



LAWRENCE  
LIVERMORE  
NATIONAL  
LABORATORY

LLNL-TR-719017

# Prediction of the Critical Curvature for LX-17 with the Time of Arrival Data from DNS

J. Yao, L. Fried, W. Moss

January 23, 2017

## **Disclaimer**

---

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

---

# Prediction of the Critical Curvature for LX-17 with the Time of Arrival Data from DNS

Jin Yao, Laurence E. Fried, William C. Moss

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory  
Livermore, California, United States of America

01/10/2017

## 1 Abstract

We extract the detonation shock front velocity, curvature and acceleration from time of arrival data measured at grid points from direct numerical simulations of a 50mm rate-stick lit by a disk-source, with the ignition and growth reaction model and a JWL equation of state calibrated for LX-17. We compute the quasi-steady ( $D, \kappa$ ) relation based on the extracted properties and predicted the critical curvatures of LX-17. We also proposed an explicit formula that contains the failure turning point, obtained from optimization for the ( $D, \kappa$ ) relation of LX-17.

## 2 A direct numerical simulation of detonation initialization / propagation

The theory of detonation shock dynamics (DSD) predicts that a relationship between the detonation normal velocity  $D$  and the detonation front curvature  $\kappa$ , i.e. the  $(D, \kappa)$  relation that governs the evolution of a quasi-steady detonation. For a HE material with a large activation energy (such as LX-17), a critical curvature exists and there is no quasi-steady detonation possible with a curvature beyond this critical (failure) value [1]. In addition, the DSD theory also predicts another critical curvature such that a steady detonation cannot be initialized by a source with a radius of curvature smaller than another critical value [2]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no experimental measurement of the failure curvature has been reported with a definite conclusion, presumably because of technique difficulties associated with measurement of large curvature near the boundary of a rate-stick [3].

A direct numerical simulation by ALE3D of a 50mm rate-stick lit by a disk-source is performed with the ignition and growth rate-model [4] and a JWL equation of state [5] calibrated for LX-17 for analyzing its properties with detonation shock-dynamics. We compute the detonation shock front velocity, curvature and




Figure 1: Left: the problem setup: the blue region is LX-17 at rest; the red disk is the detonation source (also LX-17) that has a radius of 1.25(cm) and a thickness of 0.1(cm), with a initial velocity of 0.75(cm/μs) moving in the  $x$ -direction. The axis of symmetry is  $y = 0$ . Right: the locations of tracers where the shock arrival time is recorded. The spacing between neighbor tracers is  $h = 0.1(cm)$ .

acceleration from time of arrival data measured at grid points with finite difference schemes. We then look for intersections between grid-lines and the zero-acceleration contours and compute a  $(D, \kappa)$  pair with a linear interpolation at each of the intersections. The result displays a clear  $(D, \kappa)$  relation with two turning points as predicted with the DSD theory. Thus we have found a method to predict the critical curvatures from DNS data. These critical values would help us with the prediction of detonation initiation, propagation, and perhaps dead-zone formation using a DSD front tracker instead of DNS.

### 3 The curvature, the normal velocity and the acceleration with a Cartesian grid

#### The curvature

The time of arrival ( $T(x, y)$ ) data are measured at tracers uniformly distributed on a Cartesian grid with a cell-size  $h = 0.1(cm)$ . A detonation front is defined by the function  $T(x, y) = \text{constant}$ . From differential geometry, the front-curvature is determined by  $\kappa = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \hat{n}$ , where  $\hat{n} \equiv (n_x, n_y)$  is the front normal unit vector defined by

$$\hat{n} \equiv \frac{\vec{\nabla}T(x, y)}{|\nabla T(x, y)|}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\vec{\nabla}T(x, y) = \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) \hat{i} + \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) \hat{j}, \quad |\nabla T(x, y)| = \sqrt{\left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2}. \quad (2)$$

Using a central difference scheme, at grid point  $(i, j)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} &= \frac{T(i+1, j) - T(i-1, j)}{2h} + \text{h.o.t.}, \\ \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} &= \frac{T(i, j+1) - T(i, j-1)}{2h} + \text{h.o.t..} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here, 'h' is the distance between neighboring grid lines and 'h.o.t.' stands for 'higher order terms'. Then it is explicit to express the curvature as (because of the problem has a cylindrical symmetry, there is an additional term to the planar divergence operator)

$$\kappa = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \hat{n} = \frac{\partial n_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial n_y}{\partial y} + \frac{n_y}{y}. \quad (4)$$

or

$$\kappa = \frac{\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)}{|\nabla T|^3} + \frac{\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}}{y |\nabla T|}. \quad (5)$$

The second derivatives of the arrival time at grid point  $(i, j)$  are computed as the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} &\approx \frac{T(i+1, j) - 2T(i, j) + T(i-1, j)}{h^2}, & \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} &\approx \frac{T(i, j+1) - 2T(i, j) + T(i, j-1)}{h^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} &\approx \frac{T(i+1, j+1) - T(i+1, j-1) - T(i-1, j+1) + T(i-1, j-1)}{4h^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

### The normal detonation velocity

By definition, the normal detonation velocity is (let  $s$  be a distance increment in the normal)

$$D \equiv \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{s}{T(x + sn_x, y + sn_y) - T(x, y)} = \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} n_x + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} n_y \right)^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

### The acceleration

Also by definition, we have the normal acceleration

$$a \equiv \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{D(x + sn_x, y + sn_y) - D(x, y)}{T(x + sn_x, y + sn_y) - T(x, y)} = D \left( \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} n_x + \frac{\partial D}{\partial y} n_y \right), \quad (8)$$

where (with some algebraic manipulations)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} &= -D^2 n_x \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial n_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} n_x + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \frac{\partial n_y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} n_y \right), \\ \frac{\partial D}{\partial y} &= -D^2 n_y \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial n_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} n_y + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \frac{\partial n_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} n_x \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Some new terms appear and are evaluated as the following

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial n_x}{\partial x} &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) / |\nabla T|^3, & \frac{\partial n_x}{\partial y} &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) / |\nabla T|^3, \\ \frac{\partial n_y}{\partial x} &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) / |\nabla T|^3, & \frac{\partial n_y}{\partial y} &= \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right)^2 - \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) / |\nabla T|^3. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

#### 4 Analysis of the solution fields

The planar ZND velocity consistent with the ignition and growth model [4] and JWL equation of state [5] used in the simulation is:  $D_{CJ} = 0.7696(cm/\mu s)$ . Based on the DSD regularity-condition [6] a self-sustained diverging detonation must have  $D < D_{CJ}$  as the curvature  $\kappa > 0$ . There is no quasi-steady (i.e. with zero-acceleration) detonation associated with a converging front and this is consistent with our simulation. The solution fields of shock-arrival time, curvature, velocity and acceleration are shown below in (figs. 2).




Figure 2: Upper-left: the time of arrival; upper-right: the curvature field; lower-left: the velocity field; lower-right: the acceleration field.

#### The region of initialization

The region with  $D > D_{CJ}$  shown in the lower left of (fig. 2) is over-driven, not self-sustained. In fact, the detonation there is not quasi-steady, otherwise its intersection with the positive curvature region would have  $D < D_{CJ}$ . This is not the case with our solution so we conclude the region  $D > D_{CJ}$  is still under initialization. We shall not try to perform a DSD analysis in this region.

### A region of a dead-zone

A region with high front curvature and low detonation speed (way below the failure velocity of LX-17) appears near the bottom (with small  $x$ -coordinates) and the acceleration field there displays some pulsating behavior. From the above figures we clearly see a small negative curvature region associated with negative accelerations. We think the information contained in the arrival time there can help us to enhance the understanding of the dynamics of an unsteady detonation at a low velocity.

### A region of a self-sustained diverging detonation

The rest of the computational domain can be considered as propagated through by a self-sustained diverging detonation. The acceleration there has small magnitudes and is varying around zero. We compute the intersections of the zero-acceleration contours and the grid lines and obtain interpolated values of  $(D, \kappa)$  on these intersections (a zero-acceleration point is linearly interpolated on an edge of a cell if its edge nodes have different signs of accelerations). We consider that the set of  $(D, \kappa)$  pairs obtained from a linear interpolation carrying the  $(D, \kappa)$  relation for a quasi-steady detonation of the material in concern.

## 4 The turning points on the steady $(D_n, \kappa)$ curve and the critical curvatures for LX-17, with a fitting formula

We observed (see fig. 3) from a numerical simulation the two turning points previously predicted by the DSD theory ([1], [2]). From DNS of this *disk-lighting* problem, the  $(D, \kappa)$  data for the entire valid curvature region is generated. In contrast, a DNS of a steady rate-stick front can only provide  $(D, \kappa)$  data for smaller curvatures.

Therefore, we obtained the failure curvatures for LX-17 from a direct-numerical simulation. The value of the radius of failure curvature is  $\kappa_{fail} = 2.78(cm)^{-1}$ , associated with a failure velocity with the value  $D_{fail} = 0.672(cm/\mu s)$ . The critical radius for initialization is about  $\kappa_{init} = 0.5(cm)^{-1}$  at  $D_{init} = 0.48(cm/\mu s)$ . We can fit the  $(D, \kappa)$  pairs obtained above with an analytic formula with three constraints that (a).  $\kappa = 0$  at  $D = D_{CJ}$ ; (b).  $\kappa = 1/r_{fail}$  at  $D = D_{fail}$ ; (c).  $\partial\kappa/\partial D = 0$  at  $D = D_{fail}$ . We have proposed a function with such properties. We used LX-17 rate-stick fronts obtained from DNS with a tracer density higher than shown in (figs. 1) to fit this formula for the steady  $(D, \kappa)$  relation

$$\kappa = \kappa_c \left( \frac{1-d}{1-d_f} \right)^A \exp[-B((s-d)^C - (s-d_f)^C)], \quad (11)$$

where  $\kappa_c \sim 2.78(cm)^{-1}$  is the critical curvature at the failure turning point,  $d \equiv D/D_{CJ}$ ,  $d_f \equiv D_{fail}/D_{CJ}$ ,  $s = d_f + [(A/(BC(1-d_f))]^{1/(C-1)}$ . The values of the dimensionless parameters are  $A = 1.3$ ,  $B = 23.0$ ,  $C = 1.75$ , obtained from a carpet search for optimization.

### A validation of the critical curvature / velocity for LX-17

The critical curvature (and velocity) is material dependent only (in theory). To verify this, we varied the radius of the source disk from 1/4 of the radius of the device to 1/8 and 1/2. Similar behavior with  $(D, \kappa)$  are observed in each case and the locations of the turning point change little. Therefore we believe our prediction of the failure curvature / velocity with DNS is self-consistent.




Figure 3: Left: the  $(D, \kappa)$  pairs extracted from the simulation with a disk-source with a radius  $1/4$  of the LX-17 stick (50mm) and the fitting with an analytic formula (the red curve) using steady front geometry in rate-stick simulations; right: similar  $(D, \kappa)$  pairs obtained with three different source radius. Their turning points share nearly the same location.

## 5 Conclusion

We evaluate the acceleration, normal velocity and curvature fields based on the time of arrival data distributed on a grid in an axi-symmetrical space obtained from a DNS simulation of a detonation that is initialized with a disc-source with a 50mm rate-stick. We extracted the steady  $(D, \kappa)$  pairs from the field data and the result provides a prediction of the failure curvature/velocity of LX-17. We also proposed a formula for an analytic fitting to the  $(D, \kappa)$  data. Which is in consistency with the results obtained from analyzing the front geometry of steady rate-stick DNS simulations for the same material.

*This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.*

## References

- [1] Yao J and Stewart DS (1995), On the normal detonation shock velocity-curvature relationship for materials with large activation energy. *Combustion and Flame* Vol. 100.
- [2] Stewart DS and Yao J (1998), The normal detonation shock velocity-curvature relationship for materials with nonideal equation of state and multiple turning points. *Combustion and Flame* Vol. 113.
- [3] Hill LG, Bdizil JB, Aslam TD (1998), Front curvature rate stick measurements and detonation shock dynamics calibration for PBX 9502 over a wide temperature range. *Eleventh International Detonation Symposium*.
- [4] Tarver CM (2005), Ignition and growth modeling of LX-17 hockey puck experiments, *propellants, explosives, pyrotechnics* 30, No. 2.

---

- [5] Souers PC (2005), JWL Calculating, UCRL-TR-211984.
- [6] Aslan RK and Stewart DS (2005), Asymptotic theory of evolution and failure of self-sustained detonations. *J. Fluid Mech.*, vol. 525.