


*Exceptional service in the national interest*



# Object Composition Identification via Mediated-Reality Supplemented Radiographs

Dr. Edward Jimenez

Principal Scientist – Software Systems R&D



Sandia National Laboratories is a multi-program laboratory managed and operated by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corporation, for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. SAND NO. 2011-XXXX

# Elevator Speech

- Summary:
  - This work presents an exploratory method to post-process radiographs to allow for maximum information extraction. Specifically, can one identify material contain within the field-of-view.
- What benefit could TSA obtain from this technology?
  - Increased detection capacity
  - Reduced false-positive rates
  - Precise material classification
- So what?
  - This technology potentially leads to increased separation between materials with similar properties.
- Who cares?
  - Medical, security, manufacturing, industrial NDT/NDE.

# SNL Applications

- Current Radiography technology leveraged at SNL
  - 3D Computed Tomography
  - Digital Radiography
  - Computed Radiography
  - Flash Radiography
- Applications
  - Defect detection
  - Anomaly detection
  - Materials characterizations
  - Feature extraction
- Sandia National Laboratories has many sources, up to 6 MeV.

# Detection

- Object is scanned
  - X-ray Image(s) produced
  - Displayed on a monitor (LCD-type)
- Image is processed by designated algorithm
  - Pixel Thresholding
    - Usually utilize Dual-Energy Radiography
  - Color coding

# Dual Radiography

- X-rays follow Beer's law, for images:
  - $I = \iint_{\varepsilon \in E, x \in X} I_0(\varepsilon) e^{-\mu(\varepsilon, x)x} d\varepsilon dx$
- But, is approximated as:
  - $\bar{I} = \bar{I}_0 e^{-\bar{\mu}x}$
- Using this approximation, 2-4 radiographs measured
  - Low energy (object present, absent)
  - High energy (object present, absent)

# Continued

- A ratio image of high-to-low energies is created

$$\begin{aligned} \text{■ } R &= \frac{\frac{I_H}{I_{0,H}}}{\frac{I_L}{I_{0,L}}} \approx \frac{\bar{\mu}_H}{\bar{\mu}_L} \end{aligned}$$



- This ratio approximates “effective atomic number”
- This ratio can then be subject to a threshold to separate material types
- Drawback: due to noise and other factors, only general classifications can be made.

# Exploratory work

- Avoid the approximation  $\bar{I} = \bar{I}_0 e^{-\bar{\mu}x}$ , and try to estimate  $\mu(\varepsilon, x)$  directly.
- Very challenging, is it possible?
- Radiation detection hardware has evolved significantly in recent years.
- Decreasing cost has allowed for new types of data acquisition.



# First Attempt

- How far off would we be using approximations?
- Approach: acquire images at multiple energies, solve effective attenuation



# Results



- Polyethylene and Water



- ...not too bad!


# Results Cont.

- Tin and Lead




- ....This is problematic

# Relative error WRT Energy – Direct Evaluation



# Relative error WRT Thickness – Direct Evaluation




# Second Attempt

- Mediated Reality and Simulation-based Optimization
- Approach: Use basis function to create candidate materials, simulate the image and compare it to the acquired image.
- Goal: Try different basis functions, try to resolve discontinuities in the attenuation profile.
- Optimize:

$$\underset{\hat{\mu}(x, \varepsilon)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \|\log(\vec{g}_{\mu(x, \varepsilon)}) - \log(\hat{g}_{\hat{\mu}(x, \varepsilon)})\|_2$$

# Results:


- Using a very large search space ( $\sim 450$  dimensions)
- Copper:



- ...Problematic

# Results cont.


- Smaller space, interval-based basis functions
- Tin:



- No k-edge resolved...but promising!


# Third Attempt

- Acquire images with an energy discriminating detector and apply mediated-reality simulation-based optimization




# Results


- Water:



# Results:



# Results cont.



# Conclusion

- Even for narrow energy ranges, direct evaluation of attenuation values introduce non-trivial error.
- Leveraging simulation-based optimizations could lead to increased numerical stability.
- Future work: Laboratory-based validation experiments underway.
- Thanks! Questions?