

LA-UR-17-22363 (Accepted Manuscript)

Resonant Ultrasound Spectroscopy studies of Berea sandstone at high temperature

Davis, Eric Sean
Pantea, Cristian
Sturtevant, Blake
Sinha, Dipen N.

Provided by the author(s) and the Los Alamos National Laboratory (2017-08-04).

To be published in: Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth

DOI to publisher's version: 10.1002/2016JB013410

Permalink to record: <http://permalink.lanl.gov/object/view?what=info:lanl-repo/lareport/LA-UR-17-22363>

Disclaimer:

Approved for public release. Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

Resonant Ultrasound Spectroscopy Studies of Berea Sandstone at High Temperature

Eric S. Davis, Blake T. Sturtevant, Dipen N. Sinha, and Cristian Pantea, Materials Physics and Applications, MPA-11, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA.

Corresponding Author: Cristian Pantea, Materials Physics Applications, MPA-11, MS D429, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA. (pantea@lanl.gov)

Key Points.

- Elastic properties of Berea sandstone were studied at high temperatures
- Berea sandstone exhibits anomalous elastic behavior between 375 and 478 K
- Room temperature RUS bulk modulus measurements agree well with literature

Abstract. Resonant Ultrasound Spectroscopy was used to determine the elastic moduli of Berea sandstone from room temperature to 478K. Sandstone is a common component of oil reservoirs, and the temperature range was chosen to be representative of typical downhole conditions, down to about 8 km. In agreement with previous works, Berea sandstone was found to be relatively soft with a bulk modulus of approximately 6 GPa as compared to 37.5 GPa for α -Quartz at room temperature and pressure. It was found that Berea sandstone undergoes a ~17% softening in bulk modulus between room temperature and 385 K, followed by an abnormal behavior of similar stiffening between 385 K and 478 K.

Index Terms.

3909 Elasticity and anelasticity,

3999 General or miscellaneous

5102 Acoustic properties

Key Words.

RUS, Berea sandstone, elastic properties

29 1. Introduction

30 Understanding the mechanical properties of rocks beneath Earth's surface, at
 31 temperatures typically found in oil wells, is of great importance to the oil/gas and geothermal
 32 industry. This knowledge has become even more important with the recent rise of hydraulic
 33 fracturing (fracking) as a preferred method for oil and gas extraction. Sandstone is commonly
 34 found in oil and gas reservoirs [Slatt, 2014] and detailed calculations based on the mechanical
 35 properties of the reservoir's constituent materials are needed to safely and efficiently extract oil
 36 or gas. This requirement creates a great need to determine the elastic properties of the sandstone
 37 not only at room temperature, but also at higher temperatures to simulate downhole conditions as
 38 temperatures quickly rise with increased drilling depth at a rate of approximately 25 kelvin per
 39 kilometer [Finger and Blankenship, 2010].

40 Several earlier studies, briefly described here, were performed on sandstones in order to
 41 determine their mechanical properties under different conditions of pressures and temperatures.
 42 Ulrich and Darling performed a qualitative study on the elastic properties dependence with
 43 temperature for Berea sandstone. The temperature range covered was room temperature to about
 44 8 K. They showed the existence of a hysteresis in elastic properties with cooling vs warming, and
 45 also the presence of an anomalous behavior which indicates that Berea sandstone is softening
 46 with decrease in temperature between 200 K and 60 K. [Ulrich and Darling, 2001]. Liang et al.
 47 discovered that salt rock strength is affected very little by strain rate and that there is a
 48 logarithmic relationship between deformation modulus and loading strain rate [Liang et al.,
 49 2011]. Ten Cate and Shankland explored slow dynamics in Berea sandstone and found that it has
 50 a strong memory of strain history and hysteresis in resonant frequencies with changing strain
 51 amplitude [Ten Cate and Shankland, 1996]. Costin and Holcomb found that cyclic loading can
 52 induce microcrack damage in rocks and cause specimen failure that is inconsistent with results
 53 extrapolated from static tests. Additionally, Costin and Holcomb found that high stress can
 54 destroy discrete memory in rock specimens and reduce stress cycle hysteresis [Costin and
 55 Holcomb, 1981]. Rocks, and particularly sandstone, exhibit several unusual elastic responses to
 56 stress that include nonclassical attenuation, stress-strain hysteresis, slow dynamics, and high
 57 vibrational energy loss due to internal defects [Johnson et al., 1999; Lebedev, 2002]. These
 58 features, combined with the acoustic nonlinearity of the material that is due to the bond system
 59 controlling the elastic properties rather than the grains, result in rock being an extremely difficult
 60 material to study mechanically [Nobili et al., 2005]. These materials merit further study as their
 61 mechanical behavior have a strong temperature dependence. Several factors, such as
 62 temperature, pressure, composition, porosity, moisture etc., are known to affect the elastic
 63 properties of porous materials [Zhang and Bentley, 2003]. Berea sandstone is a relatively soft,
 64 porous material that is highly attenuating to sound and attributes most of its mechanical
 65 properties to quartz, which is its major constituent. Berea sandstone has a porosity of 13% to

23% and is composed of 93.13% silica, 3.86% alumina, 0.54% ferrous oxide, 0.25% magnesia, 0.11% ferric oxide, and 0.10% calcium oxide (www.bereasandstonecores.com). Berea sandstone exhibits no known temperature induced phase transitions between room temperature and ~846 K.

Most past studies of Berea sandstone's elastic properties have used pulse-echo, or transmit-receive approaches [Winkler, 1983; Green and Wang, 1994]. Harris and Wang used Differential Acoustic Resonance Spectroscopy (DARS) which allows for a wide variety of sample shapes and quick sample preparation and works by measuring the resonance shift between a fluid-filled cavity and the same cavity with a sample placed inside. This technique has allowed for very low frequency sound speed measurements on rock samples with highly irregular shapes and extremely small dimensions with results comparable to literature values [Harris et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2012]. Hart and Wang measured the poroelastic moduli of Berea sandstone using static stress-strain measurements under varying pore pressure conditions [Hart and Wang, 1995]. Ulrich used Resonant Ultrasound Spectroscopy (RUS) to perform a qualitative study of the behavior of Berea sandstone at low temperatures [Ulrich and Darling, 2001], while Ten Cate [Ten Cate and Shankland, 1996] and Johnson [Johnson et al., 2004], used a variation of RUS, Nonlinear RUS (NRUS) to investigate its slow dynamics. Renaud et al. [Renaud et al., 2013] used a dynamic acousto-elastic method to investigate the elasticity of dry Berea sandstone as a function of applied low-frequency axial strain.

This paper focuses exclusively on high temperature effects, using RUS, a technique known to provide elastic constants with high accuracy [Migliori and Sarrao, 1997] The RUS technique is treated exhaustively in Ref [Migliori and Sarrao, 1997] but is described here briefly for completeness. RUS is used to accurately and nondestructively extract the elastic moduli of a small solid object of well-defined geometry using the material's natural mechanical resonances. In RUS, a swept frequency acoustic signal is applied to the sample through a piezoelectric transducer while the mechanical response is recorded using a second transducer in contact with the sample. This technique can be applied to a wide variety of sample types and geometries with very little material needed and provides the highest accuracy for determination of elastic constants, with typical accuracies of 0.5-1.0% for compressional moduli and .02% for shear moduli from fits with a 0.1-0.2% RMS error [Migliori and Sarrao, 1997; Pandey and Schreuer, 2012; Liu et al., 2010; Sedmák et al., 2013]. Additionally, RUS is capable of measurements over a significantly large temperature and pressure range which is important for simulating inner-earth conditions and elucidating effects of changing environment on the mechanical properties of materials.

2. Experimental

The Berea sandstone sample used in our study was cut and prepared into a rectangular parallelepiped with the dimensions 9.13 x 8.32 x 6.65 mm³. The small sample size was chosen to avoid low frequency resonances which would couple to other parts of the experimental apparatus. Small samples have the added advantage that they are effectively isotropic, a feature

that is not necessarily true for a larger sedimentary sample [Sayers *et al.*, 1990]. As the largest wavelength used in this study (12.55mm) is several magnitudes larger than the average grain size of Berea sandstone, isotropy can be assumed for the Berea sample. The sample was mounted between two Boston PiezoOptics, 6 x 2 x 3.25 mm, 1 MHz, 36° Y-cut (P-wave or longitudinally-polarized) LiNbO₃ piezoelectric transducers. In theory, RUS assumes free boundary conditions for the sample. Experimentally, this is achieved by using point contacts between the sample and the transducers. Corner mounting of the transducers was attempted to achieve this condition and to reduce noise from transducer contact, but it was found that such a mounting implementation was not only impractical for the environmental conditions in this study, but also difficult to achieve from the standpoint of obtaining sufficient acoustic signal through the transducer. Consequently, the transducers were affixed with a high temperature epoxy (EPO-TEK, TM112) to the 9.13 x 6.65 mm face parallel to the 9.13 mm side of the sample (Fig. 1). The sample/transducer assembly was placed into a small-diameter tube furnace (Blue M) with a PID controller (Eurotherm 847) for precise temperature manipulation. The furnace environment initially consisted of ambient air and remained unmodified throughout the experiment except by temperature change. Near-sample temperature was obtained using a type-J thermocouple attached to a data acquisition module (MC USB-TC-AI DAQ, Measurement Computing). RUS spectra were obtained using a vector network analyzer (Bode 100, Omicron Lab) connected to a computer for data acquisition. Prior to data collection, the sample was heated to 478 K for a period of two hours to thoroughly dry the sample. After this initial baking, the sample and transducers were brought back to room temperature inside the furnace tube with both ends stuffed with quartz wool. The following day, the sample and transducer were heated to 478 K in less than an hour and then cooled to room temperature over a period of approximately 7 hours. During sample cooling, the furnace temperature was briefly (~5 minutes) stabilized every 5 K so that data could be collected. Each spectrum spanned frequencies between 50 kHz and 170 kHz with 4096 points and the network analyzer had a source power of 12.0 dBm and an IF bandwidth of 100 Hz. Each sweep took approximately four minutes, during which the furnace temperature was stable to within 1 K.

3. Results

Based on theoretical calculations, the frequency region studied here covers the first 31 resonances for the sample used in this study. In order to reliably determine elastic moduli, it is generally accepted that one must have at least five resonances per elastic modulus [Migliori and Sarrao, 1997]. An isotropic polycrystalline sample, such as the one studied here, has two independent elastic moduli, requiring at least 10 resonances to be taken into account.

At each temperature, the RUS spectrum was analyzed using freely available RUS analysis code available at <https://nationalmaglab.org/user-facilities/dc-field/dcfield-techniques/resonant-ultrasound-dc>. As an example of the procedure used, the room temperature data analysis will be described in the next section.

3.1. Room Temperature

The room temperature RUS spectrum is shown in Fig. 2. The quality factor (Q), calculated here as the frequency of a peak divided by its full width at half maximum, ranged from 100-250 depending on the resonance being considered. This Q factor is in agreement with that obtained by Winkler et al. which reported a Q of ~140-170 for dry Berea sandstone at low strain amplitudes [Winkler et al., 1979]. Although Berea sandstone is highly attenuating, it can be seen in Fig. 2 that the resonant frequencies are readily identified, even with the peak broadening associated with a low Q factor and some overlapping of neighboring peaks. For correct mode identification in Berea Sandstone, we used different sets of elastic constants, either from literature [Remillieux et al., 2015; Shankland et al., 1993; Renaud et al., 2013; Winkler et al., 1979; Sayers et al., 1990], or from through-transmission experiments for both compressional and shear waves, performed in our lab. These values provide a reasonable starting guess for forward calculation of the resonant frequencies. Multiple room temperature fittings were performed with a wide variety of Cij values until error minimization between computed and experimental resonances was achieved. Experimental modes corresponding strongly with their calculated room temperature counterparts can then be tracked with temperature as they exhibit only small shifts between successive temperature steps.

Table 1 presents the results obtained from a fit to the room temperature experimental resonance data. The fit was calculated using 14 basis functions. The columns in Table 1 are as follows: 1) the resonance number; 2) the experimental resonance frequencies (f_{ex}); 3) resonance frequencies (f_r) calculated from the fitting software; 4) percent error for each resonance, $\%err = (f_r - f_{ex})/f_{ex} * 100$; 5) a weighting factor, wt, between 0 and 1 that indicates how heavily the fit procedure should consider each resonance (0 means do not consider, 1 means consider fully); 6) mode symmetry (k) as described in Ref [Migliori and Sarrao]; 7) order (i); and finally 8), the sensitivity of each resonance frequency to the elastic moduli normalized to unity (df/dC_{11} and df/dC_{44} .)

The root mean square (rms) error of the fit ($\sqrt{\sum_n \frac{(f_r - f_{ex})^2}{n}} \times 100$) shown in the table was 0.33%, which gives a high confidence for the calculated values of elastic moduli. As seen in Table 1, two resonances, at 117.03 and 145.32 kHz, were omitted from the calculations. After multiple fitting attempts, these resonances were obvious outliers and could not be fit to better than 1%. Considering that Berea sandstone is a porous material, and the transducers were glued on the sample, it is not unexpected that some resonances will be affected more than others by these artifacts. RUS fits that included anisotropy were also investigated (cubic and hexagonal), but did not lead to significant improvement of the fit or to significant changes in determined elastic moduli. This finding indicates that the earlier-stated assumption that the sample is isotropic on these length scales is reasonable.

Once a stable fit was obtained, the room temperature dimensions of the sample were also allowed to vary, resulting in the following dimensions of the sample: 9.05 x 8.23 x 6.81 mm³. These dimensions are different by about 1-2% compared to our measured values. Due to the porous nature of the material, the corners of the sample are not perfectly sharp. Allowing the dimensions to vary in the fitting routine accounts for this artifact to some extent. Thermal expansion of the sample was accounted for in calculation of the elastic moduli for each temperature step using available thermal expansion data [Somerton and Salim, 1961]. The volumetric thermal expansion was estimated as $43.7 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ K}^{-1}$ from figure 3 in the reference above.

The first 25 resonance modes for the sample used in this study are depicted graphically and in order (first left-to-right and then top-to-bottom) in Fig. 3. The graphical representations are calculated using COMSOL Multiphysics. For each mode, the instantaneous particle displacements are shown using a thermometer color scheme where blue is low (cold) and red is a high value (hot). No correlation between face displacement of poorly fitted modes and the face on which the transducers were mounted was found. This is illustrated by comparing table 1 to figure 3 in which poorly fitted modes had a degenerate mode that fit well.

The room temperature values of C_{11} and C_{44} were found to be 12.2 and 4.8 GPa, respectively. From these values and the density ($\rho=2115 \text{ kg/m}^3$) determined from the measured mass and the fit dimensions of the sample, the following quantities of interest were calculated: bulk modulus $B = 5.8 \text{ GPa}$, Young's modulus $E = 11.32 \text{ GPa}$, Poisson ratio $\nu = 0.17$, compressional sound speed $v_P = 2402 \text{ m/s}$, shear sound speed $v_S = 1511 \text{ m/s}$ and $v_P/v_S = 1.59$.

The room temperature elastic constants C_{11} and C_{44} are within 12% of recently published data on Berea Sandstone [Remillieux et al., 2015], which reports $C_{11} = 10.86 \text{ GPa}$, and $C_{44} = 4.24 \text{ GPa}$. These values lead to similar values for Young's modulus, $E = 10 \text{ GPa}$, and Poisson's ratio, $\nu = 0.18$. The compressional sound speed is in good agreement with Ref Shankland [Shankland et al., 1993] and Renaud [Renaud et al., 2013] who report values of $v_P = 2380 \text{ m/s}$ and $v_P = 2450 \text{ m/s}$, respectively. However, the values determined here differ by as much as 50% when compared to Winkler ($v_P = 1930 \text{ m/s}$) [Winkler et al., 1979] and Sayers ($v_P = 3280\text{-}3600 \text{ m/s}$) [Sayers et al., 1990]. Such differences are common in the sandstone literature and can be attributed to different densities and/or porosities of the samples used in each individual study.

3.2. Temperature Dependence

All spectra versus temperature are plotted in figure 4. Each spectrum is plotted at its corresponding temperature with darker color indicating higher amplitude. For example, it can be seen that the most prominent resonance in Fig.2, at approximately 115 kHz, corresponds with the darkest line in Fig. 4. The strong curvature of the resonance position with respect to temperature shows that Berea sandstone has a very significant resonance shifting with temperature. Two prominent resonant frequencies versus temperature are plotted in Figure 5, and

show the characteristically strong dependence of resonant frequency on sample temperature mentioned above. The dependence of resonance frequency on temperature can be separated into two distinct regions. Between room temperature and 385 K, the Berea sandstone exhibit behavior of regular solids, i.e. softening as temperature increases. However, between 385 K and 478 K, the material is found to stiffen with temperature. For example, over the first 100 K, the resonances change by < -400 ppm/K. At ~ 385 K, the resonances begin increasing at a rate > 400 ppm/K for the final 100 K considered here. This unexpected behavior was found to be repeatable upon thermal cycling. Additional through-transmission experiments were performed with separate, larger samples ($24.80 \times 15.86 \times 15.86$ mm) of Berea sandstone which confirmed the trends seen by these RUS experiments. The results of these experiments are not shown here. Mode stiffening with temperature is unusual and has been observed in few materials [e.g. *Pantea et al.*, 2006; *Wang et al.*, 2015; *Hancock et al.*, 2015].

A qualitative comparison of our data with Ulrich and Darling (Fig. 6) shows that Berea softens with temperature between 225 K and 385 K, as is typically found in solids. The anomalous stiffening with temperature can also be observed in the figure in two different temperature regions, < 200 K and > 375 K. Figure 7 presents the bulk modulus value ($B = C_{11} - \frac{4}{3}C_{44}$) that was calculated from the determined elastic moduli at each temperature. A quantitative analysis of this data reveals an approximately 17% softening with temperature between room temperature and 385 K, followed by an almost equal percentage of stiffening between 385 K and 480 K. By comparing Fig. 7 with Figs. 4 & 5, it can be seen that the bulk modulus is $\sim 4\times$ more sensitive to changes in temperature than the individual resonance frequencies. This difference can be largely attributed to the fact that the resonance frequencies include a change not only in material stiffness, but also in physical dimension through the coefficients of thermal expansion. Young's modulus and Poisson ratio vary by about 8%, and 19% respectively.

Compressional (C_{11}) and shear (C_{44}) elastic moduli have a similar qualitative temperature dependence and turnover temperature (Fig. 8). However, C_{11} can be seen to have a significantly larger quantitative temperature dependence, with a ± 1000 ppm/K variability compared to C_{44} which has approximately half of that sensitivity, or $\sim \pm 600$ ppm/K in the same temperature ranges. Considering that Berea sandstone is composed largely of SiO_2 it is perhaps interesting to compare the temperature derivatives of the elastic moduli determined here to the well-known values of these quantities for α -quartz [*Bechmann et al.*, 1962]. Around room temperature, the compressional elastic moduli of α -quartz, C_{11} and C_{33} , have first order temperature coefficients ($\frac{1}{C_{xx}} \frac{dC_{xx}}{dT}$) of -49 ppm/K and -160 ppm/K, respectively. These values are remarkably smaller than the -1000 ppm/K for C_{11} mentioned above. The shear moduli for α -quartz have temperature coefficients ranging from -177 ppm/K for C_{44} to $+178$ ppm/K for C_{66} [*Bechmann et al.*, 1962].

The great span of values for the temperature coefficients for the α -quartz shear moduli likely reflects little more than the fact that it is highly anisotropic (belonging to crystal point

group 32) while the Berea sample studied here is assumed isotropic. However, that both compressional moduli of α -quartz are 3-20 times less sensitive to temperature appears to be more significant. This result reinforces the intuitive belief that the mechanical properties of the constituents of a macroscopic composite such as Berea have little bearing on the mechanical properties of the composite, itself.

4. Summary

Resonant Ultrasound Spectroscopy was used for determination of the elastic moduli of dry Berea sandstone, both at room temperature and at high temperatures characteristics to depths of about 8 km. Sample dimensions were chosen to be relatively small, less than 1 cm on every side, in order to avoid complications brought by low frequencies and to minimize the effects of anisotropy. Room temperature data show that Berea sandstone is a very soft material, with a bulk modulus of only 5.8 GPa. It was found that Berea sandstone undergoes a softening between room temperature and 385 K, followed by an abnormal behavior of stiffening between 385 K and 478 K.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank T.J. Ulrich for helpful discussions. This work was partially funded by the U.S. Dept. of Energy. All data found in the results section of this paper is available upon request from the corresponding author.

References

- Bechmann, R., A. D. Ballato, and T.J. Lukaszek (1962), Higher-Order Temperature Coefficients of the Elastic Stiffnesses and Compliances of Alpha-Quartz, *Proceedings of the IRE*, 50(8), 1812-1822, doi: 10.1109/JRPROC.1962.288222
- Costin, L. S., and D. J. Holcomb (1981), Time-dependent failure of rock under cyclic loading. *Tectonophysics*, 79(3), 279-296, doi: 10.1016/0040-1951(81)90117-7.
- Finger, J., and D. Blankenship (2010), Handbook of best practices for geothermal drilling. *Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque*.
- Green, D. H., and H. F. Wang (1994), Shear wave velocity and attenuation from pulse-echo studies of Berea sandstone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(B6), 11755–11763, doi:10.1029/94JB00506.
- Hancock, J.C., K. W. Chapman, G. J. Halder, C. R. Morelock, B. S. Kaplan, L. C. Gallington, A. Bongiorno, C. Han, S. Zhou, and A. P. Wilkinson (2015), Large Negative Thermal Expansion and Anomalous Behavior on Compression in Cubic ReO_3 -Type $\text{A}^{\text{II}}\text{B}^{\text{IV}}\text{F}_6$: CaZrF_6 and CaHfF_6 , *Chemistry of Materials*, doi: 10.1021/acs.chemmater.5b00662.
- Harris, J. M., Y. Quan, and C. Xu (2005), Differential Acoustical Resonance Spectroscopy: An experimental method for estimating acoustic attenuation of porous media. In *2005 SEG Annual Meeting*. Society of Exploration Geophysicists, doi: 10.1190/1.2147992.
- Hart, D. J., and H. F. Wang (1995), Laboratory measurements of a complete set of poroelastic moduli for Berea sandstone and Indiana limestone. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth (1978–2012)*, 100(B9), 17741-17751, doi: 10.1029/95JB01242.
- Johnson, P. A., R. A. Guyer, and L. A. Ostrovsky (1999), A nonlinear mesoscopic elastic class of materials. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 524, 291-294, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.427349>.
- Johnson, P. A., B. Zinszner, P. Rasolofosaon, F. Cohen-Tenoudji, and K. Van Den Abeele (2004), Dynamic measurements of the nonlinear elastic parameter α in rock under varying conditions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, B02202, doi: 10.1029/2002JB002038.
- Lebedev, A. V. (2002), Method of linear prediction in the ultrasonic spectroscopy of rock. *Acoustical Physics*, 48(3), 339-346, doi: 10.1134/1.1478120.
- Liang, W. G., Y. S. Zhao, S. G. Xu, and M. B. Dusseault (2011), Effect of strain rate on the mechanical properties of salt rock. *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences*, 48(1), 161-167, doi: 10.1016/j.ijrmms.2010.06.012.

304 Liu, Y., H. Wu, C. T. Liu, Z. Zhang, and V. Keppens (2008), Physical factors controlling the
 305 ductility of bulk metallic glasses. *Applied Physics Letters*, 93(15), 151915, doi:
 306 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2998410>

307 Migliori, A., and J. L. Sarrao (1997), *Resonant ultrasound spectroscopy: applications to physics,*
 308 *materials measurements, and nondestructive evaluation.* Wiley-Interscience

309 Nobili, M., M. Scalerandi, and P. P. Delsanto (2005), Temperature dependence of the elastic
 310 properties of hysteretic materials. In *Materials Science Forum* (Vol. 480, pp. 573-578),
 311 doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.480-481.573

312 Pandey, C. S., and J. Schreuer, (2012), Elastic and piezoelectric constants of tourmaline single
 313 crystals at non-ambient temperatures determined by resonant ultrasound
 314 spectroscopy. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 111(1), 013516, doi:
 315 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.3673820>.

316 Pantea, C., A. Migliori, P. B. Littlewood, Y. Zhao, H. Ledbetter, J. C. Lashley, T. Kimura, J.
 317 Van Duijin, and G. R. Kowach (2006), Pressure-induced elastic softening of
 318 monocrystalline zirconium tungstate at 300 K. *Physical Review B*, 73, 214118, doi:
 319 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.214118>.

320 Remillieux, M., C., T.J. Ulrich¹, C. Payan, J. Rivière, C. R. Lake¹, P.-Y. Le Bas (2015),
 321 Resonant ultrasound spectroscopy for materials with high damping and samples of
 322 arbitrary geometry. *J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth*, *accepted manuscript*, doi:
 323 10.1002/2015JB011932.

324 Renaud, G., J. Rivière, P.-Y. Le Bas, and P. A. Johnson (2013), Hysteretic nonlinear elasticity of
 325 Berea sandstone at low-vibrational strain revealed by dynamic acousto-elastic testing,
 326 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 715–719, doi: 10.1002/grl.50150.

327 Sayers, C. M., J. G. Van Munster, and M. S. King (1990), Stress-induced ultrasonic anisotropy
 328 in Berea sandstone. In *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences &*
 329 *Geomechanics Abstracts* (Vol. 27, No. 5, pp. 429-436), doi:10.1016/0148-
 330 9062(90)92715-Q

331 Sedmák, P., H. Seiner, P. Sedlák, M. Landa, R. Mušálek, and J. Matějček (2013), Application of
 332 resonant ultrasound spectroscopy to determine elastic constants of plasma-sprayed
 333 coatings with high internal friction. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 232, 747-757, doi:
 334 10.1016/j.surfcoat.2013.06.091

335 Shankland, T. J., P. A. Johnson, and T. M. Hopson (1993), Elastic wave attenuation and velocity
 336 of Berea sandstone measured in the frequency domain. *Geophysical Research*
 337 *Letters*, 20(5), 391-394, doi: 10.1029/92GL02758

- Slatt, R. M. (2013), Stratigraphic Reservoir Characterization for Petroleum Geologists, Geophysicists, and Engineers. *Developments in Petroleum Science*, 61, Elsevier.
- Somerton, W. H., and M. A. Selim (1961), Additional thermal data for porous rocks—thermal expansion and heat of reaction. *Soc. Pet. Engrs*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2118/1613-G>.
- Ten Cate, J. A., and T. J. Shankland (1996), Slow dynamics in the nonlinear elastic response of Berea sandstone. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 23(21), 3019-3022, doi: 10.1029/96GL02884.
- Ulrich, T. J., and T. W. Darling (2001), Observation of anomalous elastic behavior in rock at low temperatures. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(11), 2293-2296, doi: 10.1029/2000GL012480
- Wang L, et al., Metal fluorides, a new family of negative thermal expansion materials, *Journal of Materiomics* (2015), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmat.2015.02.001>
- Wang, S. X., J. G. Zhao, Z. H. Li, J. M. Harris, and Y. Quan (2012), Differential Acoustic Resonance Spectroscopy for the acoustic measurement of small and irregular samples in the low frequency range. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* (1978–2012), 117(B6), doi: 10.1029/2011JB008808.
- Winkler, K., A. Nur, M. Gladwin (1979), Friction and Seismic Attenuation in Rocks. *Nature*, 277, 528-531, doi: 10.1038/277528a0.
- Winkler, K. W. (1983), Frequency dependent ultrasonic properties of high-porosity sandstones, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88(B11), 9493–9499, doi: 10.1029/JB088iB11p09493.
- Zhang, J. J., and L. R. Bentley (2003), Pore geometry and elastic moduli in sandstones. *CREWES, University of Calgary*.

Tables

Table 1. Room Temperature RUS Fit of Berea Sandstone

n	f_{ex} (kHz)	f_r (kHz)	%err	wt	k	i	df/dC ₁₁	df/dC ₄₄
1	72.59	72.85	0.35	1.00	4	1	0.00	1.00
2	96.83	96.91	0.08	1.00	6	2	0.22	0.78
3	100.81	100.49	-0.32	1.00	4	2	0.00	1.00
4	103.40	103.35	-0.05	1.00	7	2	0.32	0.68
5	108.56	108.90	0.32	1.00	3	2	0.04	0.96
6	114.11	113.95	-0.14	1.00	6	3	0.62	0.38
7	117.03	118.28	1.07	0.00	1	2	0.27	0.73
8	120.02	120.05	0.03	1.00	2	2	0.03	0.97
9	122.98	122.19	-0.65	1.00	5	1	0.06	0.94
10	124.51	124.35	-0.13	1.00	8	2	0.04	0.96
11	129.25	128.82	-0.33	1.00	8	3	0.28	0.72
12	131.61	131.16	-0.34	1.00	5	2	0.20	0.80
13	132.88	132.97	0.07	1.00	2	3	0.33	0.67
14	139.59	139.63	0.03	1.00	5	3	0.24	0.76
15	145.32	147.95	1.81	0.00	7	3	0.47	0.53
16	151.75	150.84	-0.60	1.00	1	3	0.51	0.49
17	152.52	152.47	-0.04	1.00	8	4	0.04	0.96
18	154.67	154.15	-0.34	1.00	5	4	0.59	0.41
19	156.80	156.93	0.09	1.00	3	3	0.35	0.65
20	159.09	159.99	0.56	1.00	5	5	0.67	0.33
21	163.73	164.19	0.28	1.00	4	3	0.16	0.84
22	165.76	165.38	-0.23	1.00	6	4	0.24	0.76
23	166.03	166.29	0.16	1.00	2	4	0.05	0.95
24	168.61	169.21	0.36	1.00	1	4	0.10	0.90
25	169.58	169.74	0.10	1.00	7	4	0.14	0.86



Figure 1. The LiNbO_3 transducers attached to opposing faces of the 9.13 x 6.65 x 8.32 mm Berea sandstone sample using high temperature epoxy

387

388

389

390

391





Figure 2. A typical RUS spectrum collected in this study, at room temperature

392



393

394

Figure 3. Resonance modes for Berea sandstone at room temperature – modes are in order

395

396




Figure 4. Resonance spectra for each temperature step. The amplitudes are normalized to the highest amplitude at each temperature. Higher amplitudes are designated by darker color.

405

406




Figure 5. The 1st and 2nd most prominent resonance frequencies (see Fig. 2) plotted vs temperature.

407




Figure 6. One resonant frequency from this study plotted versus temperature together with frequency data from Ulrich and Darling

408




Figure 7. Calculated bulk modulus at each temperature

409

410

411

412

413

414




Figure 8. Independent elastic moduli C11 and C44 plotted vs temperature. Lines are to guide the reader's eyes

415