

# **Lessons Learned from the 200 West Pump and Treatment Facility Construction Project at the US DOE Hanford Site - A Leadership for Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Gold-Certified Facility**

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy  
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy  
under Contract DE-AC06-08RL14788

 **CH2MHILL**  
Plateau Remediation Company  
**P.O. Box 1600**  
**Richland, Washington 99352**

## **Lessons Learned from the 200 West Pump and Treatment Facility Construction Project at the US DOE Hanford Site - A Leadership for Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Gold-Certified Facility**

**K. A. Dorr**  
CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company  
**M. J. Ostrom**  
CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company

**J. R. Freeman-Pollard**  
CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company

Date Published  
**November 2012**

To be Presented at  
Waste Management 2013

DOE  
Phoenix, AZ

February 24-28, 2013

**Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy  
Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management**

Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy  
under Contract DE-AC06-08RL14788

 **CH2MHILL**  
Plateau Remediation Company  
**P.O. Box 1600**  
**Richland, Washington 99352**

### **Copyright License**

By acceptance of this article, the publisher and/or recipient acknowledges the U.S. Government's right to retain a non exclusive, royalty-free license in an to any copyright covering this paper.

**APPROVED**

*By Julia Raymer at 10:23 am, Nov 14, 2012*

---

Release Approval

Date

**LEGAL DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

**Lessons Learned from the 200 West Pump and Treatment Facility Construction Project  
at the US DOE Hanford Site – A Leadership for Energy and Environmental Design  
(LEED) Gold-Certified Facility – 13113a.docx**

Kent A. Dorr, Jhivaun R. Freeman-Pollard, Michael J. Ostrom  
CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company, P.O. Box 1600, MSIN R4-41, 99352  
[Kent\\_A\\_Dorr@rl.gov](mailto:Kent_A_Dorr@rl.gov), [Jhivaun\\_R\\_Freeman-Pollard@rl.gov](mailto:Jhivaun_R_Freeman-Pollard@rl.gov), [Michael\\_J\\_Ostrom@rl.gov](mailto:Michael_J_Ostrom@rl.gov)

**ABSTRACT**

CH2M Hill Plateau Remediation Company (CHPRC) designed, constructed, commissioned, and began operation of the largest groundwater pump and treatment facility in the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) nationwide complex. This one-of-a-kind groundwater pump and treatment facility, located at the Hanford Nuclear Reservation Site (Hanford Site) in Washington State, was built in an accelerated manner with American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) funds and has attained Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) GOLD certification (Figure 1), which makes it the first non-administrative building in the DOE Office of Environmental Management complex to earn such an award.

There were many contractual, technical, configuration management, quality, safety, and LEED challenges associated with the design, procurement, construction, and commissioning of this \$95 million, 52,000 ft groundwater pump and treatment facility. This paper will present the Project and LEED accomplishments, as well as Lessons Learned by CHPRC when additional ARRA funds were used to accelerate design, procurement, construction, and commissioning of the 200 West Groundwater Pump and Treatment (2W P&T) Facility to meet DOE's mission of treating contaminated groundwater at the Hanford Site with a new facility by June 28, 2012.



**Figure 1 - LEED Gold Facility**

## INTRODUCTION

The Hanford Site is a 1,517 km<sup>2</sup> (586 mi<sup>2</sup>) federal facility located in southeastern Washington. The Site served as a plutonium production facility for nuclear weapons used in World War II and produced until the end of the Cold War in 1989. Large volumes of radioactive and chemical wastes were discharged to the environment, necessitating cleanup in what is the largest environmental restoration project undertaken by the United States. For administrative purposes, the Hanford Site was divided into four National Priorities List (NPL) sites in 1989 (40 CFR 300 APP B, 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 300 [1]) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (CERCLA) (42 USC) [2]. One of these sites is the 200 Area. The 200 Area NPL site, which is commonly referred to as the Central Plateau, encompasses approximately 190 km<sup>2</sup> (75 mi<sup>2</sup>) near the center of the Hanford Site and contains multiple waste sites, contaminated facilities, and groundwater contamination plumes.

The 2W P&T Project was initiated to remove contamination from a 5 mi<sup>2</sup> groundwater plume (located beneath several facilities on the Hanford Site [Figure 2] that produced plutonium from the 1940s to the 1980s). This plume was estimated to contain approximately 450 billion gallons of water and contaminated liquids that were discharged from facilities across the Hanford Site during the production years.




Figure 2 - Hanford Site Map



## 200 WEST GROUNDWATER PUMP AND TREATMENT SYSTEM BIOPROCESS FACILITY



**Figure 3 - 2W P&T Facility**

The 2W P&T Bioprocess Facility is 52,000 ft<sup>2</sup> (4831 m<sup>2</sup>) and has a flow capacity to treat approximately 2,500 gpm (9464 liters), with future expansion capabilities to treat 3,750 gpm. The 2W P&T Facility was designed, constructed, and tested to treat the following contaminants of concern: Carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethylene, total chromium, hexavalent chromium, nitrate, and technetium 99.

As constructed, the 2W P&T Facility (Figure 3) provides treatment capacity of 108 million gallons per month (equivalent to 108 municipal water towers), which equates to approximately 1.3 billion gallons of water treated annually. Over its operational life (25 years), the 2W P&T Facility will treat 24 billion gallons and remove between 77,000 and 110,000 pounds of carbon tetrachloride.

Process facilities required to support the 2W P&T Facility are as follows:

- One 52,000 ft<sup>2</sup> Biological Treatment Facility
- One 17,000 ft<sup>2</sup> Radiological Treatment Facility
- Five 2,500 ft<sup>2</sup> Transfer/Injection Buildings

The infrastructure required to be constructed/ installed in support of operation of the 2W P&T Facility consisted of the following:

WM2013 Conference, February 24 – 28, 2013, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

- Construction of 76 road/railroad crossings
- Installation of 35 miles of high-density polypropylene pipe
- Installation of 80 miles of power and instrument cable
- Placement of over 12,000 yd<sup>3</sup> of concrete
- Installation of 26 tanks (largest = 33,000 gallons)
- Erection of air stripper towers (~75 feet tall)

### **HIGH-PERFORMANCE SUSTAINABLE BUILDING DESIGN AWARD**

The 2W P&T Facility's LEED gold certification for sustainable design was the first gold certification (Figure 4) in the DOE Office of Environmental Management complex of sites that conducted nuclear weapons development and government-sponsored nuclear energy research.



**Figure 4 - LEED Gold Certificate**

The 2W P&T Project met stringent requirements for the LEED gold certification. The U.S. Green Building criteria required specific materials and waste-handling, plus environmentally responsible construction practices that reduce long-term costs. The 2W P&T Project accomplished the following:

- Building materials worth \$906,794 were obtained from regional sources.
- Approximately 539 tons (over 75% of construction waste) was diverted from landfill with a combined recycle value of \$1,498,688.
  - 420 tons of recycled concrete (from washouts) was used.
  - 9 tons of paper/cardboard was recycled.
  - 42 tons of metal was recycled during construction.
  - Approximately 50% of steel used in construction was recycled.



WM2013 Conference, February 24 – 28, 2013, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

- Process facilities were designed with Kalwall Panels that reduce the need for interior lighting, which resulted in over 70 % in savings from the energy costs in the baseline building.
- The electric energy savings amount to 317,470 kWh/yr, and propane savings are approximately 178,669 thm/yr.



**Figure 5 - 2W P&T Energy-Saving Design**

The building's efficient design is expected to result in an energy cost savings of more than 70% over the life of the facility. The building will also meet new DOE-mandated green building standards that address site sustainability, water efficiency, renewable energy, conservation of materials and resources, and indoor environmental quality. (Figure 5 shows the energy efficiencies.)

### **Lessons Learned**

The numerous challenges faced during the execution of the Project included an accelerated best-value procurement schedule, receiving just-in-time deliveries of capital equipment to be installed by the general contractor, completing design for the final mechanical and electrical equipment and systems, suitable procurement specifications, an accelerated completion date, and working during inclement weather – all while maintaining a high level of safety management for a DOE site.

The Project managed these challenges by utilizing the team's experience, diligence, and constant field presence. The specific challenges that the Project encountered and the lessons learned are



WM2013 Conference, February 24 – 28, 2013, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

grouped into seven categories and are presented in *200 West Groundwater Pump and Treatment Project – Lesson Learned*, CHPRC-01904, Rev. 1 [3]. An excerpt of each category of the Project's lessons learned follows.

### **Baseline and Critical Decision Processes Lessons Learned**

During CD-3, the 2W P&T Project's mission was accelerated and additional scope and funding were added. Instead of stopping the project and stepping back through the critical decision process, the project chose to continue by using a "Fast-Track Approach." The fast-track approach resulted in the need for strict design and construction integration and coordination between commercial and DOE-RL design engineers, numerous corporate offices, subcontractors, and vendors beyond anything seen on previous DOE groundwater pump and treatment projects.

### **Contracting / Procurement Lessons Learned**

The Construction Contractor selected for facility construction had never worked on a U.S. government agency jobsite and was not prepared for the quality requirements, safety culture, and training rigor that were required of its craft workforce. This caused the Project to experience a tremendous learning curve, which required the Project to implement an additional level of quality and industrial safety oversight beyond what had been anticipated or planned.

Many pieces of long-lead process equipment were shipped without the appropriate regard to anticipated weather conditions or the potential roadway vibrations, causing some subcomponent items to be lost or damaged during transit. As a result, the Project increased the level of equipment receipt inspections and acceptance at the jobsite to 100%, which pressured the suppliers into using appropriate shipping techniques.

The Project separated out long-lead equipment procurements, thus creating parallel procurement paths that reduced the overall project's technical, commercial (warranty), and schedule risks. This procurement approach enabled the project to compress the construction schedule.

Figure 6 and Figure 7 show construction and plant equipment procured in support of the 2W P&T Project. Figure 8 shows long-lead chemical delivery equipment procured for Project.



**Figure 6 - Assembly of 100-Ton Crane at 2W P&T**



**Figure 7 - Delivery of Avantech IX Tank Skid for the 2W P&T Project**



**Figure 8 - Chemical Delivery Equipment in the Chem Room of Bio Building**

### **Project Management**

The 2W P&T Project's core values were:

- Perform all work safely using the core functions of the Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) and the Environmental Management System (EMS).
- Ensure the safety of workers, the public, and the environment.
- Comply with applicable company, state, and federal procedures, standards, codes, and regulations.
- Design and construct a high-performance, green process facility, which is a long-term commitment to sustainability for the Hanford Site.
- Demonstrate strong, positive leadership.
- Strive for continued improvement.

Since there were many critical-path deliverables throughout the project, it was essential to integrate the design, procurement, and construction teams' tasks.



WM2013 Conference, February 24 – 28, 2013, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

To assist in managing the deliverables, the project team instituted several work control tools, scope and cost controls, timely reviews and checkoffs, and processes. This information was then delivered to the project team using daily/weekly work sessions that communicated specific action items through all levels of the work force and to each task leader. Teamwork was the key that brought the Project to a successful completion, as demonstrated below:

- Working 24/7 night/day shifts
- Including an environmental expert to join the team for onsite regulatory guidance
- Involving stakeholders extensively, starting at the planning phase and continuing through construction and start-up testing
- Conducting multiple 2- to 3-day design reviews and inviting the client, regulators, and other CHPRC teams to discuss, consult, and problem solve during the design phase
- Awarding the construction subcontract for the design and construction while the mechanical, electrical, and instrumentation designs were being completed

Challenges associated with a large inexperienced work force, contractors and subcontracts not familiar with DOE requirements, and changing programmatic processes (e.g., work control, document management, and configuration control) were overcome by working through issues as a team. Also, having well-thought-out document cataloging and turnover processes at the beginning of a project can reap immeasurable benefits throughout the project life cycle. In addition, integrating a commercially available document control software product with hyperlinked spreadsheets enables quick information retrieval and improves the auditability and accountability of project records.

## **Engineering**

Although a commercial design manual was reviewed and approved for use, there were differences in terminology that at times led to confusion and comments during the early phases of the project. Conducting alignment meetings that focused on terminology and commercial vs. government practices greatly improved communications and provided the ability to convey, earlier in the life-cycle of the project, the differences between expectations for design and construction in a commercial environment vs. design and operation of a radiological facility managed by the DOE. Not having to learn a new process for core design activities (e.g., preparing and formatting specifications, calculations, and drawings) saved the design team time and may have precluded editorial/format errors from occurring.

The Project realized that it needed to ensure that unique site-specific requirements were shown on the drawings and fully explained in the technical specifications, and that the use of “boilerplate” technical specifications was minimized. Otherwise, the supplier would not have a full understanding of what was being technically required on the drawings.

Figure 9 and Figure 10 depict intricate process and storage systems, which required coordination of technical specifications with site requirements and contractor designs.



**Figure 9 - 2W P&T Lime System Process Piping Overview**



**Figure 10 - 2W P&T Lime Storage Silo**

## Construction

A lack of clarity in the contract documents allowed the construction contractor to rely on each subcontractor to implement a site safety program, which created gaps in safety coverage and provided confusion as to who the decision-making authority was when numerous subcontractors were working in common areas. This lack of clarity resulted in the Project employing additional safety professionals to ensure site safety was implemented as required.

The project received multiple design-related requests through the formal submittal process as a result of construction interferences and in response to the contractor's request for information. Waiting to incorporate the technical solution into revised issued-for-construction drawings through the design change notice process caused delays to the construction progress/schedule. As a result, the Project implemented a "redline" approval process for minor design field changes, which provided an expeditious way to respond to the contractor's needs without hindering production performance. Figure 11 and Figure 12 depict steel and scaffold erection activities completed using the "redline" process.



**Figure 11 - Steel Erection of Rad Building at 2W P&T**





**Figure 12 - Scaffold Erection at the Air Stripper Towers at 2W P&T**

### **Quality Assurance**

The Project established a quality program plan at the outset of the project. However, the construction contractor failed to implement the quality program outlined in the quality program plan across the entire project. As the project progressed, the need for additional quality resources became evident. The Project increased its utilization of the construction quality organization and quality corporate oversight resources and made project personnel changes to ensure the level of quality required was obtained.

The Project's design specifications did not always include the inspections and tests selected from the consensus standards. This left the selection of inspection requirements to those defined by the International Building Code. The Project overcame quality issues by correcting errors and reworking or analyzing items for which the quality was not acceptable.

### **Testing and Startup**

The 2W P&T Project Startup Team consisted of an integrated, multi-discipline team whose scope was Construction Acceptance Tests, functional Acceptance Test Procedures, and procedure (maintenance and operation) development and implementation.

The early integration of Operations personnel into the Startup Team yielded efficiencies and resulted in the development of a solid training and qualification program, as well as field support to the procedures development program.

WM2013 Conference, February 24 – 28, 2013, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

During the startup and testing of the facility the following opportunity for improvement was identified:

Plastic debris left behind from the fabrication process of several fiberglass tanks resulted in multiple Bio Plant influent pump and Fluidized Bed Reactor pump (Figure 13) strainer shutdowns, which required corrective maintenance evolutions to remove the plastic and impacted progress on the Operational Test Procedure. Although internal tank inspections were conducted for foreign objects, the condition of the internal plastic liner of the tank was not readily visible via the manway access.



**Figure 13 - 2W P&T Fluidized Bed Reactor Pump**



WM2013 Conference, February 24 – 28, 2013, Phoenix, Arizona, USA

## Conclusion


Through the efforts of an integrated project team consisting of the DOE Richland Operations, CHPRC, and numerous subcontractors, the 2W P&T Project was able to meet the challenges and successfully completed the project ahead of schedule and below budget.

The 2W P&T Facility demonstrates successful integration of the project's core values and green energy technology through design, procurement, construction, and start-up of a complex, first-of-its-kind Bio Process facility to receive the first LEED Gold Certification for sustainable design in the DOE Office of Environmental Management complex.

The Project's strong "projectized" approach in managing the facility's design, procurement, and construction resulted in construction of the 2W P&T Facility on time (work was completed in 15 months on an accelerated schedule), within budget, and without any Days Away Restricted or Transferred (DART) lost days due to injury.

During its operation lifetime, the 2W P&T Facility will treat nominally 24 billion gallons of groundwater and remove an estimated 110,000 pounds of contaminants, cleaning up the aquifer for future generations.

The 2W P&T Facility has been featured in numerous trade publications, including Nuclear Engineering International, Nuclear Decommissioning Report, Civil Engineering Magazine, and Engineering News-Record. It was recently highlighted as DOE Office of Environmental Management's Project of the Month (June 2012).





**REFERENCES**

1. 40 CFR 300, App B, *National Priorities List*, Code of Federal Regulations Title 40, *Protection of the Environment*, Part 300, *National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan*, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration (NARA), 1989, amended July 2010.
2. 42 USC, Chapter 103, *Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability*, United States Code, Title 42, *The Public Health and Welfare*, 1980.
3. CHPRC-01904, *200 West Groundwater Pump and Treatment Project – Lesson Learned*, Rev 1, Kent A. Dorr, Jhivaun R. Freeman-Pollard, September 2012.