

LA-UR-12-23479

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

Title:	Moab Job Priority
Author(s):	Cunningham, Robert T
Intended for:	Web



Disclaimer:

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the Los Alamos National Security, LLC for the National Nuclear Security Administration of the U.S. Department of Energy under contract DE-AC52-06NA25396. By approving this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy. Los Alamos National Laboratory strongly supports academic freedom and a researcher's right to publish; as an institution, however, the Laboratory does not endorse the viewpoint of a publication or guarantee its technical correctness.

UNCLASSIFIED

Moab Job Priority

(aka. Why is my Job not Running?)

Robert Cunningham

rtc@lanl.gov

HPC Systems Group (HPC-3)

July 19, 2012

Topics

- Introduction
- LANL Moab Configuration
- Job Scheduling Criteria
- Policies
- Priority Calculation
- What to do

Why is a Job *Not* Running?

- The most frequent Moab question we receive
- 93%* of the time, the answer is, “because the cluster is busy” and the job has to wait its turn (*not really, but seems like it)
- Most users want to know why other jobs launched in front of their own
- A subset of those want to know what they can do about it
- Here is an attempt to explain it all, but you can always go to the source:
<http://www.adaptivecomputing.com/resources/docs/mwm/6-0/5.1.2priorityfactors.php>

Our LANL Moab Configuration

- Adaptive Computing (Moab) tells us we have “Moab on Steroids”
 - Poll of other sites show less complex configurations: Ofc. of Science, DoE+DoD Labs, NASA, NCAR, NERSC, etc.
- Gradually exploit many features tailoring job scheduling to suit your needs – “complexity creep”
- Simplify for users when we can
 - project, Account, QoS, class/queue have same name
 - msub -l procs=... (vs. nodes=X:ppn=Y)
 - reduce priority calculation factors

LANL Moab Job Scheduling Criteria

- Policy
 - Queue limits
 - Account limits
 - Reservations
 - Other limits, eg. MAXIJOB, Qtime cap
 - User limits not in use today
 - http://hpc.lanl.gov/policy_lookup
- Priority
 - Simple arithmetic calculation, frequently dominated by Fairshare

Note: Some commands shown in this presentation are off limits – call ICN Consulting to obtain information

Queue-based Limits

- Use Moab's `mdiag` command to obtain information on user, class/queue, Account, QoS
- This example shows several queue-based limits

```
ct-login1> mdiag -c standard
```

```
Class/Queue Status
```

ClassID	Priority	Flags	QDef	QOSList*	PartitionList	Target	Limits
standard	5	---	---	---	---	0.00	---
CAPACITY=1090 DEFAULT.FEATURES=[nonviz] EXCL.FEATURES=vizmem STATE=active DEFAULT.WCLIMIT=1:00:00							
MAX.WCLIMIT=8:00:00		MAX.PROC=768					

```
ct-login1>
```

Queue-based Limits

- This example shows
 - Max procs for this queue, all users: `MAXPROC`
 - Max procs per user in this queue: `MAX.PROC`

```
tu-fel> mdiag -c xd
Class/Queue Status
```

ClassID	Priority	Flags	QDef	QOSList*	PartitionList	Target Limits
xd	0	---	xd	xd	---	0.00 MAXPROC=0:272
STATE=active ADEF=xd MAX.WCLIMIT=10:00:00 MAX.PROC=128						

```
tu-fel>
```


Queue-based Limits

- The Wild West free-for-all
 - Mustang: any user can grab all 38,400 cores for 16 hours
 - Pinto: all 2,848 cores for 16 hours

```
[rtc@mu-fel ~]$ mdiag -c
Class/Queue Status
```

ClassID	Priority	Flags	QDef	QOSList*	PartitionList	Target	Limits
DEFAULT	0	---	---	---	---	0.00	---
STATE=active							
down	0	---	---	---	---	0.00	---
STATE=active							
idle	0	---	---	---	---	0.00	---
STATE=active							
jreserved	0	---	---	---	---	0.00	---
STATE=active							
standard	0	---	---	---	---	0.00	---
CAPACITY=38400	STATE=active	DEFAULT.WCLIMIT=1:00:00	MAX.WCLIMIT=16:00:00				

Account-based Limits

- Another available knob
- This example shows
 - Max procs for this Account, all users: **MAXPROC**

```
tu-fel> mdiag -a xd
evaluating acct information
```

Name	Priority	Flags	QDef	QOSList*	PartitionList	Target	Limits
xd	0	-	xd	xd	-	0.00	MAXPROC=416

```
tu-fel> 
```

Reservations Can Get in the Way

- Example of a Standing Reservation
 - Weekdays, 7am for 12 hours, 48 nodes
 - Daytime interactive use on a development cluster, batch starts @ 7pm

```
ct-fel> showres
```

ReservationID	Type S	Start	End	Duration	N/P	StartTime
283048	Job I	8:55:13	16:55:13	8:00:00	38/608	Fri May 18 19:00:00
interactive.191	User -	5:20:55:13	6:08:55:13	12:00:00	48/768	Thu May 24 07:00:00
interactive.189	User -	3:20:55:13	4:08:55:13	12:00:00	48/768	Tue May 22 07:00:00
interactive.187	User -	-3:04:47	8:55:13	12:00:00	48/768	Fri May 18 07:00:00
interactive.188	User -	2:20:55:13	3:08:55:13	12:00:00	48/768	Mon May 21 07:00:00
interactive.190	User -	4:20:55:13	5:08:55:13	12:00:00	48/768	Wed May 23 07:00:00

```
6 reservations located
```

```
ct-fel>
```

Other Limits - MAXIJOB

- Maximum number of jobs per user in an Idle state that are *eligible* to launch, **accumulating Qtime**
- Your hundreds of jobs are still in the queue, but only a few accumulate Qtime priority
- This file is a **copy** and not always visible – call ICN Consulting if you need info:

```
cj-fel.lanl.gov> grep MAXIJOB /opt/MOAB/moab.cfg  
USERCFG[DEFAULT]          MAXIJOB=10  
cj-fel.lanl.gov>
```

The Crux: Job Priority Calculation

$$\text{Priority } 169972 = 100 * (0.0*10) + 100 * (1.0*1 + 0.0*10 + 5.0*100) + 10 * (1000.*1 + 1099.*10 + 0.0*10)$$

Credentials - 0%
 Fairshare - 29.4%
 Service - 70.6%

Note the roundoff under the hood (ie. 169,972 vs. 170k).

```
mp-fel.lanl.gov> mdiag -p
diagnosing job priority information (partition: ALL)
```

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Cred(User)	FS(User:Acct:	QOS)	Serv(QTime:XFctr:UPrio)
		-----	100(10)	100(1: 10:	100)	10(1: 10: 10)
7405		169972	0.0(0.0)	29.4(1.0: 0.0: 5.0)	70.6(1000.:1099.: 0.0)	
10195		8879	0.0(0.0)	12.6(-16.1:-10.2: 1.0)	87.4(1000.: 3.7: 0.0)	
6617		8796	0.0(0.0)	12.7(-16.1:-10.2: 1.0)	87.3(1000.: 2.9: 0.0)	
10313		7850	0.0(0.0)	11.0(-15.7: -9.8: 1.0)	89.0(877.0: 1.9: 0.0)	
10317		4151	0.0(0.0)	17.4(-15.7: -9.8: 1.0)	82.6(511.0: 1.5: 0.0)	
10135		-7425	0.0(0.0)	63.2(-0.7: 0.0: -1.8)	36.8(1000.: 3.8: 0.0)	
10265		-7545	0.0(0.0)	63.4(-0.7: 0.0: -1.8)	36.6(1000.: 2.6: 0.0)	
10017		-7582	0.0(0.0)	63.2(-4.1: 0.0: -1.8)	36.8(1000.: 5.7: 0.0)	
10019		-7582	0.0(0.0)	63.2(-4.1: 0.0: -1.8)	36.8(1000.: 5.7: 0.0)	

percent contribution to total priority
 $100 = 0.0 + 29.4 + 70.6$

Priority Factor Terminology

- Job Credentials – preassigned allocation by category (user, group, QoS, Account, queue)
- Fairshare – usage history, incl. walltime accuracy if shown, negative values indicate *overserviced* user/Account/QoS
- Service Level (requested) – includes Qtime and Xfactor
- Fairshare Unit of Measure – shares. Not time.
- Qtime – time spent Idle *and* eligible, capped at 1000 minutes
- Xfactor – eXpansion factor, $(1 + \text{Qtime}) / \text{walltime}$, favoring short duration jobs

Job Credentials

- Your job has defaults
- Change them with: `msub -A <acct> -q <queue> -l qos=`
or else use `mjobctl` if the job is already submitted

```
cj-fe1.lanl.gov> checkjob 21320 | head
job 21320
```

```
AName: test
State: Running
```

```
Creds: user:samrat group:samrat account:s11_cas1 class:comp qos:s11_cas1
WallTime: 00:51:00 of 16:00:00
SubmitTime: Mon Jul 16 14:14:15
  (Time Queued Total: 1:05:09 Eligible: 00:22:28)
```

```
StartTime: Mon Jul 16 15:19:24
cj-fe1.lanl.gov> 
```

Fairshare

- Tracks usage history against targets per user, group, Account, class/queue, and QoS. See: http://hpc.lanl.gov/moab_fairshare and <http://www.adaptivecomputing.com/resources/docs/mwm/6-0/6.3fairshare.php>
- We can set interval, depth, decay, and policy
- We use QoS targets (in shares) to deliver allocations
- Incorporates recent history but will not stop job launch from empty queue (ie. negative priority)
- Irrigation water: cannot use large allocation at deadline

Example Fairshare Configuration

- 32-days of history decayed by 5% per day

```
lol-fe.lanl.gov> mdiag -f -o qos | cut -c 1-94
FairShare Information
```

```
Depth: 32 intervals   Interval Length: 1:00:00:00   Decay Rate: 0.95
```

```
FS Policy: DEDICATEDPS
```

```
System FS Settings: Target Usage: 0.00   Flags: 0
```

FSInterval	%	Target	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
FSWeight	-----	-----	1.0000	0.9500	0.9025	0.8574	0.8145	0.7738	0.7351	0.6983
TotalUsage	100.00	-----	3380.5	56540.2	47513.9	56665.0	51379.9	60808.9	68446.1	79650.9

```
QOS
```

support	0.00	5.00	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
lowprio	0.33	5.00	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.38	0.48
standby	0.00	5.00	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
ASC*	45.30	58.00	47.03	37.38	33.57	34.67	52.06	53.95	50.23	50.59
longq*	0.00	8.00	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
s11_climate*	0.33	5.77	-----	0.44	0.31	1.51	0.36	0.63	0.26	0.65
s11_ichelp	0.00	3.64	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
s11_icmr-lobo	0.00	0.13	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
s11_casl	0.00	3.22	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
s12_coldatoms	0.00	1.24	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
w11_a-synuclein*	38.72	0.12	52.83	62.18	65.73	60.46	42.19	43.20	41.24	41.
w11_cellulase	1.67	1.96	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Additional Job Priority Factors

- Res – Requested Job Resources
 - Negative Node count favors narrow jobs
 - Negative Wtime favors short duration jobs

```
lol-fe.lanl.gov> mdiag -p | head
diagnosing job priority information (partition: ALL)
```

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Cred(User: QOS)	FS(User:Acct: QOS)	Serv(QTime:XFctr:UPrio)	Res(Node:WTime)
		-----	10(10: 1)	100(1: 10: 100)	10(1: 10: 10)	1(-813: -1)
292834		76941	0.0(0.0: 0.0)	52.0(1.0: 0.0: 5.4)	34.7(1000.:264.0: 0.0)	13.4(4.0:10800)
Percent Contribution		-----	0.0(0.0: 0.0)	52.0(0.1: 0.0: 51.9)	34.7(9.5: 25.1: 0.0)	13.4(3.1: 10.3)

* indicates absolute/relative system prio set on job

```
lol-fe.lanl.gov> █
```

Strictly QoS Based Priority

- Predetermined Allocation for Priority Groups
 - All but ignore Fairshare and Usage History
 - First come, first served within the QoS (Priority Group)

```
tu-fel> mdiag -p
diagnosing job priority information (partition: ALL)
```

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Cred(User: QOS)	FS(User:Accnt: QOS: WCA)	Serv(QTime:XFctr:UPrio)	Res(Node:WTime)
	-----		100(10: 1)	100(1: 10: 100: 1000)	100(1: 10: 10)	1(-813: -1)
1710248	1016682		92.2(0.0:10000)	4.6(1.0: 0.0: 4.4: 0.1)	0.1(0.0: 1.0: 0.0)	3.1(40.0:1200.)
1710247	486084		96.8(0.0:5000.)	0.0(1.0: 0.0: 0.0: 0.0)	0.2(1.0: 1.0: 0.0)	2.9(1.0:14400)
1710249	480387		95.7(0.0:5000.)	0.0(1.0: 0.0: 0.0: 0.0)	0.2(1.0: 1.0: 0.0)	4.0(8.0:14400)
Percent Contribution	-----		94.2(0.0: 94.2)	2.3(0.0: 0.0: 2.1: 0.3)	0.2(0.0: 0.1: 0.0)	3.3(1.9: 1.4)

* indicates absolute/relative system prio set on job

```
tu-fel>
```

What is a User to Do?

- Backfill: request the smallest walltime, narrowest width that your application can use
 - Your job might squeeze-in between reservations
 - Improves overall scheduler effectiveness
 - (except some platforms favor wide jobs; look before you leap)
- Job Resilience – grab one or two extra nodes to ride through failures within same allocation. Example:
http://hpc.lanl.gov/resilient_script
- By all means, be a conscientious citizen

What is a User to Do?

- Something may be broken – give us a call
 - If `/tmp` is full, then `msub` cannot submit a job
 - Moab runs on a separate server in a private network and may lose contact with the cluster
 - It uses a database, collaborates with a resource manager (Torque or SLURM), and sometimes gets in a weird state
- Emergency (deadline, etc.): request DAT or reservation
http://hpc.lanl.gov/DAT_process

Question? Answer: ICN Consulting Office



consult@lanl.gov 505-665-4444 Option 3

UNCLASSIFIED

Backup Material

What Do Others Implement?

- Manual Intervention
- Combination of User FS, Qtime, Job Width
- Strictly Qtime
- Qtime-based, with a hit from fairshare history
- Fairshare based, with Account+class/queue favoring, plus Qtime growth (some day all jobs will run)

Samples of Other Sites

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Cred(User:Accnt: QOS:Class)	FS(Accnt:Class)	Serv(QTime)
		-----	1(1440: 1440: 1440: 1440)	1(30: 60)	1(1)
gaea.2183684		1000000996*	99.6(0.0:-365.: 0.0: 1.0)	0.1(-13.3: 0.0)	0.3(1520.)
gaea.2183690		1000000995*	99.6(0.0:-365.: 0.0: 1.0)	0.1(-13.3: 0.0)	0.3(1518.)
gaea.2183680		1000000994*	99.6(0.0:-365.: 0.0: 1.0)	0.1(-13.3: 0.0)	0.3(1520.)
gaea.2194532		15917	90.5(0.0: 0.0: 0.0: 10.0)	9.3(13.9: 17.8)	0.2(31.0)
gaea.2193946		1977	72.8(0.0: 0.0: 0.0: 1.0)	21.1(13.9: 0.0)	6.1(120.0)

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Cred(QOS)	FS(User)	Serv(QTime:UPrio)	Res(Node)
		-----	1(1)	600(1)	1(100: 1)	30(10)

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Serv(QTime)
		-----	1(1)
7073987		5910	100.0(5910.)
7074381		5897	100.0(5897.)
7240529		1367	100.0(1367.)
7240561		1366	100.0(1366.)
7241188		1349	100.0(1349.)

Samples of Other Sites

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Cred(QOS)	FS(User)	Serv(QTime:UPrio)	Res(Node)
		-----	1(1)	60(1)	1(1: 1)	30(1)
188668		182369	0.0(0.0)	9.9(302.1)	90.0(16421: 0.0)	0.0(1.0)
188722		182345	0.0(0.0)	9.9(302.1)	90.0(16419: 0.0)	0.0(1.0)
7473		21542	0.0(0.0)	45.5(-1825)	54.5(13105: 0.0)	0.0(1.0)
182480		21064	0.0(0.0)	2.4(8.5)	0.3(65.0: 0.0)	97.3(683.0)
182495		21049	0.0(0.0)	2.4(8.5)	0.2(50.0: 0.0)	97.3(683.0)

Job	Weights	PRIORITY*	Cred(Accnt:Class)	FS(User:Accnt)	Serv(QTime)
		-----	5(10: 1)	50(100:100)	1(3)
7075841		35597	14.7(100.0: 50.0)	85.1(4.9:1.1)	0.2(19.0)
7075842		35597	14.7(100.0: 50.0)	85.1(4.9:1.1)	0.2(19.0)
7075843		35597	14.7(100.0: 50.0)	85.1(4.9:1.1)	0.2(19.0)
7075844		35597	14.7(100.0: 50.0)	85.1(4.9:1.1)	0.2(19.0)
7075845		35597	14.7(100.0: 50.0)	85.1(4.9:1.1)	0.2(19.0)
7075846		35597	14.7(100.0: 50.0)	85.1(4.9:1.1)	0.2(19.0)
7075847		35597	14.7(100.0: 50.0)	85.1(4.9:1.1)	0.2(19.0)
Percent Contribution		-----	14.7(14.0: 0.7)	85.1(69.1:16.0)	0.2(0.2)

Go to the Source

- Fairshare described here:
<http://www.adaptivecomputing.com/resources/docs/mwm/6-0/6.3fairshare.php>

