



Shock Working Group Meeting

March 28, 2011

The Aerospace Corporation

El Segundo, CA

Shock Testing Issues and Initiatives at Sandia National Laboratories (U)

By

Timothy S. Edwards and Thomas J. Baca

Structural Mechanics and Structural Dynamics Group

Sandia National Laboratories

teedwar@sandia.gov tjbaca@sandia.gov

Chart 1: Statement of Shock Testing Problem

- What shock testing issues are driving your institution?
 - *Ability to conduct high-level margin tests with precise control of amplitude vs. frequency to explore failure modes in shock*
 - Margin characterization is an area of intense interest
 - We have limited ability to conduct very high-level, high precision pyroshock simulations in the laboratory
 - *Using alternate shock characterizations to better characterize the true environments, our test simulations and our implied performance margins*
 - Some tools, such as energy-based analysis have gotten significant traction
 - These tools have significantly added to our understanding of shock failures and our ability to quantify them
 - We routinely analyze shock environments using these tools, but need to drive the transition to including them in specification documents
 - *Need for robust wireless instrumentation*
 - Continue to evaluate MEMS based sensors for pervasive monitoring
 - *Perfecting predictive physics-based models to optimize design*
 - Provide more realistic shock input loads
 - Improve modeling techniques for energy dissipation through joints
-

Chart 2: Method of Achieving Consensus of Solution of Shock Testing Problem

- What should aerospace testing community create/do to alleviate the problem?
 - *Facilitate research into alternate shock environment characterization*
 - *Facilitate research into more controlled (amplitude vs. frequency) shock test methods*
 - *Foster knowledge preservation through “best-practices” documents, wikis and “non-attributable” lessons learned data bases*
 - What should the government do to alleviate the problem?
 - *Insert explicit language in MIL-STD documents that allows tailoring of test methods and specifications to suit specialized circumstances*
 - *Require customer organization to demonstrate that test requirements are achievable using the test methods specified before imposing them on a vendor / testing house*
 - What’s your vision of the future of shock testing
 - *Better test / model integration*
 - Using models to design tests to explore and quantify shock failures
 - *Better, more descriptive, more discriminating tools in specification documents*
 - Especially tools that incorporate uncertainty quantification
-