

Scalable IO Requirements at Petascale

SC'07

Lee Ward
Principal member of Technical Staff

The acknowledgement statement **MUST** be used on the title slide
of all presentation material distributed outside of Sandia.



Application Example

- Physics and engineering numerical simulation codes
- A problem is partitioned into sub-problems with inter-related parts
- Inter-related parts *must* communicate, frequently
- At certain points, when things reach some sort of equilibrium, an application might defend against a machine interrupt or fault
 - By writing critical information to restart files
 - Ok, by writing a lot of information to restart files



Feeds

- Amount of data written is some fraction of memory
 - Let's suppose 10% for a ballpark
- Extrapolate from Red Storm; 104 TF, 12,500 nodes, 4 GB per node
 - That's 50 TB of RAM, so a 5 TB restart file
- Apps keep more than the most recent; Maybe a large fraction if they need steering
- 120 TB of disk on the machine (which is tight for us)
 - That is a whopping 24 restart files!
- A 1 PF machine needs 1.2 PB of disk
 - It's 10X faster than Red Storm with 10X the memory
 - Still only 24 restart files
- Which is naïve but gives the right feel



Speeds

- Apps want to spend less than ~10% of their time writing restart dumps
- Same Red Storm, benchmarks say 40 to 50 GB/s
 - A “good” app can actually realize 12 GB/s
- Must scale up to keep the same app spending less than 10% of time writing dumps
 - Pray the app and the file system scale linearly
- We’ll need to benchmark 400 to 500 GB/s for our 1 PF machine
 - 10X more data, remember, means we need to supply a 10X faster IO system



Are you Impressed?

- **Me, I'm floored**
 - Those were optimistic numbers
 - **File Systems don't scale linearly**
 - It costs to do more coordination and the number of components must increase to supply all of this
 - **But apps don't scale linearly but it doesn't help**
 - It costs them to coordinate as well
 - That does offset the higher overhead in the FS?
 - **Enough? Almost certainly not. Developers work hard to negate the increased cost on a larger machine.**



Conclusion

- **A simple, napkin, extrapolation of Red Storm to 1.04 PF means**
 - **1.2 PB of spinning media**
 - **Certainly too low; Double it?**
 - **400 – 500 GB/s of measured bandwidth, writing**
 - **Probably high**
 - **Allowing for 100% error, it's still hundreds of RAIDs**
 - **Which gives us an annoying management problem**
- **But 1.04 PF is only the beginning**
 - **Petascale is 1 – 1000 PF**
 - **Many folks are throwing around 10 PF as a starter machine in the range; Uh-oh**
- **It seems we're in for some interesting times**
 - **Which, oddly, doesn't scare me but I'm not normal ☺**