Computational Modelling of Asteroid Airbursts

SAND2015-11131C

Mark Boslough
Sandia National Laboratories

AIAA SciTech 2016

San Diego, CA
Jan 4-8, 2016



This work has been funded by NASA, DOE, DTRA, and Sandia's LDRD Program

Outline

1. Why are airbursts important?
2. Modeling Tunguska
3. Tabletop excercises
4. Modeling Chelyabinsk
5. Airburst-generated tsunami

1. Why are Airbursts Important?

- Risk will be dominated by airbursts after completion of the George E. Brown survey
- Virtually certain that the next destructive NEO event will be an airburst
- Full-scale “validation”: Tunguska, SL9, Chelyabinsk
- Small cratering events accompanied by airbursts
- More efficient than craters at generating tsunami?
- Plume-forming airbursts may put satellites at risk
- Important surface process on Venus & Mars?

Recorded Bolide Events 1994 - 2013



Boslough, M., Brown, P., and Harris, A., "Updated Population and Risk Assessment for Airbursts from Near-Earth Objects (NEOs)," *2015 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, March 2015.



Kill curve with directed airbursts (green curve)
Population as of 2014 (blue curve)
Total probabilistic risk = 36 deaths /year (after G Brown survey)




2. Modeling Tunguska

“Canonical Tunguska”

- 5 Megaton yield
- 12 km burst altitude
- 35° entry angle



5 Mt explosion at 12 km above surface, 35° entry angle



Tunguska treefall map (Longo et al, 2005)



Wind speed map

Convergence Analysis

Low res: 4 refinement levels = 32 m (original)
Mid res: 5 refinement levels = 16 m
High res: 6 refinement levels = 8 m


Yield = 5 Mt
Entry angle = 35°
Temperature (K)
600
800
1000
1200
1400
32-meter cells (original 2007 input deck)
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-10
0
10
20
30
kilometers
Time = 6.5 s
Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



32-meter cells (reduced refinement thickness & 1 m/s)



Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°

Temperature (K)

600 800 1000 1200 1400

16-meter cells (reduced refinement thickness & 1 m/s)



Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



8-meter cells (reduced refinement thickness & 1 m/s)



Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km

Convergence Analysis

- Domain size and AMR criteria appear more important than more resolution
- Slower energy deposition more realistic
- Effective burst height depends on mesh, even if actual burst height is exactly prescribed
- Small differences in jet penetration efficiency can have large effects on damage at surface
- Jet penetration uncertainty still smaller than airburst height uncertainty

Other Cases

Yield = 5 Megatons (strong core)
Yield = 15 Megatons

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



5 Megaton “Tunguska”

Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km


Yield = 5 Mt
Entry angle = 35°
Temperature (K)
500 600 700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-10
0
10
20
30
kilometers
Time = 0.0 s
Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



5 Megaton “Tunguska”

Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



5 Megaton with solid core (40% of mass)

Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km


Yield = 5 Mt
Entry angle = 35°
Temperature (K)
500 600 700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-10
0
10
20
30
kilometers
Time = 0.0 s
Burst height = 12 km

Yield = 5 Mt

Entry angle = 35°



5 Megaton with solid core (40% of mass)

Time = 6.5 s

Burst height = 12 km


Yield = 15 Mt
Entry angle = 35°
Temperature (K)
600
800
1000
1200
1400
15 Megaton
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-10
0
10
20
30
kilometers
Time = 6.5 s
Burst height = 18 km


Yield = 15 Mt
Entry angle = 35°
Temperature (K)
500 600 700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-10
0
10
20
30
kilometers
Time = 0.0 s
Burst height = 18 km


Yield = 15 Mt
Entry angle = 35°
Temperature (K)
600
800
1000
1200
1400
15 Megaton
18
16
14
12
10
8
6
4
2
0
-10
0
10
20
30
kilometers
Time = 6.5 s
Burst height = 18 km

Two types of Low-Altitude Airburst

Tunguska tree-fall

Libyan Desert Glass

Type 1: Tunguska

Scorches and blows down trees

Type 2: Libyan Desert

Vaporizes trees and melts rocks

3. Tabletop Exercises

- FEMA, 2013
- PDC Flagstaff, 2013
- FEMA, 2014
- PDC Frascati, 2015



- FEMA, 2013
- PDC Flagstaff, 2013
- **FEMA, 2014**
- PDC Frascati, 2015


Yield = 4.15 Mt
Entry angle = 40°
Pressure (bar), Temperature (K)
T (K)
1500
1400
1300
1200
1100
1000
900
800
700
600
500
P (+)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
P (-)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
kilometers
Time = 20.0 s
Burst height = 6 km


Yield = 4.15 Mt
Entry angle = 40°
Pressure (bar), Temperature (K)
T (K)
1500
1400
1300
1200
1100
1000
900
800
700
600
500
P (+)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
P (-)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
Time = 0.0 s
kilometers
Burst height = 6 km


Yield = 4.15 Mt
Entry angle = 40°
Wind speed (m/s)
m/s
60
55
50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
Time = 20.0 s
kilometers
Burst height = 6 km


Yield = 4.15 Mt
Entry angle = 40°
Wind speed (m/s)
m/s
60
55
50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
Time = 1.0 s
kilometers
Burst height = 6 km


Yield = 10.63 Mt
Entry angle = 40°
Pressure (bar), Temperature (K)
T (K)
1500
1400
1300
1200
1100
1000
900
800
700
600
500
P (+)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
P (-)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
Time = 0.0 s
kilometers
Burst height = 6 km


Yield = 10.63 Mt
Entry angle = 40°
Wind speed (m/s)
m/s
60
55
50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
Time = 0.0 s
kilometers
Burst height = 6 km

FEMA Tabletop Exercise, 2014









- FEMA, 2013
- PDC Flagstaff, 2013
- FEMA, 2014
- **PDC Frascati, 2015**


Yield = 25.22 Mt
Entry angle = 36°
Pressure (bar), Temperature (K)
T (K)
1500
1400
1300
1200
1100
1000
900
800
700
600
500
P (+)
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
P (-)
10^{0}
10^{-1}
10^{-2}
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
Time = 0.5 s
kilometers
Burst height = 6 km


Yield = 25.22 Mt
Entry angle = 36°
Wind speed (m/s)
m/s
60
55
50
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
40
20
0
-20
40
20
0
-20
-40
Time = 0.5 s
kilometers
Burst height = 6 km

Planetary Defense Conference, 2015



Damage State	Potentially Impacted Population (2020)
Complete Damage (> 60 m/s)	14,531,645
Severe Damage (45 - 60 m/s)	2,401,109
Moderate Damage (30 - 45 m/s)	2,737,386
Light Damage (15 - 30 m/s)	5,199,223
Total Population	**24,869,363**

Damage State	Potentially Impacted Population (2020)
Complete Damage (> 60 m/s)	15,299,257
Severe Damage (45 - 60 m/s)	1,667,187
Moderate Damage (30 - 45 m/s)	1,990,819
Light Damage (15 - 30 m/s)	4,992,486
Total Population	**23,949,749**


4. Airburst-generated tsunami

“Tsunami” on Jupiter



“Tsunami” on Jupiter



Airburst is a line explosion that ejects a plume: Observational validation by Shoemaker-Levy 9 impact



Impact G



Hubble Space Telescope Image

Distribution of bright night skies,
June 30 – July 1, 1908
(I.T Zotkin & A.L. Tchijevsky)



4.6-km deep ocean has same Fr as Jupiter



Surface pressure profiles from 5 Mt airburst

with Christopher Moore & Vasily Titov (NOAA Center for Tsunami Research)



Airburst tsunami



t = 8m 40s



Original Bathymetry (max depth 5,587m)

Fraction of asteroid's KE coupled to tsunami ≈ .5%



5. Modeling Chelyabinsk

Cr



Mt

Video Calibrations - Lightcurve





- Uses indirect scattered light and corrected for autogain
- Calibrated using both meteorite-fireball events and kiloton class and larger airbursts
- Total deposited energy assuming η = 17% is >471 kT

2D wake simulation



Near-future work

- Optimize Chelyabinsk model to match obs
- Optimize Tunguska model to match obs
- Include thermal radiation
- More airburst-coupled tsunami simulations
- Recalculate risk with improved kill curve