



LOGISTICS CASE STUDY FOR SHIPPING USED NUCLEAR FUEL FROM SHUTDOWN REACTOR SITES

Elena Kalinina¹, Ingrid Busch², Paul McConnell¹ and Steve Maheras³

¹Sandia National Laboratories, ²Oak Ridge National Laboratory, ³Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

Corresponding author: eakalin@sandia.gov

SAND2013-3438C Unclassified Unlimited Release

Major Objectives of the Analysis

- Assist in the process of selecting appropriate strategies for transporting the Used Nuclear Fuel (UNF) from the shutdown sites.
- Explore the logistics and costs associated with shipping UNF to a hypothetical storage facility.
- Understand what resources and time would be required to unload the shutdown sites.
- Consider possible scenarios of transportation of UNF from the shutdown sites to a potential consolidated storage facility.
- Identify major factors affecting scenario performance.
- Rank (compare) the scenarios based on their performance.

NOTE: the locations of the consolidated storage facilities and the starting date of their operation were selected arbitrarily.

Locations of Nine Shutdown Sites




Hypothetical consolidated storage facilities:
SE – Southeastern USA, SW – Southwestern USA, NE
– Northeastern USA, NW – Northwestern USA

Used Nuclear Fuel Inventory Stored at Shutdown Sites

Site	Fuel Type	NN of Assemblies in Storage	Canister Type	NN of Canisters	Transportation Overpack
Big Rock Point	BWR	441	W150	8	TS-125
Haddam Neck	PWR	1019	MPC-26	40	NAC-STC
Maine Yankee	PWR	1434	UMS-24	60	NAC-UMS
Yankee Rowe	PWR	533	MPC-36	15	NAC-STC
Rancho Seco	PWR	493	24PT	21	MP187
Trojan	PWR	780	MPC-24E/EF	34	HI-STAR 100
Humboldt Bay	BWR	390	MPC-80	5	HI-STAR 100
La Crosse	BWR	333	MPC-LACBWR	5	NAC-STC
Zion 1 and 2	PWR	2226	TSC-37	61	NAC-MAGNATRAN
Total		7649		249	

Used Nuclear Fuel Inventory by Site




Logistical Simulation Tool TSL-CALVIN

TOM - Transportation Operations Model:

- Models transportation operations.
- Calculates transportation fleet.
- Calculates transportation costs.

TSL - Transportation Storage Logistics Model:


- Generates pickup schedule.
- Calculates all costs, except transportation costs.
- Includes database with the UNF projection, reactor site information, and cask information.



TOM Database:

- Cask data.
- Processing times.
- Costs (casks, transportation, security, maintenance and other).

Calculated Route from La Crosse to a Hypothetical Storage Facility in Southeastern USA



The duration of each trip is calculated based on the transportation routes.

Assumption: The transportation networks in the future will be the same as they are now.

Transportation Cycle in TOM

(begins and ends at the fleet maintenance facility)

The following activities are simulated:

- Traveling to the pickup site.
- Loading the fuel into casks and onto the transportation asset.
- Traveling to the storage facility.
- Unloading the cask, unloading the fuel, and loading the empty cask onto the transportation asset.
- Traveling to the cask maintenance facility.
- Performing cask maintenance.
- Traveling to the fleet maintenance facility.
- Performing fleet maintenance.

Assumptions:



- There can only be one consist loading at the reactor at a time.
- The unloading capability at the consolidated storage facilities is unlimited.

Scheduling Algorithm in TOM

The individual items (trips) are packed into the container to minimize the container height. Minimizing the height becomes an **asset-minimization problem**.

Strip Packing Problem:

Scheduling trips for a given year consists of fitting trips into a container.



Transportation Costs in TOM

Capital Costs

- Purchase Buffer Railcar
- Purchase cask
- Purchase Cask Railcar
- Purchase Escort Railcar

Maintenance Costs

- Annual cask maintenance
- Escort fleet maintenance
- Standard cask maintenance
- Transport fleet maintenance

Operational costs


- Barge (if applicable)
- Crane
- Heavy haul (if applicable)
- Mainline rail
- Security labor
- Shortline rail
- Switching fee
- 180c charges.

Assumption: The calculated mainline rail costs are an approximation of what the actual charges would be. The costs are a function of the weight of the casks, the number of cask cars, and the distance travelled.

Scenario Parameters

A hypothetical consolidated storage facility starts its operations in 2014.


1. Campaign duration: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 years.
2. Fuel selection approach:
 - Older Fuel First as calculated by CALVIN.
 - Sequential unloading when possible.
 - Parallel unloading when possible.
3. Consist size: 1-car, 2-cars, 3-cars, and site-specific (5 cars for Maine Yankee).
4. Location of a hypothetical consolidated storage facility: SE, SW, NE, and NW.
5. Location of a maintenance facility: co-located and not co-located with the consolidated storage facility.
6. Casks: using NAC-MAGNATRAN instead of NAC-STC casks at Haddam Neck, Yankee Rowe, and La Crosse sites.



31 different scenarios
(not all the possible combinations)

Campaign Duration


Scenario parameters: parallel schedule, storage in SE USA, co-located maintenance facility.



- The high total cost of the short duration campaigns is due to the high capital costs.
- The 2-car scenarios have higher operational costs (more trips per year), but lower capital costs (fewer casks).

Total Transportation Costs Compared to Dry Storage Costs


- Dry utility costs are the costs to maintain dry storage facilities at the remaining shutdown sites.
- Dry costs are calculated for the duration of the campaign starting from the first campaign year.
- The annual cost of 6 million dollars per site from the CALVIN database was used.



Unloading of the shutdown sites in **3-5** years is optimal with regard to keeping low transportation costs and dry storage costs.

Consist Size


Scenario parameters: parallel schedule, storage in SE USA, co-located maintenance facility.



- The scenarios with the lowest total cost are the ones with the **2-car consists**.
- The number of trips decreases and the trip cost (mostly mainline rail cost) increases with the consist size.

Sequential versus Parallel Approach

Scenario parameters: 6-year campaign, storage in SE USA, co-located maintenance facility.



- The total cost is significantly higher in the sequential approach because more casks are required.
- The greater the consist size, the larger the impacts of sequential unloading on the total cost

Consolidated Storage and Maintenance Facility Locations


Scenario parameters: 2-car consist size, parallel fuel selection approach, and 4-year campaign.

Consolidated Storage Location:

- The total cost in the case of storage facility in NW location (farther from the majority of the shutdown sites) is **43%** higher than in the case of SE location.
- The increase in total cost is due to the increase in operational costs.

Maintenance Facility Location:

- The total cost in the case of maintenance facility (NW location) located away from the storage facility (SE location) is **35%** higher than in the case when they are co-located (SE location).
- The increase in total cost is mainly due to the increase in operational costs.




Location ID: 1 –SE, 2 – NE, 3 – SW, 4 –NW

Use of MAGNATRAN Casks

Scenario parameters: parallel approach, 2-car consist size, consolidated storage in SE and co-located maintenance facility.

Site-Specific Casks: site-specific NAC-STC casks were used at Haddam Neck, Yankee Rowe, and La Crosse sites.

MAGNATRAN: NAC-STC casks at Haddam Neck, Yankee Rowe, and La Crosse were replaced with NAC-MAGNATRAN casks.



- Using the same cask types (NAC-MAGNATRAN) at multiple sites has benefits only for the long duration (greater than 6 years) campaigns.
- If the campaign is short, using the same cask type results in higher total costs because some of NAC-MAGNATRAN casks are acquired later in the campaign at the higher price.

Scenario Ranking Based on Their Performance


Base Case Scenario: parallel schedule, 4-year campaign, 2-car consist, co-located storage and maintenance facilities in SE.

Capital Costs:

The major factor is the campaign duration. The next two important factors are the fuel selection approach and the consist size.

Operational Costs:

The major factor is the location of the consolidated storage and maintenance facilities. The next important factor is the consist size.



CONCLUSIONS

Characteristics of the scenarios with highest transportation costs are:

- Short duration campaign
- Sequential schedule
- Consolidated storage located far from the majority of the shutdown sites (NW or SW)
- Large consist size
- Maintenance facility not co-located with the storage facility.

Characteristics of the scenarios with the lowest transportation costs are:

- 4 or 5 year campaign
 - Parallel schedule
 - Consolidated storage facility close to the majority of the shutdown sites (NE or SE)
 - 2-car consist
 - Maintenance facility co-located with the storage facility.
 - Site-specific transportation casks (the ones currently licensed for each site).
-
- Longer campaigns would be slightly less expensive, but would result in higher dry storage maintenance costs.
 - The major contributors to the total cost are capital cost and operational cost.
 - Generally, the factors that minimize capital costs (small consist), maximize the operational costs and vice versa.

NOTE: These result should be used as a general guidance. There are many specific details not considered in this analysis that may affect the selection of the best strategy in unloading the shutdown sites.