extremal charged black hole which reduces the gravity sector to Jackiw-Teitelboim model with the metric in the third direction becoming the dilaton of the latter model [57]. The strong coupling limit of the SYK model corresponds to a trivial metric in the third direction, while at finite coupling this acquires a dependence on the AdS_2 spatial coordinate. With a suitable choice of the size of the interval L and the strength of the delta function potential V we show that at strong coupling, (i) the spectrum of the Kaluza-Klein (KK) modes of the scalar is precisely the spectrum of the SYK model and (ii) the two point function² with both points at the center of the interval is in precise agreement with the strong coupling bi-local propagator, using the simplest identification of the AdS coordinates proposed in [50]. For finite coupling, we adopt the proposal of [57, 58], and show that to order $1/J$, the poles of the propagator shift in a manner consistent with the explicit results in [9].

In section 2, we review relevant aspects of the bilocal formulation of the model. In section 3, we discuss the three dimensional interpretation. Section 4 contains some concluding remarks.

2 Overview of SYK

In this section, we will give a brief review of the Large N formalism and results along [10, 11]. The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model [5] is a quantum mechanical many body system with all-to-all interactions on fermionic N sites ($N \gg 1$), represented by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^N J_{ijkl} \chi_i \chi_j \chi_k \chi_l, \quad (2.1)$$

¹We thank Edward Witten for a clarification on this point.

²Note that this two point function is not the same as the standard AdS_2 propagator. We thank Juan Maldacena for discussions about this point.

where χ_i are Majorana fermions, which satisfy $\{\chi_i, \chi_j\} = \delta_{ij}$. The coupling constant J_{ijkl} are random with a Gaussian distribution with width J . The generalization to analogous q -point interacting model is straightforward [5, 9]. After the disorder averaging for the random coupling J_{ijkl} , there is only one effective coupling J in the effective action. The model is usually treated by replica method. One does not expect a spin glass state in this model [7] so that we can restrict to the replica diagonal subspace [10]. The Large N theory is simply represented through a (replica diagonal) bi-local collective field:

$$\Psi(t_1, t_2) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i(t_1) \chi_i(t_2), \quad (2.2)$$

where we have suppressed the replica index. The corresponding path-integral is

$$Z = \int \prod_{t_1, t_2} \mathcal{D}\Psi(t_1, t_2) \mu(\Psi) e^{-S_{\text{col}}[\Psi]}, \quad (2.3)$$

where S_{col} is the collective action:

$$S_{\text{col}}[\Psi] = \frac{N}{2} \int dt \left[\partial_t \Psi(t, t') \right]_{t'=t} + \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} \log \Psi - \frac{J^2 N}{2q} \int dt_1 dt_2 \Psi^q(t_1, t_2). \quad (2.4)$$

Here the trace term comes from a Jacobian factor due to the change of path-integral variable, and the trace is taken over the bi-local time. One also has an appropriate order $\mathcal{O}(N^0)$ measure μ . This action being of order N gives a systematic $G = 1/N$ expansion, while the measure μ found as in [72] begins to contribute at one-loop level (in $1/N$). Other formulations can be employed using two bi-local fields. These can be seen to reduce to S_{col} after elimination.

In the above action, the first linear term represents a conformal breaking term, while the other terms respect conformal symmetry. In the IR limit with strong coupling $|t|J \gg 1$, the collective action is reduced to the critical action

$$S_{\text{c}}[\Psi] = \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} \log \Psi - \frac{J^2 N}{2q} \int dt_1 dt_2 \Psi^q(t_1, t_2), \quad (2.5)$$

which exhibits an emergent reparametrization symmetry

$$\Psi(t_1, t_2) \rightarrow \Psi_f(t_1, t_2) = \left| f'(t_1) f'(t_2) \right|^{\frac{1}{q}} \Psi(f(t_1), f(t_2)), \quad (2.6)$$

with an arbitrary function $f(t)$. This symmetry is responsible for the appearance of zero modes in the strict IR critical theory. This problem was addressed in [10] with analog of the quantization of extended systems with symmetry modes [73]. The above symmetry mode representing time reparametrization can be elevated to a dynamical variable introduced according to [74] through the Faddeev-Popov method, leading to a Schwarzian action for this variable [11] proposed by Kitaev, and established first at quadratic level in [9]:

$$S[f] = -\frac{N\alpha}{24\pi J} \int dt \left[\frac{f'''(t)}{f'(t)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''(t)}{f'(t)} \right)^2 \right], \quad (2.7)$$

where the coefficient $\alpha = -12\pi B_1\gamma$, with B_1 representing the strength of the first order correction, established in numerical studies by Maldacena and Stanford [9]. The details of the non-linear evaluation are give in [11].

For the rest of this paper we proceed with $q = 4$. Fluctuations around the critical IR background can be studied by expanding the bi-local field as [10]

$$\Psi(t_1, t_2) = \Psi_0(t_1, t_2) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \eta(t_1, t_2), \quad (2.8)$$

where η is the fluctuation and the critical IR background solution is given by

$$\Psi_0(t_1, t_2) = \left(\frac{1}{4\pi J^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\text{sgn}(t_{12})}{\sqrt{|t_{12}|}}, \quad (2.9)$$

where we defined $t_{ij} \equiv t_i - t_j$. With a simple coordinate transformation

$$t = \frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2), \quad z = \frac{1}{2}(t_1 - t_2), \quad (2.10)$$

the bi-local field $\eta(t_1, t_2)$

$$\eta(t_1, t_2) \equiv \Phi(t, z), \quad (2.11)$$

can be then considered as a field in two dimensions (t, z) . Expand the fluctuation field as

$$\Phi(t, z) = \sum_{\nu, \omega} \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu, \omega} u_{\nu, \omega}(t, z) \quad (2.12)$$

in a complete orthonormal basis

$$u_{\nu, \omega}(t, z) = \text{sgn}(z) e^{i\omega t} Z_{\nu}(|\omega z|), \quad (2.13)$$

with Z_{ν} are a complete set of modes given in eq. (C.1), which diagonalizes the quadratic kernel [8]. Then, the quadratic action can be written as

$$S_{(2)} = \frac{3J}{32\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{\nu, \omega} N_{\nu} \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu, \omega} (\tilde{g}(\nu) - 1) \tilde{\Phi}_{\nu, \omega}, \quad (2.14)$$

where the normalization factor N_{ν} is

$$N_{\nu} = \begin{cases} (2\nu)^{-1} & \text{for } \nu = 3/2 + 2n \\ 2\nu^{-1} \sin \pi\nu & \text{for } \nu = ir, \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

and the kernel is given by

$$\tilde{g}(\nu) = -\frac{2\nu}{3} \cot\left(\frac{\pi\nu}{2}\right). \quad (2.16)$$

After a field redefinition [10] the effective action can be written as

$$S_m^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{3J}{8\sqrt{\pi}} \int dt \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z} \Phi(t, z) \left[\tilde{g}(\sqrt{D_B}) - 1 \right] \Phi(t, z), \quad (2.17)$$

featuring the Bessel operator

$$D_B \equiv z^2 \partial_z^2 + z \partial_z - z^2 \partial_t^2. \quad (2.18)$$

The operator D_B is in fact closely related to the laplacian on AdS_2 ,

$$\nabla_{AdS_2} \Phi = \sqrt{z} D_B \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{z}} \Phi \right) - \frac{1}{4} \Phi \quad (2.19)$$

where t and z in (2.10) are the Poincare coordinates in AdS_2 . This, therefore, realizes the naive form of the proposal of [50]. However the action for $\Phi(t, z)$ is non-polynomial in derivatives.

To understand the implications of this, consider the bi-local propagator, first evaluated in [8]. From the above effective action, one has that the poles are determined as solutions of $\tilde{g}(\nu) = 1$, they represent a sequence denoted by p_m as

$$\frac{2p_m}{3} = -\tan\left(\frac{\pi p_m}{2}\right), \quad 2m+1 < p_m < 2m+2 \quad (m = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \quad (2.20)$$

Therefore, the bi-local propagator is written as residues of $\nu = p_m$ poles as

$$\mathcal{D}(t, z; t', z') = -\frac{32\pi^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3J} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} R(p_m) \frac{Z_{-p_m}(|\omega|z^>) J_{p_m}(|\omega|z^<)}{N_{p_m}}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $z^>(z^<)$ is the greater (smaller) number among z and z' . The residue function is defined by

$$R(p_m) \equiv \text{Res} \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{g}(\nu) - 1} \right) \Big|_{\nu=p_m} = \frac{3p_m^2}{[p_m^2 + (3/2)^2][\pi p_m - \sin(\pi p_m)]}. \quad (2.22)$$

Since that p_m are zeros of $\tilde{g}(\nu) - 1$, near each pole p_m , we can approximate as

$$\tilde{g}(\nu) - 1 \approx [\nu^2 - (p_m)^2] f_m, \quad (2.23)$$

where f_m can be determined from residue of $1/(\tilde{g}(\nu) - 1)$ at $\nu = p_m$. Explicitly evaluating these residues, the inverse kernel is written as an exact expansion

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{g}(\nu) - 1} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{6p_m^3}{[p_m^2 + (3/2)^2][\pi p_m - \sin(\pi p_m)]} \left(\frac{1}{\nu^2 - p_m^2} \right). \quad (2.24)$$

The effective action near a pole labelled by m is that of a scalar field with mass, $M_m^2 = p_m^2 - \frac{1}{4}$, ($m > 0$) in AdS_2 :

$$S_m^{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{2} \int \sqrt{-g} d^2x \left[-g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi_m \partial_\nu \phi_m - \left(p_m^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) \phi_m^2 \right], \quad (2.25)$$

where the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ is given by $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1/z^2, 1/z^2)$. It is clear from the above analysis that a spectrum of a sequence of 2D scalars, with growing conformal dimensions is being packed into a single bi-local field. In other words the bi-local representation effectively packs an infinite product of AdS Laplacians with growing masses. An illustration of how this can happen is given in the appendix A, relating to the scheme of Ostrogradsky. It is this feature which leads to the suggestion that the theory should be represented by an enlarged number of fields, or equivalently by an extra Kaluza-Klein dimension.

For finite coupling, the poles of the propagator is shifted. This has been calculated by [9] in a $1/J$ expansion.

3 3D interpretation

According to [57] and [58], the bulk dual of the SYK model involves Jackiw-Teitelboim theory of two dimensional dilaton gravity, whose action is given by (upto usual boundary terms)

$$S_{JT} = -\frac{1}{16\pi G} \int \sqrt{-g} [\phi(R+2) - 2\phi_0], \quad (3.1)$$

where ϕ_0 is a constant, and ϕ is a dilaton field. The zero temperature background is given by AdS₂ with a metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} [-dt^2 + dz^2] \quad (3.2)$$

and a dilaton

$$\phi(z) = \phi_0 + \frac{a}{z} \quad (3.3)$$

where a is a parameter which scales as $1/J$. In the following we will choose, without loss of generality, $\phi_0 = 1$.

This action can be thought as arising from a higher dimensional system which has extremal black holes, and the AdS₂ is the near horizon geometry [57]. The three dimensional metric, with the dilaton being the third direction, is given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{z^2} [-dt^2 + dz^2] + \left(1 + \frac{a}{z}\right)^2 dy^2. \quad (3.4)$$

This is in fact the near-horizon geometry of a charged extremal BTZ black hole.

3.1 Kaluza-Klein decomposition

We will now show that the infinite sequence of poles in the previous section from the Kaluza-Klein tower of a single scalar in a three dimensional metric (3.4) where the direction y is an interval $-L < y < L$. The action of the scalar is

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[-g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial_\nu \Phi - m_0^2 \Phi^2 - V(y) \Phi^2 \right], \quad (3.5)$$

where $V(y) = V\delta(y)$, with the constant V and the size L to be determined. This is similar to Horava-Witten compactification on S^1/Z_2 [75] with an additional delta function potential.³ The scalar satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions at the ends of the interval.

We now proceed to decompose the 3D theory into 2 dimensional modes. Using Fourier transform for the t coordinate:

$$\Phi(t, z, y) = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega t} \chi_\omega(z, y), \quad (3.6)$$

one can rewrite the action (3.5) in the form of

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dz dy \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \chi_{-\omega} (\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathcal{D}_1) \chi_\omega, \quad (3.7)$$

³See also [76, 77]. We are grateful to Cheng Peng for bringing this to our attention.

where \mathcal{D}_0 is the a -independent part and \mathcal{D}_1 is linear in a :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_0 &= \partial_z^2 + \omega^2 - \frac{m_0^2}{z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2} \left(\partial_y^2 - V(y) \right), \\ \mathcal{D}_1 &= \frac{a}{z} \left[\partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z} \partial_z + \omega^2 - \frac{m_0^2}{z^2} - \frac{1}{z^2} \left(\partial_y^2 + V(y) \right) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (3.8)$$

Here, we neglected higher order contributions of a . The eigenfunctions of \mathcal{D}_0 can be clearly written in the form

$$\chi_\omega(z, y) = \chi_\omega(z) f_k(y). \quad (3.9)$$

Then $f_k(y)$ is an eigenfunction of the Schrödinger operator $-\partial_y^2 + V(y)$ with eigenvalue k^2 . This is a well known Schrodinger problem: the eigenfunctions and the eigenvalues are presented in detail in appendix B.

After solving this part, the kernels are reduced to

$$\mathcal{D}_0 = \partial_z^2 + \omega^2 - \left(\frac{m_0^2 + p_m^2}{z^2} \right), \quad \mathcal{D}_1 = \frac{a}{z} \left[\partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{z} \partial_z + \omega^2 - \left(\frac{m_0^2 - q_m^2}{z^2} \right) \right], \quad (3.10)$$

where p_m are the solutions of

$$-(2/V)k = \tan(kL) \quad (3.11)$$

while q_m are the expectation values of $-\partial_y^2 - V(y)$ operator respect to f_{p_m} . If we choose $V = 3$ and $L = \frac{\pi}{2}$ the solutions of (3.11) agree precisely with the strong coupling spectrum of the SYK model given by $\tilde{g}(\nu) = 1$, as is clear from (2.14) and (2.16). This is our main observation.

For these values of V , L , the propagator G is determined by the Green's equation of \mathcal{D} . We now use the perturbation theory to evaluate it. This will then be compared with the corresponding propagator of the bi-local SYK theory.

3.2 Evaluation of $G^{(0)}$

We start by determining the leading, zero-th order $G^{(0)}$ propagator obeying

$$\mathcal{D}_0 G_{\omega, \omega'}^{(0)}(z, y; z', y') = -\delta(z - z')\delta(y - y')\delta(\omega + \omega'). \quad (3.12)$$

We first separate the scaling part of the propagator by $G^{(0)} = \sqrt{z} \tilde{G}^{(0)}$ and multiplying z^2 . Expanding in a basis of eigenfunctions $f_k(y)$,

$$\tilde{G}^{(0)}(z, y, \omega; z', y', \omega') = \sum_{k, k'} f_k(y) f_{k'}(y') \tilde{G}_{\omega, k; \omega', k'}^{(0)}(z; z') \quad (3.13)$$

The Green's function $\tilde{G}_{\omega, k; \omega', k'}^{(0)}(z, z')$ is clearly proportional to $\delta(k - k')$ and satisfies the equation

$$\left[z^2 \partial_z^2 + z \partial_z + \omega^2 z^2 - \nu_0^2 \right] \tilde{G}_{\omega, k; \omega', k'}^{(0)}(z; z') = -z^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta(z - z') \delta(\omega + \omega') \delta(k - k'). \quad (3.14)$$

where we have defined

$$\nu_0^2 \equiv k^2 + m_0^2 + 1/4. \quad (3.15)$$

The operator which appears in (3.14) is the Bessel operator. Thus the Green's function can be expanded in the complete orthonormal basis. For this, we use the same basis form Z_ν as in the SYK evaluation:⁴

$$\tilde{G}_{\omega,k;-\omega,k}^{(0)}(z; z') = \int d\nu \tilde{g}_\nu^{(0)}(z') Z_\nu(|\omega z|). \quad (3.16)$$

Then, substituting this expansion into the Green's equation (3.12) and using eqs. (C.4) and (C.2), one can fix the coefficient $\tilde{g}_\nu^{(0)}$. Finally, the ν -integral form of the propagator is given by

$$G_{\omega,k;-\omega,k}^{(0)}(z; z') = -|zz'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{d\nu}{N_\nu} \frac{Z_\nu^*(|\omega z|) Z_\nu(|\omega z'|)}{\nu^2 - \nu_0^2}. \quad (3.17)$$

We now note that if we choose $m_0^2 = -1/4$, which is the BF bound of AdS_2 , we have $\nu_0^2 = p_m^2$, and the equation which determine p_m , (3.11) is precisely the equation which determines the spectrum of the SYK theory found in [8, 10]. With this choice, the real space zeroth order propagator in three dimensions is

$$G^{(0)}(t, z, y; t', z', y') = -|zz'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f_{p_m}(y) f_{p_m}(y') \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \int \frac{d\nu}{N_\nu} \frac{Z_\nu^*(|\omega z|) Z_\nu(|\omega z'|)}{\nu^2 - p_m^2}. \quad (3.18)$$

We now show that the above propagator with $y = y' = 0$ is in exact agreement with the bi-local propagator of the SYK model. The Green's function with these end points is

$$G^{(0)}(t, z, 0; t', z', 0) = -|zz'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} C(p_m) \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \int \frac{d\nu}{N_\nu} \frac{Z_\nu^*(|\omega z|) Z_\nu(|\omega z'|)}{\nu^2 - p_m^2}, \quad (3.19)$$

where we have defined

$$C(p_m) \equiv f_{p_m}(0) f_{p_m}(0) = B_m^2 \frac{p_m^2}{p_m^2 + (3/2)^2} = \frac{2p_m^3}{[p_m^2 + (3/2)^2][\pi p_m - \sin(\pi p_m)]}. \quad (3.20)$$

Now we note that Kaluza-Klein wave function coefficient coincides in detail with the SYK one, namely:

$$C(p_m) = \frac{2p_m}{3} R(p_m), \quad (3.21)$$

where $R(p_m)$ was given in eq. (2.22).

As in eq. (C.4), the integration of ν is a short-hand notation which denotes a summation of $\nu = 3/2 + 2n$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and an integral of $\nu = ir$, ($0 < r < \infty$). The sum over these discrete values of ν and the integral over the continuous values can be now performed exactly as in the calculation of the SYK bi-local propagator [10]. Closing the contour for the continuous integral in $\text{Re}(\nu) \rightarrow \infty$, one finds that there are two types of poles inside of this contour. (1): $\nu = 2n + 3/2$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), and (2): $\nu = p_m$, ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). The contributions of the former type of poles precisely cancel with the contribution from the discrete sum over n . Details of the evaluation which explicitly shows the cancelation

⁴This represents a modified set of wavefunctions with boundary conditions at $z \rightarrow \infty$ in contrast to the standard AdS wavefunctions.

are presented in appendix D. Therefore, the final remaining contribution is just written as residues of $\nu = p_m$ poles as

$$G^{(0)}(t, z, 0; t', z', 0) = \frac{1}{3} |zz'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega(t-t')} R(p_m) \frac{Z_{-p_m}(|\omega|z^>) J_{p_m}(|\omega|z^<)}{N_{p_m}}. \quad (3.22)$$

Altogether we have shown that $y = 0$ mode 3D propagator is in precise agreement with the $q = 4$ SYK bi-local propagator at large J given in eq. (2.21). The propagator is a sum of non-standard propagators in AdS_2 . While it vanishes on the boundary, the boundary conditions at the horizon are different from that of the standard propagator in AdS .

3.3 First order eigenvalue shift

In this section, we study the first order eigenvalue shift due to \mathcal{D}_1 by treating this operator as a perturbation onto the \mathcal{D}_0 operator. The result will confirm the duality $a = 1/J$, where a is defined in the dilaton background (3.3) and J is the coupling constant in the SYK model.

Since the t and y directions are trivial, let us start with the kernels already solved for these two directions given in eq. (3.10). The eigenfunction of \mathcal{D}_0 operator is

$$|z|^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_\nu(|\omega z|), \quad (3.23)$$

and using the orthogonality condition (C.3), its matrix element in the ν space is found as

$$N_\nu \left[\nu^2 - (m_0^2 + p_m^2 + \frac{1}{4}) \right] \delta_{\nu,\nu'}. \quad (3.24)$$

Now following the first order perturbation theory, we are going to determine the first order eigenvalue shift. Using the Bessel equation, the action of \mathcal{D}_1 on the \mathcal{D}_0 eigenfunction (3.23) is found as

$$\mathcal{D}_1 |z|^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_\nu(|\omega z|) = \frac{a}{|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left[\frac{\partial_z}{z} - \left(\frac{m_0^2 - q_m^2 + \frac{3}{4}}{z^2} \right) \right] Z_\nu(|\omega z|). \quad (3.25)$$

For the derivative term, we use the Bessel function identity (for example, see 8.472 of [78])

$$\partial_x J_\nu(x) = \pm J_{\nu \mp 1}(x) \mp \frac{\nu}{x} J_\nu(x), \quad (3.26)$$

to obtain

$$\partial_z Z_\nu(|\omega z|) = \frac{\nu}{|z|} Z_\nu(|\omega z|) - |\omega| \left[J_{\nu+1}(|\omega z|) - \xi_\nu J_{-\nu-1}(|\omega z|) \right]. \quad (3.27)$$

Therefore, now the matrix element is determined by integrals

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dz |z|^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_{\nu'}^* (|\omega z|) \mathcal{D}_1 |z|^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_\nu (|\omega z|) \\ &= a \left[\nu - \left(m_0^2 - q_m^2 + \frac{3}{4} \right) \right] \int_0^\infty dz \frac{Z_{\nu'}^* (|\omega z|) Z_\nu (|\omega z|)}{z^2} \\ & \quad - a |\omega| \int_0^\infty dz \frac{Z_{\nu'}^* (|\omega z|)}{z} \left[J_{\nu+1}(|\omega z|) - \xi_\nu J_{-\nu-1}(|\omega z|) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

For the continuous mode ($\nu = ir$), the integrals might be hard to evaluate. In the following, we restrict ourself to the real discrete mode $\nu = 3/2 + 2n$. In such case, $\xi_\nu = 0$. Therefore, the linear combination of the Bessel function is reduced to a single Bessel function as $Z_\nu(x) = J_\nu(x)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{J_\alpha(x)J_\beta(x)}{x} &= \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\sin\left[\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha - \beta)\right]}{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}, & [\text{Re}(\alpha), \text{Re}(\beta) > 0] \\ \int_0^\infty dx \frac{J_\alpha(x)J_\beta(x)}{x^2} &= \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{\sin\left[\frac{\pi}{2}(\alpha - \beta - 1)\right]}{[(\alpha + \beta)^2 - 1][(\alpha - \beta)^2 - 1]}, & [\text{Re}(\alpha), \text{Re}(\beta) > 1] \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

we have now found the matrix element for the discrete mode is given by

$$\frac{2a|\omega|}{\pi} \frac{\sin\left[\frac{\pi}{2}(\nu - \nu' - 1)\right]}{(\nu + 1)^2 - \nu'^2} \left[\frac{2[\nu - (m_0^2 - q_m^2 + \frac{3}{4})]}{(\nu - 1)^2 - \nu'^2} - 1 \right]. \quad (3.30)$$

Next, let us focus on the zero mode ($\nu = \nu' = 3/2$) eigenvalue. In the above formula, taking the bare mass to the BF bound: $m_0^2 = -1/4$ as before, the zero mode first order eigenvalue shift is found as

$$\frac{a|\omega|}{2\pi} (2 + q_0^2). \quad (3.31)$$

Now, we compare this result with the $1/J$ first order eigenvalue shift of the SYK model, which is for the zero mode found in [9] as

$$k(2, \omega) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_K|\omega|}{2\pi\mathcal{J}} + \dots, \quad (\text{zero temperature}) \quad (3.32)$$

where $\alpha_K \approx 2.852$ for $q = 4$. The ω -dependence of our result (3.31) thus agrees with that of the SYK model. Furthermore, this comparison confirms the duality $a = 1/J$.

Finally, we can now complete our comparison by showing agreement for the $m = 0$ mode contribution to the propagator. We include the first $\mathcal{O}(a)$ order shift for the pole as

$$\nu = \frac{3}{2} + \frac{a|\omega|}{6\pi} (2 + q_0^2) + \mathcal{O}(a^2). \quad (3.33)$$

For the zero mode part ($m = 0$) of the on-shell propagator in eq. (D.6), the leading order is $\mathcal{O}(1/a)$. This contribution comes from the coefficient factor of the Bessel function, which was responsible for the double pole at $\nu = 3/2$. For other p_0 setting them to $3/2$, we obtain the leading order contribution from the zero mode as

$$G_{\text{zero-mode}}^{(0)}(t, z, 0; t', z', 0) = -\frac{9\pi}{4a} \frac{B_0^2}{(2 + q_0^2)} |zz'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{|\omega|} e^{-i\omega(t-t')} J_{\frac{3}{2}}(|\omega z|) J_{\frac{3}{2}}(|\omega z'|). \quad (3.34)$$

This agrees with the order $\mathcal{O}(J)$ contribution of the SYK bi-local propagator of Maldacena/Stanford [9].

4 Conclusion

In this paper we have provided a three dimensional perspective of the bulk dual of the SYK model. At strong coupling we showed that the spectrum and the propagator of the bi-local field can be exactly reproduced by that of a scalar field living in $\text{AdS}_2 \times S^1/Z_2$ with a delta function potential at the center. The metric on the interval in the third direction is the dilaton of Jackiw-Teitelboim theory, which is a constant at strong coupling. We also calculated the leading $1/J$ correction to the propagator which comes from the corresponding term in the metric in the third direction, and showed that form of the poles of the propagator are consistent with the results of the SYK model [9].

We would like to emphasize that there are two aspects of this 3d perspective. The first concerns the agreement of the strong coupling spectrum and the form of the leading finite J correction. This agreement may very well follow from more general considerations [79].⁵ The second aspect is that the exact large- J propagator agrees, and the form of the leading enhanced correction for large but finite J agrees as well. We believe that this second aspect is rather non-trivial and intriguing and the implications are yet to be fully understood.

This three dimensional view is a good way of re-packaging the infinite tower of states of the SYK model. Our analysis was done at the linearized level and the 3D gravity is only used to fix the background, as we did not treat them dynamically.⁶ Demonstrating full duality at the nonlinear level is an open problem. In particular it would be interesting if the three point function of bi-locals [70] has a related 3d interpretation.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge useful conversations with Robert de Melo Koch, Animik Ghosh, Juan Maldacena, Cheng Peng, Al Shapere, Edward Witten and Junggi Yoon on the topics of this paper. We also thank Wenbo Fu, Alexei Kitaev, Grigory Tarnopolsky and Jacobus Verbaarschot for relevant discussions on the SYK model. This work is supported by the Department of Energy under contract DE-SC0010010. The work of SRD is partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant NSF-PHY-1521045. AJ would like to thank the Galileo Galilei Institute for Theoretical Physics (GGI) for the hospitality and INFN for partial support during the completion of this work, within the program “New Developments in $\text{AdS}_3/\text{CFT}_2$ Holography”. We also learned of possibly related work by Marika Taylor [80].

A Actions non-polynomial in derivatives

To illustrate how an action which is non-polynomial in derivatives can arise let us start with the example of N decoupled fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_n \mathcal{D}_n \varphi_n. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

⁵We thank the referee for bringing this paper to our attention.

⁶We thank Juan Maldacena for a clarification regarding this point.

One can then introduce fields

$$\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_n \quad (n = 1, \dots, N-1), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

so that the Lagrangian is rearranged to

$$\mathcal{L} = \varphi \widehat{\mathcal{D}} \varphi + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \chi_n \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n \varphi_n, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{D}} &= \frac{\prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{D}_n}{\sum_{n_1 < \dots < n_{N-1}}^N \mathcal{D}_{n_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{n_{N-1}}}, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_p &= \frac{\sum_{n_1 < \dots < n_p}^{p+1} \mathcal{D}_{n_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{n_p}}{\sum_{n_1 < \dots < n_{p-1}}^p \mathcal{D}_{n_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{n_{p-1}}}, \quad (p = 1, \dots, N-1) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

which represents a transformation preserving the determinant:

$$\prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{D}_n = \widehat{\mathcal{D}} + \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_n. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Integrating χ 's out, one eventually ends up with the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi = \varphi \left(\frac{\prod_{n=1}^N \mathcal{D}_n}{\sum_{n_1 < \dots < n_{N-1}}^N \mathcal{D}_{n_1} \dots \mathcal{D}_{n_{N-1}}} \right) \varphi. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Here all the poles are contained in the higher-order laplacian, as in eq. (2.16). The opposite procedure of going from this effective action with the N -th order Laplacian to the first one, requires introducing $N-1$ extra fields, which would correspond to the scheme introduced by Ostrogradsky.

B Schrodinger equation

In this appendix, we consider the equation of $f(y)$, which is the Schrödinger equation:

$$\left[-\partial_y^2 + V\delta(y) \right] f(y) = E f(y), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where E is an eigenvalue of the equation. Since we confined the field in $-L < y < L$, we have boundary conditions: $f(\pm L) = 0$. The continuation conditions at $y = 0$ are $f(+0) = f(-0)$ and the other can be derived by integrating the Schrödinger equation (B.1) over $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and taking limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as

$$f'(+0) - f'(-0) = V f(0). \quad (\text{B.2})$$

Since the potential of the Schrödinger equation is even function, the wave function is either odd or even function of y .

(i) Odd: for odd parity case, a solution satisfying the boundary conditions at $y = \pm L$ is given by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} A \sin(k(y - L)) & (0 < y < L) \\ A \sin(k(y + L)) & (-L < y < 0) \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where $k^2 = E$. For odd parity solution, to satisfy the boundary condition $f(+0) = f(-0)$, we need $f(\pm 0) = 0$. This implies that

$$k = \frac{\pi n}{L}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Then, the continuity condition (B.2) is automatically satisfied. The normalization constant is fixed as $A = 1/\sqrt{L}$.

(ii) Even: for even parity case, a solution satisfying the boundary conditions at $y = \pm L$ is given by

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} B \sin(k(y - L)) & (0 < y < L) \\ -B \sin(k(y + L)) & (-L < y < 0) \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where $k^2 = E$. The evenness of the parity guarantees $f(-0) = f(+0)$. So, we only need to impose the condition (B.2) on this solution. This condition gives an equation

$$-\frac{2}{V} k = \tan(kL). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Now we set $L = \pi/2$ and $V = 3$, then we have $-(2/3)k = \tan(\pi k/2)$, which is precisely the same transcendental equation determining poles of the $q = 4$ SYK bi-local propagator (2.20). We denote the solutions of $-(2/3)k = \tan(\pi k/2)$ by p_m , $(2m + 1 < p_m < 2m + 2)$, $(m = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$. The normalization constant is fixed as

$$B = \sqrt{\frac{2k}{2kL - \sin(2kL)}}. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

Finally, let us prove the orthogonality of the parity even wave function (B.5):

$$\int_{-L}^L dy f_m(y) f_{m'}(y) = \delta_{m,m'}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Using the solution (B.5) and evaluating the integral in the left-hand side, one obtains

$$B^2 \left[\frac{\sin(L(k - k'))}{k - k'} - \frac{\sin(L(k + k'))}{k + k'} \right]. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Now let's assume $k \neq k'$. Then, the integral result can be rearranged to the form of

$$\frac{B^2}{k^2 - k'^2} \cos(Lk) \cos(Lk') \left[k' \tan(Lk) - k \tan(Lk') \right] = 0, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where the final equality is due to the relation $\tan(Lk) = -2k/3$. Next, we consider $k = k'$ case. In this case, due to the delta function identity, the result (B.9) is reduced to

$$B^2 \left[L - \frac{\sin(2Lk)}{2k} \right] \delta_{k,k'} = \delta_{k,k'}, \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where for the equality we used eq. (B.7). Therefore, now we have proven the orthogonality (B.8).

C Completeness condition of Z_ν

In this appendix, we summarize some properties of the Bessel function Z_ν , which are used to determine the zero-th order propagator (3.18). The linear combination of the Bessel functions is defined by [8]

$$Z_\nu(x) = J_\nu(x) + \xi_\nu J_{-\nu}(x), \quad \xi_\nu = \frac{\tan(\pi\nu/2) + 1}{\tan(\pi\nu/2) - 1}, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

which satisfies the Bessel equation

$$[z^2 \partial_z^2 + z \partial_z + \omega^2 z^2] Z_\nu(|\omega z|) = \nu^2 Z_\nu(|\omega z|). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

In [8], the orthogonality condition of the linear combination of the Bessel function Z_ν (C.1) is given by

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x} Z_\nu^*(x) Z_{\nu'}(x) = N_\nu \delta(\nu - \nu'), \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where N_ν is defined in (2.15).

From this orthogonality condition, one can fix the normalization for the completeness condition of Z_ν . Namely, dividing each Z_ν by $\sqrt{N_\nu}$, finally we find the completeness condition as

$$\int \frac{d\nu}{N_\nu} Z_\nu^*(|x|) Z_\nu(|x'|) = x \delta(x - x'). \quad (\text{C.4})$$

D Evaluation of the contour integral

In this appendix, we give a detail evaluation of the continuous and the discrete sums appearing in eq. (3.19). As we defined before, the integral symbol $d\nu$ is a short-hand notation of a combination of summation over $\nu = 3/2 + 2n$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and integration of $\nu = ir$, ($r > 0$). Namely,

$$\int \frac{d\nu}{N_\nu} \frac{Z_\nu^*(|\omega z|) Z_\nu(|\omega z'|)}{\nu^2 - p_m^2} = I_1 + I_2, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\nu}{\nu^2 - p_m^2} J_\nu(|\omega z|) J_\nu(|\omega z'|) \Big|_{\nu=\frac{3}{2}+2n}, \\ I_2 &\equiv - \int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{2 \sinh(\pi r)} \frac{r}{r^2 + p_m^2} Z_{ir}^*(|\omega z|) Z_{ir}(|\omega z'|). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.2})$$

Let us evaluate the continuous sum I_2 first. Using the symmetry of the integrand, one can rewrite the integral as

$$I_2 = -\frac{i}{2} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\nu}{\sin(\pi\nu)} \frac{\nu}{\nu^2 - p_m^2} \left[J_{-\nu}(|\omega z|) + \xi_{-\nu} J_\nu(|\omega z|) \right] J_\nu(|\omega z'|). \quad (\text{D.3})$$

We evaluate this integral by a contour integral on the complex ν plane by closing the contour in the $\text{Re}(\nu) > 0$ half of the complex plane if $z > z'$. Inside of this contour, we have two types of the poles. (i) at $\nu = p_m$ coming from the coefficient factor. (ii) at $\nu = 3/2 + 2n$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) coming from $\xi_{-\nu}$, where $\xi_{-\nu} = \infty$. After evaluating residues at these poles, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 = & -\frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\pi p_m)} \left[J_{-p_m}(|\omega z|) + \xi_{-p_m} J_{p_m}(|\omega z|) \right] J_{p_m}(|\omega z'|) \\ & - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\nu}{\nu^2 - p_m^2} J_\nu(|\omega z|) J_\nu(|\omega z'|) \Big|_{\nu=\frac{3}{2}+2n}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.4})$$

Now, one can notice that the second term exactly cancels with the contribution from I_1 . One can also repeat the above discussion for $z' > z$ case. Therefore, combining these two cases the total contribution is now

$$I_1 + I_2 = -\frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\pi p_m)} \left[J_{-p_m}(|\omega|z^>) + \left(\frac{p_m + \frac{3}{2}}{p_m - \frac{3}{2}} \right) J_{p_m}(|\omega|z^>) \right] J_{p_m}(|\omega|z^<), \quad (\text{D.5})$$

where $z^>$ ($z^<$) is the greater (smaller) number among z and z' . Then, the propagator is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} G^{(0)}(t, z, 0; t', z', 0) = & \frac{1}{4} |zz'|^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \frac{B_m^2}{\sin(\pi p_m)} \frac{p_m^2}{p_m^2 + (3/2)^2} \\ & \times \left[J_{-p_m}(|\omega|z^>) + \left(\frac{p_m + \frac{3}{2}}{p_m - \frac{3}{2}} \right) J_{p_m}(|\omega|z^>) \right] J_{p_m}(|\omega|z^<). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.6})$$

This agrees with the result given in eq. (3.22).

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, *Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70** (1993) 3339 [[cond-mat/9212030](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9212030)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+cond-mat/9212030)].
- [2] A. Georges, O. Parcollet and S. Sachdev, *Mean field theory of a quantum Heisenberg spin glass*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** (2000) 840 [[cond-mat/9909239](https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/9909239)].
- [3] S. Sachdev, *Holographic metals and the fractionalized Fermi liquid*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 151602 [[arXiv:1006.3794](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.3794)] [[INSPIRE](https://inspirehep.net/search?p=find+EPRINT+arXiv:1006.3794)].

- [4] S. Sachdev, *Strange metals and the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **1011** (2010) P11022 [[arXiv:1010.0682](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.0682)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] A. Kitaev, *A simple model of quantum holography*, talk given at *KITP strings seminar and Entanglement 2015 program*, February 12, April 7, and May 27, Santa Barbara, U.S.A. (2015).
- [6] A. Kitaev, *Hidden correlations in the Hawking radiation and thermal noise*, talk given at *Fundamental Physics Prize Symposium*, November 10, Santa Barbara, U.S.A. (2014).
- [7] S. Sachdev, *Bekenstein-Hawking entropy and strange metals*, *Phys. Rev. X* **5** (2015) 041025 [[arXiv:1506.05111](https://arxiv.org/abs/1506.05111)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] J. Polchinski and V. Rosenhaus, *The spectrum in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *JHEP* **04** (2016) 001 [[arXiv:1601.06768](https://arxiv.org/abs/1601.06768)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, *Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 106002 [[arXiv:1604.07818](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.07818)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] A. Jevicki, K. Suzuki and J. Yoon, *Bi-local holography in the SYK model*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 007 [[arXiv:1603.06246](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.06246)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] A. Jevicki and K. Suzuki, *Bi-local holography in the SYK model: perturbations*, *JHEP* **11** (2016) 046 [[arXiv:1608.07567](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07567)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] R.A. Davison et al., *Thermoelectric transport in disordered metals without quasiparticles: The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models and holography*, *Phys. Rev. B* **95** (2017) 155131 [[arXiv:1612.00849](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.00849)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] I. Danshita, M. Hanada and M. Tezuka, *Creating and probing the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model with ultracold gases: towards experimental studies of quantum gravity*, [arXiv:1606.02454](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.02454) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] J. Erdmenger et al., *Holographic impurities and Kondo effect*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **64** (2016) 322 [[arXiv:1511.09362](https://arxiv.org/abs/1511.09362)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] D.J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, *A Generalization of Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev*, *JHEP* **02** (2017) 093 [[arXiv:1610.01569](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.01569)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] Y. Gu, X.-L. Qi and D. Stanford, *Local criticality, diffusion and chaos in generalized Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 125 [[arXiv:1609.07832](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.07832)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] M. Berkooz, P. Narayan, M. Rozali and J. Simón, *Higher dimensional generalizations of the SYK model*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 138 [[arXiv:1610.02422](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.02422)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] W. Fu, D. Gaiotto, J. Maldacena and S. Sachdev, *Supersymmetric Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 026009 [[arXiv:1610.08917](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.08917)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] W. Fu and S. Sachdev, *Numerical study of fermion and boson models with infinite-range random interactions*, *Phys. Rev. B* **94** (2016) 035135 [[arXiv:1603.05246](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.05246)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] L. García-Álvarez et al., *Digital quantum simulation of minimal AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119** (2017) 040501 [[arXiv:1607.08560](https://arxiv.org/abs/1607.08560)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] S.A. Hartnoll, L. Huijse and E.A. Mazenc, *Matrix quantum mechanics from qubits*, *JHEP* **01** (2017) 010 [[arXiv:1608.05090](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05090)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] T. Nishinaka and S. Terashima, *A note on Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev like model without random coupling*, [arXiv:1611.10290](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.10290) [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [23] G. Turiaci and H. Verlinde, *Towards a 2d QFT analog of the SYK model*, [arXiv:1701.00528](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.00528) [INSPIRE].
- [24] S.-K. Jian and H. Yao, *Solvable SYK models in higher dimensions: a new type of many-body localization transition*, [arXiv:1703.02051](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02051) [INSPIRE].
- [25] A. Chew, A. Essin and J. Alicea, *Approximating the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model with Majorana wires*, [arXiv:1703.06890](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.06890) [INSPIRE].
- [26] E. Witten, *An SYK-like model without disorder*, [arXiv:1610.09758](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.09758) [INSPIRE].
- [27] R. Gurau, *The complete $1/N$ expansion of a SYK-like tensor model*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **916** (2017) 386 [[arXiv:1611.04032](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.04032)] [INSPIRE].
- [28] I.R. Klebanov and G. Tarnopolsky, *Uncolored random tensors, melon diagrams and the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev models*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 046004 [[arXiv:1611.08915](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.08915)] [INSPIRE].
- [29] C. Peng, M. Spradlin and A. Volovich, *A supersymmetric SYK-like tensor model*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 062 [[arXiv:1612.03851](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.03851)] [INSPIRE].
- [30] F. Ferrari, *The large D limit of planar diagrams*, [arXiv:1701.01171](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.01171) [INSPIRE].
- [31] H. Itoyama, A. Mironov and A. Morozov, *Rainbow tensor model with enhanced symmetry and extreme melonic dominance*, *Phys. Lett. B* **771** (2017) 180 [[arXiv:1703.04983](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.04983)] [INSPIRE].
- [32] C. Peng, *Vector models and generalized SYK models*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 129 [[arXiv:1704.04223](https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.04223)] [INSPIRE].
- [33] Y.-Z. You, A.W.W. Ludwig and C. Xu, *Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model and thermalization on the boundary of many-body localized fermionic symmetry protected topological states*, *Phys. Rev. B* **95** (2017) 115150 [[arXiv:1602.06964](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.06964)] [INSPIRE].
- [34] A.M. García-García and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, *Spectral and thermodynamic properties of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **94** (2016) 126010 [[arXiv:1610.03816](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.03816)] [INSPIRE].
- [35] J.S. Cotler et al., *Black holes and random matrices*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 118 [[arXiv:1611.04650](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.04650)] [INSPIRE].
- [36] Y. Liu, M.A. Nowak and I. Zahed, *Disorder in the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model*, [arXiv:1612.05233](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05233) [INSPIRE].
- [37] C. Krishnan, S. Sanyal and P.N. Bala Subramanian, *Quantum chaos and holographic tensor models*, *JHEP* **03** (2017) 056 [[arXiv:1612.06330](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.06330)] [INSPIRE].
- [38] A.M. García-García and J.J.M. Verbaarschot, *Analytical spectral density of the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model at finite N* , [arXiv:1701.06593](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.06593) [INSPIRE].
- [39] T. Li, J. Liu, Y. Xin and Y. Zhou, *Supersymmetric SYK model and random matrix theory*, *JHEP* **06** (2017) 111 [[arXiv:1702.01738](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.01738)] [INSPIRE].
- [40] S.H. Shenker and D. Stanford, *Black holes and the butterfly effect*, *JHEP* **03** (2014) 067 [[arXiv:1306.0622](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.0622)] [INSPIRE].
- [41] S. Leichenauer, *Disrupting entanglement of black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 046009 [[arXiv:1405.7365](https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.7365)] [INSPIRE].
- [42] S.H. Shenker and D. Stanford, *Stringy effects in scrambling*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 132 [[arXiv:1412.6087](https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.6087)] [INSPIRE].
- [43] J. Maldacena, S.H. Shenker and D. Stanford, *A bound on chaos*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 106 [[arXiv:1503.01409](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.01409)] [INSPIRE].

[44] J. Polchinski, *Chaos in the black hole S-matrix*, [arXiv:1505.08108](https://arxiv.org/abs/1505.08108) [INSPIRE].

[45] P. Caputa, T. Numasawa and A. Veliz-Osorio, *Out-of-time-ordered correlators and purity in rational conformal field theories*, *PTEP* **2016** (2016) 113B06 [[arXiv:1602.06542](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.06542)] [INSPIRE].

[46] Y. Gu and X.-L. Qi, *Fractional statistics and the butterfly effect*, *JHEP* **08** (2016) 129 [[arXiv:1602.06543](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.06543)] [INSPIRE].

[47] E. Perlmutter, *Bounding the space of holographic CFTs with chaos*, *JHEP* **10** (2016) 069 [[arXiv:1602.08272](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.08272)] [INSPIRE].

[48] D. Anninos, T. Anous and F. Denef, *Disordered quivers and cold horizons*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 071 [[arXiv:1603.00453](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.00453)] [INSPIRE].

[49] G. Turiaci and H. Verlinde, *On CFT and quantum chaos*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 110 [[arXiv:1603.03020](https://arxiv.org/abs/1603.03020)] [INSPIRE].

[50] S.R. Das and A. Jevicki, *Large- N collective fields and holography*, *Phys. Rev. D* **68** (2003) 044011 [[hep-th/0304093](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0304093)] [INSPIRE].

[51] I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *AdS dual of the critical $O(N)$ vector model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **550** (2002) 213 [[hep-th/0210114](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0210114)] [INSPIRE].

[52] R. de Mello Koch, A. Jevicki, K. Jin and J.P. Rodrigues, *AdS_4/CFT_3 construction from collective fields*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 025006 [[arXiv:1008.0633](https://arxiv.org/abs/1008.0633)] [INSPIRE].

[53] R. de Mello Koch, A. Jevicki, J.P. Rodrigues and J. Yoon, *Holography as a gauge phenomenon in higher spin duality*, *JHEP* **01** (2015) 055 [[arXiv:1408.1255](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.1255)] [INSPIRE].

[54] R. de Mello Koch, A. Jevicki, J.P. Rodrigues and J. Yoon, *Canonical formulation of $O(N)$ vector/higher spin correspondence*, *J. Phys. A* **48** (2015) 105403 [[arXiv:1408.4800](https://arxiv.org/abs/1408.4800)] [INSPIRE].

[55] S.R. Das and A. Jevicki, *String field theory and physical interpretation of $D = 1$ strings*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **5** (1990) 1639 [INSPIRE].

[56] K. Jensen, *Chaos in AdS_2 holography*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 111601 [[arXiv:1605.06098](https://arxiv.org/abs/1605.06098)] [INSPIRE].

[57] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, *Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional nearly Anti-de-Sitter space*, *PTEP* **2016** (2016) 12C104 [[arXiv:1606.01857](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01857)] [INSPIRE].

[58] J. Engelsöy, T.G. Mertens and H. Verlinde, *An investigation of AdS_2 backreaction and holography*, *JHEP* **07** (2016) 139 [[arXiv:1606.03438](https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.03438)] [INSPIRE].

[59] S. Förste and I. Golla, *Nearly AdS_2 SUGRA and the super-Schwarzian*, *Phys. Lett. B* **771** (2017) 157 [[arXiv:1703.10969](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.10969)] [INSPIRE].

[60] C. Teitelboim, *Gravitation and hamiltonian structure in two space-time dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **126** (1983) 41.

[61] R. Jackiw, *Lower dimensional gravity*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **252** (1985) 343.

[62] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, *Models of AdS_2 backreaction and holography*, *JHEP* **11** (2015) 014 [[arXiv:1402.6334](https://arxiv.org/abs/1402.6334)] [INSPIRE].

[63] G. Mandal, P. Nayak and S.R. Wadia, *Coadjoint orbit action of Virasoro group and two-dimensional quantum gravity dual to SYK/tensor models*, [arXiv:1702.04266](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.04266) [INSPIRE].

- [64] M. Cvetič and I. Papadimitriou, *AdS₂ holographic dictionary*, *JHEP* **12** (2016) 008 [*Erratum ibid.* **01** (2017) 120] [[arXiv:1608.07018](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.07018)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [65] P. Diaz, S. Das and M. Walton, *Bilocal theory and gravity I*, [arXiv:1609.08631](https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08631) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [66] K. Hashimoto and N. Tanahashi, *Universality in chaos of particle motion near black hole horizon*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 024007 [[arXiv:1610.06070](https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.06070)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [67] M. Blake and A. Donos, *Diffusion and chaos from near AdS₂ horizons*, *JHEP* **02** (2017) 013 [[arXiv:1611.09380](https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09380)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [68] M. Mezei, S.S. Pufu and Y. Wang, *A 2d/1d holographic duality*, [arXiv:1703.08749](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.08749) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [69] P. Diaz and S. Das, *Bilocal theory and gravity II*, [arXiv:1705.03893](https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03893) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [70] D.J. Gross and V. Rosenhaus, *The bulk dual of SYK: cubic couplings*, *JHEP* **05** (2017) 092 [[arXiv:1702.08016](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.08016)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [71] P. Breitenlohner and D.Z. Freedman, *Positive energy in Anti-de Sitter backgrounds and gauged extended supergravity*, *Phys. Lett. B* **115** (1982) 197.
- [72] A. Jevicki, K. Jin and J. Yoon, *1/N and loop corrections in higher spin AdS₄/CFT₃ duality*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 085039 [[arXiv:1401.3318](https://arxiv.org/abs/1401.3318)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [73] J.-L. Gervais, A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, *Perturbation expansion around extended particle states in quantum field theory. 1.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **12** (1975) 1038 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [74] J.-L. Gervais, A. Jevicki and B. Sakita, *Collective coordinate method for quantization of extended systems*, *Phys. Rept.* **23** (1976) 281 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [75] P. Hořava and E. Witten, *Heterotic and type-I string dynamics from eleven-dimensions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **460** (1996) 506 [[hep-th/9510209](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9510209)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [76] H. Georgi, A.K. Grant and G. Hailu, *Brane couplings from bulk loops*, *Phys. Lett. B* **506** (2001) 207 [[hep-ph/0012379](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0012379)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [77] M. Carena, T.M.P. Tait and C.E.M. Wagner, *Branes and orbifolds are opaque*, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **33** (2002) 2355 [[hep-ph/0207056](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0207056)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [78] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Tables of integrals, series, and products*, Academic Press, San Diego U.S.A. (1994).
- [79] A. Savchuk and A.A. Shaklikov, *Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials*, *Math. Notes* **66** (1999) 897.
- [80] M. Taylor, *Comments on AdS₃, dilaton gravity and SYK*, talk given at the *New Developments in AdS₃/CFT₂ Holography Workshop*, April 11, GGI Florence, Italy (2017).