

Exceptional service in the national interest



Spot Checking EOS with Ab Initio Calculations

L. Shulenburger



Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. SAND NO. 2011-XXXXP

What are the most useful spot checks of EOS from ab initio calculations?

- Direct comparison of experimental observables
 - Hugoniot
 - Isentropes
 - Vapor dome
 - Compressibility
 - Vapor dome
 - Phase boundaries
- Calculated model parameters
 - Gruneisen gamma
 - Heat capacity
 - Cold curve parameters
 - Debye temperature

Where are ab initio calculations deemed trustworthy and why?

- What is required to validate results from a given approximation for use elsewhere?
- How can ab initio results be integrated into EOS when inconsistent with experimentally known data
 - For instance the ambient density is wrong but the Hugoniot and phase boundaries look good?
- How are grids of calculated P/T/rho/E points integrated into consistent models?
- What calculations would you like to see?

Moving from warm dense to high temperatures

- The Mermin-DFT calculations that are currently relied on require calculation of enough quantum states to satisfy the Fermi-Dirac distribution
 - Kohn-Sham DFT (typical implementations) scale like $O(N^3)$ with states or equivalently $O(T^{4.5})$ at high temperatures
- Other methods are often used at higher temperatures
 - Average atom
 - Thomas-Fermi-Dirac
 - Orbital-Free DFT
 - Path Integral Monte Carlo
- How can these be stitched together?
 - LANL has some interesting work on matching families of orbital free functionals to standard low T approximations
 - Zhang et al., Phys. Plasmas **23**, 042707 (2016) – Combine analytic continuum states with typical low temperature approach