

---

**Pacific Northwest  
National Laboratory**

Operated by Battelle for the  
U.S. Department of Energy

**RECEIVED**

**NOV 10 1998**

**OSTI**

**Effects of High-pH and High-Ionic-  
Strength Groundwater on Iodide,  
Pertechneate, and Selenate Sorption  
to Hanford Sediments: Final Report  
for Subtask 3a**

D. I. Kaplan  
K. E. Parker  
R. D. Orr

October 1998



Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy  
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

---

## DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor Battelle Memorial Institute, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or Battelle Memorial Institute. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

PACIFIC NORTHWEST NATIONAL LABORATORY

*operated by*

BATTELLE

*for the*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

*under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830*

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from the

Office of Scientific and Technical Information, P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831;  
prices available from (615) 576-8401.

Available to the public from the National Technical Information Service,  
U.S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161



This document was printed on recycled paper.

(9/97)

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

**Effects of High-pH and High-Ionic-Strength  
Groundwater on Iodide, Pertechnetate, and  
Selenate Sorption to Hanford Sediments:  
Final Report for Subtask 3a**

D. I. Kaplan  
K. E. Parker  
R. D. Orr

October 1998

Prepared for  
the U.S. Department of Energy  
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory  
Richland, Washington 99352



## Summary

As part of the Immobilized Low-Activity Waste-Performance Assessment, three experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of the expected near-field chemistry on the sorption of iodide, selenate, and pertechnetate onto a sediment obtained from the Hanford Site. These experiments were performed in fiscal year 1998 at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.<sup>1</sup>

During these experiments, attention was directed at the identification of the chemical mechanisms controlling the sorption processes to provide technical defensibility for the selection of the distribution coefficients ( $K_d$ ) to be used in the remainder of the performance assessment. It was found, during the conduct of the experiments, that selenium and technetium  $K_d$ s increased as ionic strength increased. The cause for this is most likely to be that the higher ionic strength caused the double layer around the particles to decrease, thereby permitting greater interaction with the mineral surfaces. Further, the pH level had an effect on the sorption of these anions.

These are the first-ever experiments conducted with Hanford Site sediment in which the  $K_d$ s were measured as a function of ionic strength and pH. Overall, the observed trends were consistent with more generalized geochemical principles. One of the most important contributions of these experiments was that they quantified the  $K_d$  changes induced by variations in ionic strength and pH that are expected to exist in the near field.

---

<sup>1</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy.



# Contents

Summary .....	iii
1.0 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Objectives .....	3
1.3 Scope .....	3
1.4 Document Outline.....	3
2.0 Materials and Methods.....	4
2.1 General Batch Sorption Procedure .....	4
2.2 Preliminary Experiment of Detection Interferences and Sorption Competition of $^{125}\text{I}^-$ , $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^-$ , and $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ .....	7
2.3 Ionic-Strength Experiment .....	7
2.4 pH Experiment.....	8
3.0 Results and Discussion .....	8
3.1 Preliminary Experiment of Detection Interferences and Sorption Competition of $^{125}\text{I}^-$ , $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^-$ , and $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ .....	8
3.2 Ionic-Strength Experiment .....	9
3.3 pH Experiment.....	12
4.0 Conclusions.....	15
5.0 References.....	17



## Tables

1	Chemical Composition of Uncontaminated Hanford Site Groundwater .....	4
2	Selected Properties of the Trench AE-3 Sediment.....	5
3	Detection Interference and Sorption Competition of $^{125}\text{I}$ , $^{75}\text{Se}$ , and $^{99}\text{Tc}$ Experiment.....	9
4	Selenium and Technetium Filtration Ratios and $K_d$ Values of Groundwater Treated with Varying Concentrations of $\text{NaClO}_4$ .....	10
5	Chemical Composition of $\text{NaClO}_4$ -Amended Groundwater Solutions after Contact with Sediment.....	11
6	Calculated Speciation of the $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ and $\text{TcO}_4^-$ in the Solutions used in the Ionic Strength Experiment.....	12
7	Filtration Ratios and $K_d$ Values of Iodine, Selenium, and Technetium in $\text{NaOH}$ -Amended Groundwater .....	13
8	Chemical Composition of $\text{NaOH}$ -Amended Groundwater Solutions after Contact with Sediment.....	14
9	Calculated Speciation of $\text{I}^-$ , $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ , and $\text{TcO}_4^-$ in the Solutions Used in the pH Experiment.....	15

# 1.0 Introduction

## 1.1 Background

A performance assessment is under way to evaluate the suitability of the unsaturated zone of the Hanford Site for the long-term immobilization of vitrified low-activity waste. This assessment will predict the transport of radionuclides and/or contaminants from a source to a receptor via pathways that are considered credible. Previous analyses for proposed disposal actions on the Hanford Site show that groundwater transport presents the greatest potential for long-term dose uptake by humans (Mann et al. 1998). Furthermore, these previous analyses have shown that the key risk drivers at the proposed disposal site include  $^{79}\text{Se}$ ,  $^{99}\text{Tc}$ ,  $^{129}\text{I}$ ,  $^{234,235,238}\text{U}$ , and  $^{237}\text{Np}$ .

It is expected that the leachate from the glass waste forms used for the immobilized low-activity waste (ILAW) will have very high-pH and ionic-strength properties (Mann et al. 1998). The effect of high-ionic strength and pH on the tendency of dissolved key radionuclides to sorb to sediments is not known. This lack of knowledge could adversely affect the performance assessment by increasing the uncertainty and requiring that unrealistically conservative estimates be used as input parameters.

pH has been shown to have a large effect on adsorption tendencies of radionuclides to pure mineral phases (Zachara and McKinley 1993, Waite et al. 1994) and natural sediments (Koss 1988, Johnson et al. 1995, Kaplan et al. 1998). These studies indicate that cation sorption tends to increase as pH increases to ~10. Above this pH value, several complex geochemical reactions occur, including dissolution of several silicate-containing minerals, reprecipitation of secondary mineral phases, radionuclide adsorption to primary minerals and to the newly formed secondary minerals, incorporation of radionuclides into the structure of some of these secondary phases, incorporation of radionuclides into carbonate phases (carbonate mineral formation increases as pH increases), and increased competition for sorption sites resulting from the higher ionic strength of the aqueous solution. It is difficult to determine *a priori* whether radionuclide movement would tend to be retarded more or less in these chemically and mineralogically altered systems than in natural, nontransformed systems.

Elevated solution ionic strength, or salt concentration, has been shown to have significant effects on sorption processes (Serne and Relyea 1981, Kaplan et al. 1998, Stumm and Morgan 1998). Generally, as the ionic strength increases, adsorption of cationic and anionic contaminants decreases because there is greater competition for adsorption sites and the activity of the sorbed species decreases. However, high-ionic strengths can occasionally have the opposite effect (i.e., as the ionic strength increases, solute interactions with the solid phase also increases [Stumm and Morgan 1998]). The cause for this has been attributed to precipitation and/or coprecipitation.

As part of the ILAW project, the effects of ionic strength and pH on U(VI) sorption were evaluated through a series of batch-type laboratory experiments (Kaplan et al. 1996, 1998). The results show that U(VI) adsorption remained constant between 3.3 and 100  $\mu\text{g/L}$   $\text{UO}_2^{2+}$  at pH 8.3 and ionic strength of

0.02 M. This result suggests that the simple distribution coefficient ( $K_d$ ) model could be used to describe adsorption in this concentration range. In a separate study, U(VI)  $K_d$  and solubility values did not change as the ionic strength was increased with  $\text{NaClO}_4$  to 0.014 M. U(VI)  $K_d$  values essentially doubled from 1.07 to 2.22 mL/g as the pH of the system increased from 8.3 to 9.3. Above pH 10.3, precipitation of U(VI)-containing solids occurred, resulting in apparent  $K_d$  values of >400 mL/g. Precipitation did not occur unless a sediment was present. This suggests that heterogeneous precipitation occurred. Thus, in carbonate systems with a pH  $\geq 10.5$ , U(VI) mobility may be much less than in near-neutral pH systems. These results have significant ramifications for the performance assessment, in that in areas where high-pH levels are expected (e.g., near the glass logs, quite high  $K_d$  values for U[VI] can be justified).

The effect of ionic strength on anion sorption is not well understood. Routson et al. (1977) measured  $K_d$  values for  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  as a function of sodium bicarbonate concentrations and reported values of  $-0.05 \pm 0.01$ ,  $-0.03 \pm 0.01$ ,  $0.01 \pm 0.04$ , and  $0.02 \pm 0.06$  mL/g, with an average of  $-0.014$  mL/g (the standard deviation of this mean was not reported). Serne et al. (1993) reported 17  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values for 3 sediments from the Hanford Site measured under varying experimental conditions. Ten  $K_d$  values were negative, ranging from  $-0.6 \pm 0.1$  to  $-0.1 \pm 0.8$ , while 7 were positive, ranging from  $0.8 \pm 0.8$  to  $0.02 \pm 0.3$  mL/g. The standard deviations of the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values reported by Serne et al. (1993) were large and generally included 0 mL/g. Gee and Campbell (1980) reported comparatively large negative  $K_d$  values in sediments collected from the Hanford Site and in aqueous phases of high-ionic-strength solutions:  $-3 \pm 2$  and  $-1 \pm 2$  mL/g. In a separate set of batch  $K_d$  experiments in which the aqueous-phase chemical composition was varied, they measured 24  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values from 2 sediments. The  $K_d$  values ranged from  $-2.77$  to  $1.27$ , with 14 negative determinations. The positive distributions of  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values (i.e.,  $x + 1s$ , reported by Gee and Campbell [1980] rarely included 0 mL/g). The  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values tended to be greater for solution with higher ionic strength than for lower ionic strength. The impact of pH on  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  or  $\text{I}^-$  sorption to Hanford Site sediments has not been studied.

As part of this performance assessment project, Kaplan and Serne (1998) measured  $\sim 30$   $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values using sediments from the 200 Areas on the Hanford Site. The average  $K_d$  value was  $-0.04 \pm 0.02$  mL/g over a wide range of initial  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  activities and soil types. They attributed the negative values to anion exclusion; this was supported by literature and theoretical considerations. However, one thing that is not known is the effect of ionic strength on the  $K_d$  value of Tc or any other anion, including  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ . All the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values that have been measured to date using Hanford Site sediments have been with solutions of low ionic strength,  $<0.05$  M. It is possible that as the ionic strength increases, the diffuse double layer that extends the negative charge of the sediments into the surrounding groundwater would collapse, thereby decreasing the anion exclusion from the mineral surfaces and permitting the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  anion to come into contact and sorb to the mineral surfaces. The importance of this to the performance assessment is that higher  $K_d$  values may, in fact, exist for anions in near-field, high-ionic-strength systems than the reported  $K_d$  values that pertain to far-field, low-ionic-strength systems.

## 1.2 Objectives

The objectives of this study were to determine the effects of high pH and ionic strength on technetium (as  $\text{TcO}_4^-$ ), iodine (as  $\text{I}^-$ ), and selenate (as  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ ) sorption to 200-East Area sediments. These sediments contain carbonate phases that provide sorption sites and maintain high concentrations of total carbonate ( $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  and bicarbonate [ $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ]) in the aqueous phase. Total dissolved carbonates can greatly influence dissolved cationic radionuclide speciation (e.g.,  $\text{UO}_2^{2+}$ ) and can potentially compete with anionic species for sorption sites on mineral surfaces. A series of batch experiments were conducted to simulate various near-field and far-field environments influenced by a plume emanating from a glass waste form. The intent of these experiments was to provide a conceptual model of the  $\text{I}^-$ ,  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ , and  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  geochemistry in the plume as a function of distance from the glass waste form and to provide quantified sorption values in the form of  $K_d$  values.

## 1.3 Scope

This study consisted of three experiments: 1) the preliminary experiment of detection interferences and sorption competition of  $^{125}\text{I}^-$ ,  $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ , and  $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ ; 2) the ionic-strength experiment; and 3) the pH experiment. The first was designed to determine whether the three radionuclides of interest (technetium [as  $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ ], iodine [as  $^{125}\text{I}^-$ ], and selenium [as  $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ ]) could be combined in one sediment/water system to measure  $K_d$  values without causing any analytical interferences or chemical competition for sorption sites on the sediment surface. If the radionuclides could be tested concurrently, then a great deal of time would be saved in conducting these measurements.

The experiments were designed to evaluate the effects that the ionic-strength and pH conditions expected in the near field would have on  $K_d$  values. These two batch sorption experiments were conducted with a 200 Areas sediment (from trench AE-3) that was previously characterized as part of this project (Kaplan et al. 1996). NaOH and  $\text{NaClO}_4$  additions to an uncontaminated Hanford Site groundwater were used in the pH and ionic-strength experiments, respectively. The NaOH additions in the pH experiment were expected to change the ionic strength and pH of the solutions, whereas the  $\text{NaClO}_4$  additions were expected to change only the ionic strength, not the pH, of the solutions. This difference would permit separating the effects of ionic strength from those of pH. In addition to measuring  $K_d$  values under various pH and ionic-strength conditions, the filtration index was determined to provide a measure of the solubility of the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$ ,  $\text{I}^-$ , and  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  under these various groundwater conditions.

## 1.4 Document Outline

This report consists of five chapters. The materials and methods used to conduct these experiments are given in Chapter 2.0. The results and discussion are given in Chapter 3.0. The conclusions and implications for the performance assessment are contained in Chapter 4.0, followed by the references cited in the text (Chapter 5.0).

## 2.0 Materials and Methods

### 2.1 General Batch Sorption Procedure

Three experiments were conducted: preliminary experiment of detection interferences and sorption competition of  $^{125}\text{I}$ ,  $^{75}\text{SeO}_4$ , and  $^{99}\text{TcO}_4$ ; ionic-strength experiment; and pH experiment. The general procedure used in each experiment was identical, except that one parameter was systematically changed.

Groundwater collected from well 699-S3-25, located in an uncontaminated portion of the Hanford Site, was used as the aqueous phase in all experiments (Table 1). The groundwater was analyzed using standard techniques. Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES), having an analytical precision of  $\leq \pm 4\%$  at 5 mg/L, was used to determine dissolved cation concentrations. Ion chromatography (IC), having an analytical precision of  $\leq \pm 4\%$  at 5 mg/L, was used to determine dissolved anion concentrations. Analysis for  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  was by liquid scintillation counting (LSC), using a quench-calibrated Wallac® 1415 LSC and Packard® Opti-fluor™ LSC cocktail (Wallac Instruments, Inc. and Packard Instruments, Meriden, Connecticut). Analyses for  $^{75}\text{Se}$  and  $^{125}\text{I}$  were by gamma energy analysis using a Wallac® 1480 Wizard™ 3-in. NaI automatic gamma detector. All analytical methods were performed to 3% combined error, with systematic error accounting for <1% of the total.

Table 1. Chemical Composition of Uncontaminated Hanford Site Groundwater (<0.45- $\mu\text{m}$  filter)

Constituent	Concentration (mg/L)
pH	8.4 (unitless)
$\text{Cl}^-$	24
$\text{NO}_3^-$	1.7
$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	109
Total organic carbon	0.73
Total alkalinity (as $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ )	160
Al	0.14
B	0.05
Ba	0.069
Ca	58
Fe	0.14
K	14
Mg	16
Mn	0.046
Na	30
Si	16.2

The sediment used in these studies was collected from the side wall of trench AE-3 located in the 200-West Area burial grounds on the Hanford Site (Table 2). The sediment was collected at a depth of ~10 m. The sediment characterization was conducted using standard methods: cation-exchange capacity was determined by the  $\text{Na}^+$ -exchange ion method (Rhodes 1987), surface area using  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption by the BET method (Carter et al. 1987), particle-size distribution by the pipette method (Gee and Bauder 1987), particle density by the submersion method (Blake and Hartge 1987), hydraulic conductivity by the constant head method (Klute and Dirksen 1987), carbonate concentration by acid neutralization of the carbonate followed by back titration of the excess acid (Nelson 1987), pH by the 1:1 solid:solution method (McLean 1987), and mineralogy by x-ray diffraction (Whittig and Allardice 1987).

Trench AE-3 sediment has the texture of a silty loam (see Table 2). The mineralogy of the clay-size fraction is dominated by smectite, illite, and vermiculite. X-ray diffraction analysis of the samples revealed that the carbonate phase was dominated by calcite. The hydrous iron oxide concentration of this sediment was not measured, but was based on measurements made on nearby sediments that contained between 0.2% to 0.5% (wt) amorphous  $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  (Kaplan et al. 1996).

**Table 2.** Selected Properties of the Trench AE-3 Sediment

Constituent	Trench AE-3
Texture	Silty loam
pH	8.3
Cation-exchange capacity (CEC) (meq/100 g)	6.4
Surface area ( $\text{m}^2/\text{g}$ )	14.8
In situ gravel (%) <sup>(a)</sup>	<1
In situ sand (%)	41
In situ silt (%)	50
In situ clay (%)	9
<2-mm sand (%) <sup>(b)</sup>	41
<2-mm silt (%)	50
<2-mm clay (%)	9
Particle density ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$ )	2.74
Saturated hydraulic conductivity (cm/s)	6E-6
$\text{CaCO}_3$ (as inorganic C) (mg/L)	1.92
Dominant mineral in clay fraction	Smectite (57%) Illite (19%) Vermiculite (14%)
(a) In situ compositions refer to the total sediment as it exists in the ground. This sediment was not used in the adsorption experiments.	
(b) The <2-mm fraction was used in the sorption experiments.	

The experiments were conducted on a laboratory bench in contact with air (i.e., not in a CO<sub>2</sub>-free chamber) in an attempt to simulate better actual conditions in a subsurface environment. The sediment was first preequilibrated with groundwater. This was accomplished by adding groundwater to the sediments, shaking the suspensions overnight, centrifuging, pouring off the supernatant, and then measuring the pH of the supernatant. This was repeated until the pH of the groundwater did not change before and after contact with the sediment, usually three washes. The purpose of this pre-equilibration step was to isolate the radionuclide adsorption reaction from the large number of other reactions that may occur while sediments and aqueous solutions come to chemical equilibrium. This has been shown to be a critical step for accurately measuring adsorption values and minimizing precipitation and/or coprecipitation of several radiotracers (Serne and Relyea 1981).

<sup>99</sup>TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, <sup>125</sup>I<sup>-</sup>, and <sup>75</sup>SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> were added to uncontaminated Hanford Site groundwater and then the solutions were placed on a platform shaker for 7 days, a period selected to ensure that steady-state conditions were achieved. These solutions were then passed through a 0.20-μm filter, and the filtrates were analyzed for pH, electrical conductivity, and total dissolved radionuclide activity.

An index of the solubility of the radionuclides in the various treatment solutions was estimated by the filtration ratio:

$$\text{Filtration Rate} = \frac{A_{\text{final}}}{A_{\text{initial}}} \quad (1)$$

where  $A_{\text{final}}$  is the radionuclide activity in the <0.20-μm filtrate and  $A_{\text{initial}}$  is the radionuclide activity added to the groundwater. No sediment was added to the solutions used to calculate the filtration ratios. The purpose of gathering this information was to help in differentiating between radionuclide removal from solution by precipitation or by adsorption. If the filtration ratio is <1, then precipitation or container wall adsorption likely occurred.

A 20-mL aliquot of the filtered radionuclide solutions was then added to 10 g of preequilibrated sediment. The radionuclide/groundwater/sediment suspensions were placed on a slow-moving platform shaker for 14 days. This duration was selected to ensure that the system was in a steady state. Preliminary experiments showed that U and I<sup>-</sup> sorption to trench AE-3 sediment remained constant between 2 and 14 days (Kaplan et al. 1996). The suspensions were centrifuged and the supernatants were then passed through 0.20-μm filters. Radionuclide activity, pH, and electrical conductivity of the filtrates were measured.

The  $K_d$ s (mL/g) were calculated using the following equation.

$$K_d = \frac{(A_{\text{spike}} \times V_{\text{spike}}) - A_{\text{final}} (V_{\text{spike}} + V_{\text{excess}})}{A_{\text{final}} \times M_{\text{sediment}}} \quad (2)$$

where  $A_{\text{spike}}$  is the initial radionuclide activity in the spike solution (mCi/L),  $V_{\text{spike}}$  is the volume of radionuclide solution (mL),  $V_{\text{excess}}$  (mL) is the volume of excess solution left after the third preequilibration

wash (weight of excess solution divided by solution density),  $A_{\text{final}}$  is the radionuclide activity in the effluent solution after equilibration (mCi/L), and  $M_{\text{sediment}}$  is the sediment mass (g).

A sediment:solution ratio of 1:2 was used in these experiments. This high ratio was used to improve  $K_d$  measurement accuracy. As can be seen from Equation (2), the activity of adsorbed radionuclide is determined by subtracting the radionuclide activity in solution before,  $A_{\text{spike}}$ , and after,  $A_{\text{final}}$ , contact with the sediment. If little adsorption takes place, then the difference between  $A_{\text{spike}}$  and  $A_{\text{final}}$  will be small. Thus, one of the difficulties in determining low- $K_d$  values is that two large numbers must be subtracted from each other to determine a small value, a poorly poised mathematical situation. To obtain a greater difference between  $A_{\text{spike}}$  and  $A_{\text{final}}$ , the sediment:solution ratio was increased in these experiments.

Three or four replicates of each  $K_d$  measurement were made. Two types of control treatments were included in each experiment: a negative and a positive. The positive control, containing the radionuclide-spiked groundwater and no sediment, was used to evaluate radionuclide sorption to labware and filters. The negative control contained sediment and groundwater without the added radionuclides and served to account for background radionuclide activity in the uncontaminated sediment and groundwater.

## 2.2 Preliminary Experiment of Detection Interferences and Sorption Competition of $^{125}\text{I}^-$ , $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^-$ , and $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$

The objective of this experiment was to determine whether  $^{125}\text{I}$ ,  $^{75}\text{Se}$ , and  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  could be combined in one sorption test without causing analytical interferences or sorption competition. This study had a full-factorial experimental design and consisted of four radionuclide treatments ( $^{125}\text{I}$ ,  $^{75}\text{Se}$ ,  $^{99}\text{Tc}$ , and  $^{125}\text{I}/^{75}\text{Se}/^{99}\text{Tc}$  combined), two soil treatments (with and without soil), and four replicates. The four radionuclide treatments were made by adding  $\sim 15 \mu\text{Ci/L}$  of each radionuclide to the Hanford Site groundwater. Once the radionuclides were permitted to equilibrate for 7 days with the groundwater, they were passed through a  $0.20\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  filter, counted for radioactivity, and then added in 20-mL aliquots to 10 g of preequilibrated trench AE-3 sediment (see Table 2). These suspensions were placed on a slow-moving platform shaker for 14 days to equilibrate. The liquid and solid phases were then separated by centrifugation, followed by filtration ( $0.20\text{-}\mu\text{m}$  filter), and then the effluent was counted for radioactivity.

## 2.3 Ionic-Strength Experiment

The effect of solution ionic strength on solubility (as estimated by the filtration index, Equation [1]) and  $K_d$  values of Tc (as  $\text{TcO}_4^-$ ) and Se (as  $\text{SeO}_4^-$ ) were evaluated by varying the amount of  $\text{NaClO}_4$  added to the groundwater/sediment suspensions. The effect of  $\text{NaClO}_4$  concentration on I filterability and  $K_d$  values was not evaluated because  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  would oxidize the  $\text{I}^-$  to iodate ( $\text{IO}_3^-$ ).  $\text{IO}_3^-$  has an appreciably different geochemical behavior than  $\text{I}^-$  (e.g., it sorbs much more strongly to mineral surfaces [Couture



and Seitz 1983, Ticknor and Cho 1990, Muramatsu et al. 1993)).  $\text{NaClO}_4$  was selected as the salt to vary ionic strength because Na will likely be the dominant cation emanating from most glass waste forms and  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  is a noncomplexing ligand. Thus, it was expected that  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  would increase the ionic strength without greatly affecting the pH and the chemical speciation.  $\text{NaClO}_4$  treatment solutions of 0.05, 0.10, 0.50, and 1.00 M were prepared in Hanford Site groundwater. The trench AE-3 sediment was preequilibrated with the  $\text{NaClO}_4$  treatment solutions as described in Section 2.1. Approximately 15  $\mu\text{Ci/L}$  of  $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$  and  $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^-$  were prepared using the  $\text{NaClO}_4$  treatment solutions. The radionuclide/groundwater solutions with variable ionic strength were permitted to equilibrate for 7 days and then filtered through a 0.20- $\mu\text{m}$  filter. These filtered solutions and preequilibrated sediments were then used in sediment-sorption experiments, using the procedure described in Section 2.1.

## 2.4 pH Experiment

The pH values of five groundwater solutions were adjusted with 0.1 M NaOH to pH values ranging from 8.1 (no addition) to 11.9. Once the pH values remained stable ( $\text{pH} \pm 0.03$ ) for a period of 7 days, the solutions were spiked with 15  $\mu\text{Ci/L}$   $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ ,  $^{125}\text{I}^-$ , or  $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^-$  and then equilibrated for another 7 days. The solutions were passed through a 0.20- $\mu\text{m}$  filter, and the pH and radionuclide activities of the filtrate were measured. These solutions and preequilibrated sediments were then used in sediment-sorption experiments using the procedure described in Section 2.1.

# 3.0 Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Preliminary Experiment of Detection Interferences and Sorption Competition of $^{125}\text{I}^-$ , $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^-$ , and $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$

A preliminary experiment was conducted to evaluate whether  $^{125}\text{I}^-$ ,  $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^-$ , and  $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$  could be combined in the same batch test to measure  $K_d$  values. At issue was whether these three radionuclides 1) would interfere with the analytical detection of each other or 2) would compete with each other for sorption sites on the mineral surfaces. To address the first issue, an experiment was conducted in which the radionuclides were added to separate solutions and combined in one solution. As can be seen by comparing the first two columns of Table 3, the activity of  $^{125}\text{I}$  and  $^{75}\text{Se}$  was similar irrespective of whether these radionuclides were separated or combined in solutions. Interference of  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  was detected in the presence of the other radionuclides. Close examination of the spectra revealed that a secondary  $^{75}\text{Se}$  peak overlapped the primary  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  peak. Time-consuming chemical separation, or possibly deconvolution of spectrum peaks, may permit independently measuring  $^{75}\text{Se}$  and  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  in the same solution.

**Table 3.** Detection Interference and Sorption Competition of  $^{125}\text{I}$ ,  $^{75}\text{Se}$ , and  $^{99}\text{Tc}$  Experiment

Constituent	Solution Activity ( $\mu\text{Ci/L}$ ) <sup>(a)</sup>		$K_d$ (mL/g) <sup>(b)</sup>	
	Radionuclides in Separate Solutions	$^{125}\text{I}$ , $^{75}\text{Se}$ , and $^{99}\text{Tc}$ in Same Solution	Radionuclides in Separate Tubes	$^{125}\text{I}$ and $^{75}\text{Se}$ in Same Tube
$^{125}\text{I}$	$46.0 \pm 0.3$	$47.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.07 \pm 0.02$
$^{75}\text{Se}$	$43.2 \pm 0.2$	$43.5 \pm 0.4$	$8.31 \pm 1.02$	$9.6 \pm 0.61$
$^{99}\text{Tc}$	$58.5 \pm 0.7$	$65.7 \pm 0.9$	— <sup>(c)</sup>	— <sup>(c)</sup>
(a) Means $\pm$ standard deviation of four replicates; solution spiked with $^{125}\text{I}$ , $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ , and $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ and permitted to equilibrate with Hanford Site groundwater for 14 days. (b) Spike solution and sediment equilibration period groundwater = 14 days; 1:2 sediment mass:spike groundwater ratio; sediment was preequilibrated with Hanford Site groundwater. (c) $^{99}\text{Tc}$ $K_d$ measurements were not conducted because it was determined earlier that $^{99}\text{Tc}$ detection in the presence of $^{75}\text{Se}$ was not practical.				

Based on statistical evaluations, the  $K_d$  values of  $^{125}\text{I}$  and  $^{75}\text{Se}$  did not change significantly ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) when they were measured separately or together in the same test vessel (see Table 3). Had competition for sorption sites been occurring in this system, then the  $K_d$  values would have decreased when measured in the presence of the other radionuclide anion. Based on the data in Table 3, it was decided to measure  $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values in one set of reaction vessels and  $^{125}\text{I}$  and  $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$   $K_d$  values in a second set of reaction vessels.

### 3.2 Ionic-Strength Experiment

The objective of this experiment was to determine the effect of ionic strength on  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values. Another intent of this experiment was to provide information that could be used to assist in differentiating between the effects of pH and ionic strength on  $K_d$  values in the pH experiment (Section 3.3), in which pH and ionic strength were varied concomitantly. It was not evaluated in this experiment because it was expected that the  $\text{NaClO}_4$  used to adjust the ionic strengths would oxidize the  $\text{I}^-$  to  $\text{IO}_3^-$ , thereby increasing its propensity to adsorb to sediments (Couture and Seitz 1983, Ticknor and Cho 1990, Muramatsu et al. 1993).

The rather wide range of ionic strengths (or more specifically  $\text{NaClO}_4$  concentrations) used in this experiment had no significant effect on the final activity of Se and Tc remaining in solution, as indicated by the filtration ratio data presented in Table 4. This provides indirect evidence that neither  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  nor  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  precipitated from solution once they were added to the treatment solutions. It is also important to note that the final pH values of the four  $\text{NaClO}_4$ -amended solutions after the 14-day contact time were essentially identical, indicating that ionic strength increased independently of pH in these experiments.

**Table 4.** Selenium and Technetium Filtration Ratios and  $K_d$  Values of Groundwater Treated with Varying Concentrations of  $\text{NaClO}_4$

$\text{NaClO}_4$ Added (M)	Solution Chemistry at End of Spike/Groundwater Equilibration Period		Filtration Ratio (unitless) <sup>(a)</sup>		$K_d$ (mL/g) <sup>(b)</sup>	
	pH <sup>(c)</sup>	Electrical Conductivity (mS/cm)	Se	Tc	Se	Tc
0.05	7.74	9.06	0.99	1.00	$3.31 \pm 0.57$	$-0.16 \pm 0.04$
0.10	7.76	10.94	0.99	0.95	$4.49 \pm 0.19$	$-0.13 \pm 0.00$
0.50	7.73	11.05	0.99	0.97	$4.47 \pm 0.06$	$-0.28 \pm 0.01$
1.00	7.70	>20	0.97	1.01	$4.11 \pm 0.06$	$3.94 \pm 0.99$
(a) Initial $^{75}\text{Se}$ (as $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ ) and $^{99}\text{Tc}$ (as $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ ) activity $\sim 15 \mu\text{Ci/L}$ ; equilibration period for $^{75}\text{Se}$ or $^{99}\text{Tc}$ spike in groundwater = 14 days; filter = $0.20 \mu\text{m}$ ; no sediment included in these systems; filtration ratio is defined in Equation (1); one replicate.						
(b) Initial $^{75}\text{Se}$ (as $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ ) and $^{99}\text{Tc}$ ( $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ ) activity $\sim 15 \mu\text{Ci/L}$ ; spike solution and sediment equilibration period groundwater = 14 days; 1:2 sediment mass:spike groundwater ratio; three replications.						
(c) pH measured with a solid-state chip electrode (Sentron, 2001 pH; CP Instruments, Bishop's Stratford, Herts, United Kingdom) to reduce potential problems associated with measuring pH in high-ionic-strength solutions.						

The filtered  $\text{NaClO}_4$ -amended solutions used to generate the filtration index data in Table 4 were reused in the Se and Tc sorption experiments. The Se and Tc  $K_d$  values did not remain constant as a function of  $\text{NaClO}_4$  concentrations (see Table 4). The Se  $K_d$  value for the lowest  $\text{NaClO}_4$  concentration, 0.05 M  $\text{NaClO}_4$ , was  $\sim 1 \text{ mL/g}$  less than for the higher  $\text{NaClO}_4$  treatments. Similarly, the Tc  $K_d$  values were less for the lower  $\text{NaClO}_4$  treatments,  $\leq 0.50 \text{ M NaClO}_4$ , and greater for the higher treatment, 1.00 M  $\text{NaClO}_4$ . The Tc  $K_d$  values for the  $\leq 0.50 \text{ M NaClO}_4$  solutions were negative, ranging from  $-0.28 \pm 0.01$  to  $-0.16 \pm 0.04 \text{ mL/g}$ . The Tc  $K_d$  value for the 1.00 M  $\text{NaClO}_4$  treatment was positive and significantly greater than the other treatments,  $3.94 \pm 0.99 \text{ mL/g}$ .

Negative Tc  $K_d$  values have been frequently reported in the past (Routson et al. 1977, Gee and Campbell 1980, Serne et al. 1993). These negative  $K_d$  values have generally been attributed to experimental error associated with a nonadsorbing solute (i.e., a solute with a  $K_d$  of  $0 \text{ mL/g}$ ). Recently, Kaplan and Serne (1998) measured  $\sim 30$  Tc  $K_d$  values, using sediments from the 200 Areas on the Hanford Site. The average  $K_d$  value was  $-0.04 \pm 0.02 \text{ mL/g}$  over a wide range of initial Tc activities and soil types. However, and important, the ionic strength of all of these tests were  $< 0.05 \text{ M}$ . The negative  $K_d$  values were attributed to the negative charge of the sediments, repulsing the anions from the clay surface, creating an exclusion volume immediately around the clay particle, and thereby, concentrating the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  anion in the nonexclusion solution volume that was sampled to calculate  $K_d$  values (Kaplan and Serne 1998).

The cause for the substantial increase in the Se and Tc  $K_d$  value at higher-ionic-strength solutions is not known. The most likely explanation is that the higher ionic strength caused the double layer around the sediment particles to decrease, thereby permitting greater  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  mineral interactions. The greater

contact of the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  with the mineral surface increased the likelihood that the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  anion would come into contact with positive sorption sites. The Hanford Site sediment has few positive sorption sites at pH 8.1; however, these few sites may play an important role in sorbing anions. The presence of negative sorption sites, albeit there are very few, is especially important at the extremely low  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  concentrations used in the test solutions and expected in the proposed ILAW site, on the order of  $10^{-10}$  M  $\text{TcO}_4^-$ . The increased removal of  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  in the higher-ionic-strength solutions likely did not occur as a result of homogeneous precipitation (precipitation in solution). Na and  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  tend to form very soluble salts with  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  and  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ . Calculated solubility indices indicated that neither of the radionuclides likely formed precipitates in the test solutions (this will be discussed in greater detail below). Additionally, the filtration indices (see Table 4) showed that no filterable Tc or Se material was formed in the higher  $\text{NaClO}_4$  concentration treatments. It is possible that heterogeneous precipitation occurred. Heterogeneous precipitation is precipitation that occurs only in the presence of a solid phase. In this process, the solid phase lowers the energy barrier for precipitation to occur by providing nucleation sites or by increasing the concentration of solute near the surface. This is not an especially likely mechanism because the calculated saturation indices were extremely low, indicating that precipitation in homogeneous systems was unlikely.

The chemical composition of the  $\text{NaClO}_4$ -amended groundwater used in this experiment is presented in Table 5. Other than the Na and  $\text{ClO}_4^-$  concentrations, the rest of the chemistry remained largely unchanged. With increased additions of  $\text{NaClO}_4$ , there was a decrease in Ca and K concentration in the aqueous phase. This likely is the result of an exchange reaction with the added Na. There was also a decrease in Si concentration with increased additions of  $\text{NaClO}_4$ . The cause of this trend is not known.

**Table 5.** Chemical Composition (mg/L) of  $\text{NaClO}_4$ -Amended Groundwater Solutions after Contact with Sediment<sup>(a)</sup>

Constituent	Added $\text{NaClO}_4$			
	0.05 M	0.10 M	0.50 M	1.00 M
pH	7.74	7.76	7.73	7.70
$\text{ClO}_4^-$	4,125	7,719	25,236	52,397
$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$	40.45	40.68	40.63	40.75
Alkalinity (as $\text{CaCO}_3$ )	96.6	130	62.5	35.5
Ca	66.48	83.98	95.27	83.65
Fe	<0.04	<0.04	0.04	0.09
K	13.26	17.23	23.06	30.81
Mg	14.68	16.94	17.60	15.29
Na	958	1,793	5,863	12,173
Si	16.62	15.4	12.73	9.83
(a) The initial $^{75}\text{Se}$ (as $^{75}\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ ) and $^{99}\text{Tc}$ ( $^{99}\text{TcO}_4^-$ ) activity was 15 $\mu\text{Ci/L}$ ; spike solution and sediment equilibration period was 14 days; 1:2 solid:liquid ratio; one replication.				

Chemical speciation calculations were conducted using the data in Table 5 and the results are presented in Table 6. These calculations indicate that most of the Se and Tc in the system existed as free ions; namely,  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{TcO}_4^-$ , respectively. As more Na was added, more of the  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  formed  $\text{NaSeO}_4^-$ ; however, the concentration of this species never exceeded 36% of the total  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  pool. Although not presented, the saturation indices calculated using the data from Tables 5 and 6 indicated that  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  or  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  mineral phases were very unlikely to form (i.e., the saturation indices of these minerals were appreciably less than zero).

### 3.3 pH Experiment

The effect of increasing pH values of Hanford Site groundwater and sediment by adding NaOH on the filtration ratio and  $K_d$  values (Equations [1] and [2], respectively) were evaluated in this experiment. The pH and the electrical conductivity, an indirect measure of ionic strength, increased with increased pH treatments (Table 7). It is important to note that the highest electrical conductivity value reported for this study was less than the lowest treatment, 0.05 M  $\text{NaClO}_4$  in the ionic-strength experiment (see Table 4). The filtration ratios for all three anions were approximately equal to unity, suggesting that little filterable precipitates formed as a result of the NaOH additions.

The I and Se  $K_d$  values decreased as the pH treatment increased. This trend can likely be attributed to the sediments in the higher pH systems having greater negative surface charge. The greater negative surface charge not only increases the repulsion of the anions from the surface but also resulted in the conversion of positively charged surface sites to negatively charged surface sites.

**Table 6.** Calculated Speciation of the  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  in the Solutions used in the Ionic Strength Experiment<sup>(a)</sup>

$\text{NaClO}_4$ Added (M)	Calculated Ionic Strength (mM)	$\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ Species (%)				$\text{TcO}_4^-$ Species (%)
		$\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$	$\text{CaSeO}_4^0$	$\text{MgSeO}_4^0$	$\text{NaSeO}_4^-$	$\text{TcO}_4^-$
0.05	47	84	6	2	8	100
0.10	85	81	5	1	12	100
0.50	262	70	3	<1	26	100
1.00	536	62	1	<1	36	100

(a) Bulk chemical composition of the solutions were presented in Table 5. The sum of the speciation percentages for a particular solute may not equal 100% because only the species with concentrations >1% are presented in the table. The  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  speciation calculations were conducted with the software GEOCHEM (Sposito and Mattigod 1980). The stability constants used in these calculations were from the GEOCHEM database, Smith and Martell (1976), and Dyrssen et al. (1968); and values calculated using the method described by Nieboer and McBryde (1973). The  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  speciation calculations were conducted with the software MINTEQA2 (Allison et al. 1991). The stability constants used in the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  speciation calculations were from the MINTEQA2 database and Lemire and Jobe (1996).

Conversely, the Tc  $K_d$  values tended to increase as the pH of the system increased. The cause for this is not known and is contrary to the expected trend. It is possible that this trend may be attributed to the concomitant increase in ionic strength (or electrical conductivity) as the pH was increased (see Table 7). However, in the ionic-strength experiment (see Section 3.2), it was shown that ionic strength, per se, did not increase Tc  $K_d$  values until the electrical conductivity was  $>11.04$  mS/cm ( $>0.5$  M NaClO<sub>4</sub>; see Table 4). The highest electrical conductivity measured in the Tc pH treatments was 6.14 mS/cm (see Table 7), which is less than the 11.04-mS/cm critical value.

The solution chemistry of the NaOH-amended groundwater is presented in Table 8. The pH 8.1 groundwater did not receive any NaOH. The other solutions that did receive NaOH showed a rather dramatic change in chemistry. As expected, the pH and Na concentration increased with NaOH additions. Substantial decreases in Ca, K, and Mg concentrations and increases in Si and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations were also measured with increased pH treatments. The increase in carbonate levels is attributed to the direct interaction between hydroxide and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations (Stumm and Morgan 1998). The

**Table 7.** Filtration Ratios and  $K_d$  Values of Iodine, Selenium, and Technetium in NaOH-Amended Groundwater

Constituent	Solution Chemistry at End of Spike/Groundwater Equilibration Period		Filtration Ratio <sup>(a)</sup> (unitless)	$K_d$ <sup>(b)</sup> (mL/g)
	pH	Electrical Conductivity (mS/cm)		
<sup>125</sup> I	8.1	0.66	0.98	0.22 ± 0.01
	9.9	0.79	0.96	0.01 ± 0.01
	10.2	0.79	0.96	-0.02 ± 0.02
	11.0	1.15	0.99	-0.04 ± 0.02
	11.9	3.46	1.01	0.01 ± 0.01
<sup>75</sup> Se	8.1	0.66	0.98	5.78 ± 0.28
	9.9	0.79	0.92	0.29 ± 0.03
	10.2	0.79	0.96	0.19 ± 0.00
	11.0	1.15	0.99	0.05 ± 0.02
	11.9	3.46	0.99	0.04 ± 0.00
<sup>99</sup> Tc	8.1	0.59	0.97	-0.02 ± 0.01
	9.9	0.70	0.99	1.04 ± 0.06
	10.2	0.70	1.00	1.05 ± 0.02
	11.0	1.13	1.00	1.07 ± 0.05
	11.9	6.14	1.02	1.07 ± 0.03

(a) The initial <sup>125</sup>I (as <sup>125</sup>I<sup>-</sup>), <sup>75</sup>Se (as <sup>75</sup>SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), and <sup>99</sup>Tc (<sup>99</sup>TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>) activity was 15 µCi/L; equilibration period for the radionuclides with the groundwater was 14 days; filter = 0.20-µm; no sediment included in these systems; filtration ratio is defined in Equation (1).

(b) Initial <sup>125</sup>I (as <sup>125</sup>I<sup>-</sup>), <sup>75</sup>Se (as <sup>75</sup>SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>), and <sup>99</sup>Tc (<sup>99</sup>TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>) activity ~15 µCi/L; spike solution and sediment equilibration period was 14 days; 1:2 sediment mass:spike groundwater ratio; three replications.

**Table 8.** Chemical Composition (mg/L) of NaOH-Amended Groundwater Solutions after Contact with Sediment<sup>(a)</sup>

Treatment	pH				
	8.1	9.9	10.2	11.5	11.9
Cl <sup>-</sup>	38.96	75.25	42.07	73.72	33.08
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup>	39.10	39.22	39.41	39.36	39.93
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	0.05	0.03	0.12	0.12	0.12
Alkalinity (as CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	149.8	199.2	232.5	386.6	523.4
Ca	42.68	1.63	1.51	1.58	1.71
Fe	0.19	0.06	0.07	0.02	0.03
K	14.38	8.06	6.60	6.77	5.79
Mg	10.29	0.13	0.11	0.08	0.10
Na	78.89	170.26	166.47	226.79	418.06
Si	18.89	22.37	31.73	59.58	121.92
(a) The initial <sup>125</sup> I (as <sup>125</sup> I <sup>-</sup> ), <sup>75</sup> Se (as <sup>75</sup> SeO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ), and <sup>99</sup> Tc ( <sup>99</sup> TcO <sub>4</sub> <sup>-</sup> ) activity was 15 µCi/L; spike solution and sediment equilibration period was 14 days; 1:2 solid:liquid ratio; one replication.					

increase in Si concentration is likely the result of the higher pH, causing the dissolution of some silicates and aluminosilicates in the sediment. This silicate dissolution of Hanford Site sediments in high-pH systems has been reported previously (Kaplan et al. 1998). The dramatic decreases in Ca, K, and Mg can be attributed to the precipitation (Ca) or coprecipitation (K and Mg) of aragonite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) (Carlson 1983). The formation of calcium carbonate phases was observed during the titration and equilibration of the solutions with NaOH. These precipitates were filtered prior to adding the radionuclides. The filtration ratio data in Table 7 indicate that essentially none of the TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, or I<sup>-</sup> precipitated from these solutions prior to contact with the sediment. However, it is possible that some TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> coprecipitation with CaCO<sub>3</sub> may have occurred after the sediment was introduced, thereby accounting for the increased removal from solution for higher pH treatments. The coprecipitation would involve TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> replacing the CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> ions in the structure of the CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Because the SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and I<sup>-</sup> K<sub>d</sub> values decreased with increased pH, these anions may not fit into the crystalline structure of the CaCO<sub>3</sub>.

The chemical speciation of the I<sup>-</sup>, SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> added to the solutions described in Table 8 are presented in Table 9. These calculations show that TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> and I<sup>-</sup> existed only as the free, uncomplexed species. SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> also existed predominantly as the free, uncomplexed species but also formed CaSeO<sub>4</sub><sup>0</sup>, MgSeO<sub>4</sub><sup>0</sup>, and NaSeO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>. An important conclusion from these data is that the added anion tracers remained predominantly as anions and to a much smaller degree become uncharged once introduced into the sediment/groundwater systems. As expected, the anions did not form any cationic species as the pH increased from 8.1 to 11.9. This is an important conclusion because cations are more inclined to adsorb to sediments, especially at higher pH levels, than anions. Not shown in this table was that the saturation indices of solid phases containing I<sup>-</sup>, SeO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and TcO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> were very low. This indicates that precipitation of

**Table 9.** Calculated Speciation of  $I^-$ ,  $SeO_4^{2-}$ , and  $TcO_4^-$  in the Solutions Used in the pH Experiment<sup>(a)</sup>

pH	Calculated Ionic Strength (mM)	$I^-$ Species (%)	$SeO_4^{2-}$ Species (%)				$TcO_4^-$ Species (%)
			$SeO_4^{2-}$	$CaSeO_4^0$	$MgSeO_4^0$	$NaSeO_4^-$	
8.1	6	100	87	9	3	1	100
9.9	8	100	97	<1	<1	3	100
10.2	8	100	98	<1	<1	2	100
11.5	17	100	97	<1	<1	3	100
11.9	26	100	95	<1	<1	5	100

(a) Bulk chemical composition of the solutions were presented in Table 8. The sum of the speciation percentages for a particular solute may not equal 100% because only the species with concentrations >1% are presented in this table. The  $I^-$  and  $SeO_4^{2-}$  speciation calculations were conducted with the software GEOCHEM (Sposito and Mattigod 1980). The stability constants used in these calculations were from the GEOCHEM database, Smith and Martell (1976), and Dyrssen et al. (1968), and values calculated using the method described by Nieboer and McBryde (1973). The  $TcO_4^-$  speciation calculations were conducted with the software MINTEQA2 (Allison et al. 1991). The stability constants used in the  $TcO_4^-$  speciation calculations were from the MINTEQA2 database and Lemire and Jobe (1996).

these phases was not likely. The lack of precipitation is supported by the filtration index data in Table 7 that indicated there was essentially no filterable  $I^-$ ,  $SeO_4^{2-}$ , and  $TcO_4^-$  formed in the test solutions.

## 4.0 Conclusions

A series of experiments were conducted to evaluate the effect of the expected near-field chemistry on the sorption of  $I^-$ ,  $SeO_4^{2-}$ , and  $TcO_4^-$  onto a Hanford Site sediment. Particular attention was directed at quantifying the effect of pH and ionic strength (salt concentration) on  $K_d$  values for these radionuclides that are expected to have a large impact on calculated dose. Perhaps just as important, attention in these studies was directed at identifying the chemical mechanisms controlling the sorption processes, thereby providing technical defensibility to the selection of  $K_d$  values that will be selected for the ILAW performance assessment. In general, both parameters are known to have a significant effect on sorption processes. However, the magnitude of these two parameters on the adsorption of  $I^-$ ,  $SeO_4^{2-}$ , and  $TcO_4^-$  under conditions similar to those expected in the ILAW disposal complex are clearly not known (Kaplan and Serne 1995, Kaplan et al. 1995).

In the first set of experiments, the ionic strength of a Hanford Site groundwater was increased by adding  $NaClO_4$  to create ionic-strength levels expected in the near field (Mann et al. 1998). Na was selected as the cation because it will be the dominant cation in the glass effluent.  $ClO_4^-$  was selected as



the anion because it is a rather chemically inactive solute, thus it would increase the ionic strength while not interfering or competing with the targeted anions,  $\text{I}^-$ ,  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ , and  $\text{TcO}_4^-$ , for sorption sites or complexing cations. Se and Tc  $K_d$  values increased as ionic strength increased. In the case of Se, the  $K_d$  values increased from  $3.31 \pm 0.57$  to  $4.11 \pm 0.06$  mL/g, as the background solution increased in calculated ionic strength from 47 to 536 mM. Over the same increase in ionic strength, Tc  $K_d$  values increased from  $-0.16 \pm 0.04$  to  $3.94 \pm 0.99$  mL/g. The cause for this increase in  $K_d$  values is likely that the higher ionic strength caused the double layer around the particles to decrease, thereby permitting greater  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$  interaction with the mineral surfaces. The greater contact of  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  and  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  anions with the mineral permitted greater contact with positively charged sorption sites. Hanford Site sediments have few positively charged sorption sites at pH 8.1; however, these few sites may play an important role, especially at the extremely low  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  and  $\text{I}^-$  concentrations, on the order of  $10^{-10}$  M, used in these tests and expected in leachate emanating from breached repositories.

The pH level of solutions also had a significant effect on the sorption of these anions. As the pH increased from 8.1 (background) to 11.9,  $\text{I}^-$   $K_d$  values decreased from  $0.22 \pm 0.01$  to  $0.01 \pm 0.01$  mL/g, whereas  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$   $K_d$  values decreased from  $5.78 \pm 0.28$  to  $0.04 \pm 0.00$  mL/g. This pH  $K_d$  trend is consistent with the geochemical rule-of-thumb that anion sorption decreases as the pH increases. The reason for this trend is that, as the pH increases, the extent of negative surface charge increases on the sediment as a result of increased concentration of  $\text{OH}^-$  on the mineral surface. This trend was not observed with  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values. Instead, as the pH increased from 8.1 to 11.9, the  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values increased from  $-0.02 \pm 0.01$  to  $1.07 \pm 0.03$  mL/g. The cause for this unexpected but very consistent trend is not known. One possible explanation is that the modest increase in ionic strength that concomitantly increased with pH increases may have been responsible for the enhanced removal of  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  from the aqueous phase. Precipitation is an unlikely removal mechanism; neither thermodynamic solubility calculations nor aqueous-phase filtration of pH 11.9  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  solution support the likelihood for Tc precipitation.

These results have some important implications for the ILAW performance assessment. They include the following.

- A suite of  $K_d$  values for  $\text{I}^-$ ,  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$ , and  $\text{TcO}_4^-$  were measured. These values can be used to technically defend the choice of  $K_d$  values to be used in the near-field ILAW environment. These results support the use of unique  $K_d$  values for specific pH and ionic-strength conditions likely to exist in the near field.
- The  $\text{TcO}_4^-$   $K_d$  values in the near field are likely to be  $>0$  mL/g, perhaps conservatively set at 0.2 to 0.6 mL/g. For conditions in which the pH is  $>10$  and the ionic strength is  $>0.5$  M,  $K_d$  values as high as 3.9 mL/g were measured. The cause for the greater degree of adsorption under near-field conditions is not clearly understood, but is likely the result of compression of the electrical double layer around the sediments leading to less anionic repulsion and more  $\text{TcO}_4^-$ /sediment interaction.
- The  $\text{I}^-$  and  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$   $K_d$  values followed consistent but not simple trends with pH and ionic strength.  $K_d$  values of these radionuclides decrease when pH is  $>10$ . Conservative  $K_d$  values for  $\text{I}^-$  and  $\text{SeO}_4^{2-}$  in

pH-10 systems should be 0.2 and 0 mL/g, respectively. In pH-11 systems, conservative  $K_d$  values should be set to 0 mL/g. However, under conditions in which the pH remains  $\sim 8.0$  (i.e., background level) and the ionic strength increases above 80 mM (perhaps only 2 times background), conservative estimates of  $I^-$  and  $SeO_4^{2-}$   $K_d$  values would be 0.5 and 4 mL/g, respectively.

These are the first studies conducted with Hanford Site sediment in which the  $K_d$  values of I, Se, and Tc were measured as a function of ionic strength and pH. For the most part, the observed trends in  $K_d$  values were consistent with more generalized geochemical principles. One of the most important contributions of this study was that it quantified  $K_d$  value changes induced by variations in pH and ionic strength that are expected to exist in the near field. It is expected that these data will provide important guidance for selecting  $K_d$  values for the ILAW performance assessment.

## 5.0 References

- Allison JD, DS Brown, and KJ Novo-Gradac. 1991. *MINTEQA2/PRODEFA2, A geochemical assessment model for environmental systems: Version 3.0. User's manual*. EPA/600/3-91/021, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Athens, Georgia.
- Blake GR, and KH Hartge. 1987. "Particle density." In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 1*, A Klute (ed.), pp. 377-382, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Carlson WD. 1983. "The polymorphs of  $CaCO_3$  and the aragonite-calcite transformation." In *Carbonates: mineralogy and chemistry. Reviews in mineralogy Volume 11*, RJ Reeder (ed.), pp. 191-225, Mineralogical Society of America, Washington, D.C.
- Carter DO, MM Mortland, and WD Kemper. 1987. "Specific surface." In *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 1*, A Klute (ed.), pp. 413-424, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Couture RA, and MG Seitz. 1983. "Sorption of anions of iodine by iron oxides and kaolinite." *Nuclear Chem. Waste Management* 4:301-306.
- Dyrssen D, D Jagner, and F Wengelin. 1968. *Computer calculation of ionic equilibria and titration procedures*. Almquist and Wiksell, Stockholm.
- Gee GW, and JW Bauder. 1987. "Particle-size analysis." In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 1*, A Klute (ed.), pp. 383-412, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Gee GW, and AC Campbell. 1980. *Monitoring and physical characterization of unsaturated zone transport - Laboratory analysis*. PNL-3304, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Johnson WH, SM Serkiz, LM Johnson, and SB Clark. 1995. "Uranium partitioning under acidic conditions in a sandy soil aquifer." *Waste Management '95 Symposium Proceedings*, pp. 212-234, Tucson, Arizona.

Kaplan DI, and RJ Serne. 1995. *Distribution coefficient values describing iodine, neptunium, selenium, technetium, and uranium sorption to Hanford sediments*. PNL-10379, SUP. 1, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Kaplan DI, and RJ Serne. 1998. "Pertechetate exclusion from sediments." *Radiochimica Acta* 81:117-124.

Kaplan DI, RJ Serne, and MG Piepho. 1995. *Geochemical factors affecting radionuclide transport through near and far fields at a low-level waste disposal site*. PNL-10379, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Kaplan DI, RJ Serne, AT Owen, J Conca, TW Wietsma, and TL Gervais. 1996. *Radionuclide adsorption distribution coefficients measured in Hanford sediments for the low-level waste performance assessment project*. PNNL-11385, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Kaplan DI, TL Gervais, and KM Krupka. 1998. "Uranium(VI) sorption to sediments under high pH and ionic strength conditions." *Radiochimica Acta* 80:201-211.

Klute A, and C Dirksen. 1987. "Hydraulic conductivity and diffusivity: Laboratory methods." In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 1*, A Klute (ed.), pp. 687-734, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.

Koss V. 1988. "Modeling of uranium(VI) sorption and speciation in a natural sediment-groundwater system." *Radiochimica Acta* 44/45:403-406.

Lemire RJ, and DJ Jobe. 1996. "Predicted behavior of technetium in a geological disposal vault for used nuclear fuel - Ramifications of a recent determination of the enthalpy of formation of  $TcO_2(cr)$ ." In *Scientific Basis for Nuclear Waste Management XIX*, WM Murphy and DA Knecht (eds.), pp. 873-880, Materials Research Society Symposium Proceedings, Volume 412, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.

Mann FM, RJ Puigh, II, PD Rittmann, NW Kline, JA Voogd, Y Chen, CR Eiholzer, CT Kincaid, BP McGrail, AH Lu, GF Williamson, NR Brown, and PE LaMont. 1998. *Hanford immobilized low-activity tank waste performance assessment*. DOE/RL-97-69, Rev. 0, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

McLean WO. 1987. "Soil pH and lime requirement." In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 2*, AL Page, RH Miller, and DR Keeney (eds.), pp. 199-224, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.

- Muramatsu Y, S Yoshida, and S Uchida. 1993. "Iodine desorption from rice paddy soil." *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 86:359-371.
- Nelson RE. 1987. "Carbonate and gypsum." In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 2*, AL Page, RH Miller, and DR Keeney (eds.), pp. 181-198, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Nieboer E, and AE McBryde. 1973. "Free-energy relationships in coordination chemistry. III A comprehensive index to complex stability." *Can. J. Chem.* 51:2512-2524.
- Rhodes JK. 1987. "Cation exchange capacity." In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 2, Chemical and microbiological properties*, AL Page, RH Miller, and DR Keeney (eds.), pp. 149-158, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Routson RC, G Jansen, and AV Robinson. 1977. "241-Am, 237-Np, and 99-Tc sorption on two United States subsoils from differing weathering intensity areas." *Health Physics* 33:311-317.
- Serne RJ, and JF Relyea. 1981. "The status of radionuclide sorption-desorption studies performed by the WRIT program." In *The technology of high-level nuclear waste disposal, Vol. 1*. DOE/TIC-621, pp. 203-254, Technical Information Center, U.S. Department of Energy, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- Serne RJ, JL Conca, VL LeGore, KJ Cantrell, CW Lindenmeier, JA Campbell, JE Amonette, and MI Wood. 1993. *Solid-waste leach characteristics and contaminant-sediment interactions. Volume 1: Batch leach and adsorption tests and sediment characterization*. PNL-8889, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Smith RN, and AE Martell. 1976. *Critical stability constants*. Volume 4. Plenum Press, New York.
- Sposito G, and SV Mattigod. 1980. *GEOCHEM: A computer program for the calculation of chemical equilibria in soil solutions and other natural water systems*. Department of Soil and Environmental Science, University of California, Riverside, California.
- Stumm W, and JJ Morgan. 1998. *Aquatic chemistry*. Third Edition, John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Ticknor KV, and YH Cho. 1990. "Interaction of iodide and iodate with granitic fracture-filling minerals." *J. Radioanalytical Nuclear Chem.* 140(1):75-90.
- Waite TD, JA Davis, TE Payne, GA Waychunas, and N Xu. 1994. "Uranium(VI) adsorption to ferrihydrite: Application of a surface complexation model." *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 58:5465-5478.
- Whittig LD, and WR Allardice. 1987. "X-ray diffraction techniques." In *Methods of soil analysis, Part 1*, A Klute (ed.), pp. 331-362, American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Zachara JM, and JP McKinley. 1993. "Influence of hydrolysis on the sorption of metal cations by smectites: Importance of edge coordination reactions." *Aquatic Sci.* 55:250-261.

## Distribution

**No. of  
Copies**
**OFFSITE**

3 D. I. Kaplan  
Westinghouse Savannah River Company  
773-A Room B121  
Aiken, SC 29802

**ONSITE**
**5 DOE Richland Operations Office**

N. R. Brown	A0-12
M. J. Furman	H0-12
P. E. Lamont	H0-12
J. A. Poppiti	S7-54
K. M. Thompson	H0-12

**Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.**

J. D. Williams	S7-40
----------------	-------

**5 Fluor Daniel Northwest, Inc.**

F. M. Mann (4)	B4-43
R. Khaleel	B4-43

**No. of  
Copies**
**4 Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation**

E. A. Fredenburg	R1-04
D. A. Myers	R1-04
J. A. Voogd	S4-45
R. W. Root	R2-53

**Waste Management Federal Services,  
Inc.**

M. I. Wood	H6-06
------------	-------

**29 Pacific Northwest National Laboratory**

M. J. Fayer	K9-33
V. G. Johnson	K6-96
C. T. Kincaid	K9-33
S. V. Mattigod	K6-81
B. P. McGrail	K6-81
R. D. Orr (2)	K6-81
K. E. Parker (2)	K6-81
S. P. Reidel	K6-81
J. C. Ritter	K9-33
R. J. Serne (10)	K6-81
R. M. Smith	K6-96
Information Release Office (7)	K1-06