

LA-UR-13-24579

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title: NLIT DOE Risk Assessment BOF

Author(s): Frost, Sandra L.
Howard, Steve G.

Intended for: National Laboratories Information Technology Summit 2013,
2013-05-13/2013-05-16 (Sanfa Fe, New Mexico, United States)

Issued: 2013-06-21



Disclaimer:

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

NLIT Risk Assessment BOF

Steve Howard/LANL,
Sandy Frost/LANL

Discussion Points

- Could replacement of “likelihood” with “robustness” increase understanding?
- Pros/cons of quantitative vs. qualitative
- Using “Dynamic Flowgraph” time component in attack trees
- Looking at attack trees from an “antifragile” perspective (e.g. more OR gates > fragility, more AND gates > defense)