

1 of 1

10/23-93850
9-23-93850

SLAC-PUB-6310
August 1993
T.E

Parton-Parton Elastic Scattering and Rapidity Gaps at Tevatron Energies^{a*}

Vittorio Del Duca and Wai-Keung Tang
Stanford Linear Accelerator Center
Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94309

ABSTRACT

The theory of the perturbative pomeron, due to Lipatov and collaborators, is used to compute the probability of observing parton-parton elastic scattering and rapidity gaps between jets in hadron collisions at Tevatron energies

1. Introduction

At the SSC and LHC hadron colliders events predicted by the Standard Model, like Higgs-boson production via electroweak boson fusion, will be experimentally accessible. A characteristic feature of this process is that no color is exchanged in the t channel between the scattering hadrons, the color exchange being confined to the fragmentation region between the struck and spectator partons¹. On a Lego plot in azimuthal angle and rapidity, the signal will present, at the parton level, a rapidity gap between the struck partons².

There is, however, a background to this process from Higgs boson production via the fusion of two pairs of gluons in color singlet configurations^{2,3}. Also in this case, no color is exchanged in the t channel between the struck partons. To understand the dynamics of such background events, we undertake here the propedeutic study of hadron-hadron scattering with exchange in the t channel of a pair of gluons in a color singlet configuration. Such a study can be already done experimentally at the energies of the Tevatron collider⁴, and indeed the first data on rapidity gaps in hadron collisions starts being available⁵.

In this talk I illustrate a way of computing parton-parton elastic scattering and rapidity gaps between jets in hadron collisions at very high energies⁶, and use it to make predictions on rapidity gap production at Tevatron energies. In order to obtain quantitative predictions of jet production in the high energy limit, we tag two jets at a large rapidity interval y and with transverse momentum of order m and compute the total cross section⁷ through the BFKL theory⁸, which systematically corrects the lowest-order QCD result, by summing the leading logarithms of s . The total cross section is related, through the optical theorem, to the elastic scattering amplitude with color singlet exchange in the t channel. This leads to a final state which, at the parton level, contains two jets with a rapidity gap in gluon production between them.

^aTalk presented by V.D.D.

^{*}Work supported by the Department of Energy, Contract DE-AC03-76SF00515

Invited talk presented at the Fifth Blois Workshop on Elastic and Diffractive Scattering, Providence, Rhode Island, June 8-12, 1993

We next study the potential backgrounds to these signals at the parton and hadron level. At the parton-level background, gluon exchange also contributes if we assume that we cannot detect partons with transverse momentum smaller than a fixed parameter μ . In order to use perturbative QCD, the parameter μ must be larger than Λ_{QCD} . Thus we have two options: first, we can consider $m \gg \mu \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$, that is, we define a rapidity gap to be present if there are no jets of transverse momentum larger than μ between the tagging jets. We will call this case *quasi elastic scattering*. The ratio R of the quasi-elastic to the total cross section is given by

$$R(\mu) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{singlet}} + \sigma_{\text{octet}}}{\sigma_{\text{tot}}} \quad (1)$$

where all the cross sections in (1) have been convoluted with the appropriate parton distributions. Alternatively, we can consider $\mu = O(\Lambda_{QCD})$. Then at the parton level the color octet exchange is strongly suppressed, and only the color singlet exchange contributes to the cross section for producing rapidity gaps.

At the hadron level, the interaction between spectator partons may produce hadrons across the rapidity interval, spoiling the rapidity gap. Thus in order to compute the cross section for producing a rapidity gap at the hadron level, we need a non-perturbative model to estimate the survival of the rapidity gap⁹. The rapidity-gap survival probability $\langle S^2 \rangle$ is defined as the probability that in a scattering event no other interaction occurs beside the hard collision of interest. $\langle S^2 \rangle$ is expected to depend on the hadron-hadron center of mass energy, but only weakly on the size of the rapidity gap. Then to obtain the probability of a scattering event with a large rapidity gap at the hadron level, we must compute the ratio R at $\mu = 0$, that is, using only the singlet elastic cross section, and multiply it by the survival probability $\langle S^2 \rangle$:

$$R_{\text{eff}} = \langle S^2 \rangle R(\mu = 0) \quad (2)$$

In this contribution, we compute $R(\mu)$ at the Tevatron center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV and at different values of the minimum transverse momentum of the tagging jets m and the elastic scale μ .

2. Jet Cross Sections

We consider the scattering of two hadrons of momenta k_A and k_B in the center-of-mass frame and we imagine to tag two jets at the extremes of the Lego plot, with the rapidity interval between them filled with jets. The tagging jets can be characterized by their transverse momenta $p_{A\perp}$ and $p_{B\perp}$ and by their rapidities y_A and y_B . The inclusive cross section for producing two tagging jets with transverse momenta greater than a minimum value m is then⁷

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dy dy'}(AB \rightarrow j(x_A)j(x_B) + X) = \int dp_{A\perp}^2 dp_{B\perp}^2 \prod_{i=A,B} \left[G(x_i, m^2) + 4/9 \sum_{i=A,B} [Q_j(x_i, m^2) + \hat{Q}_j(x_i, m^2)] \frac{d\sigma_{\text{tot}}}{dp_{A\perp}^2 dp_{B\perp}^2} \right] \quad (3)$$

2
MASTER
REPRODUCTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS PROHIBITED

where $\mathbf{x}_A \simeq e^{\mathbf{y}_A} p_{A\perp} / \sqrt{s}$, $\mathbf{x}_B \simeq e^{-\mathbf{y}_B} p_{B\perp} / \sqrt{s}$ are the light-cone momentum fractions of the tagging jets with respect to their parent hadrons, $y = |y_A - y_B|$ is the rapidity difference and $\bar{y} = (y_A + y_B)/2$ is the rapidity boost, $\delta = 2k_A \cdot k_B x_A x_B$ is the parton-parton squared center-of-mass energy, and

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{d^2p_{A\perp}^2 d^2p_{B\perp}^2} = \frac{(\alpha_s C_A)^2}{2^2 p_{A\perp}^2 p_{B\perp}^2} \int_0^\infty d\nu e^{-\nu|\mathbf{v}|} \cos\left(\nu \ln \frac{p_{A\perp}^2}{p_{B\perp}^2}\right) \quad (4)$$

is the BFKL total cross section for gluon-gluon scattering within an impact distance of size $1/m$, and

$$\omega(\nu) = \frac{2\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \left[\psi(1) - \Re \psi\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\nu\right) \right] \quad (5)$$

with $\psi(z)$ the logarithmic derivative of the Gamma function. In eq. (3) we use the large- y effective parton distribution functions, computed at the factorization scale $Q^2 = m^2$.

The high-energy elastic cross section for two tagging jets, with color singlet exchange in the t channel, is

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{el}}}{dy d_i^2} (AB \rightarrow j(x_A) j(x_B)) = \int dt \prod_{i=A,B} \left[G_i(x_i, m^2) + (4/9)^2 \sum_j [Q_j(x_i, m^2) + \hat{Q}_j(x_i, m^2)] \right] \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{el}}}{dt} \quad (6)$$

where $i \simeq -p_\perp^2$, with p_\perp the transverse momentum of the tagging jets. The gluon-gluon elastic scattering cross section, with the tagging jets collimated and with minimum transverse momentum m , is⁶

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{el}}}{dt} = \frac{(\alpha_s C_A)^4}{4\pi^2} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\nu \frac{\nu^2}{(\nu^2 + \frac{1}{4})^2} e^{-\nu|\mathbf{v}|} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

Since two reggeized gluons are involved in the color singlet exchange in the t channel, in keeping into account in (6) the possibility that the scattering is initiated by quarks we obtain the suppression factor $(4/9)^2$. The background to the color singlet exchange comes from the exchange of a reggeized gluon⁶. This contribution is given by

$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{el}}}{dy d_i^2} (AB \rightarrow j(x_A) j(x_B)) = \prod_{i=A,B} \left[G_i(x_i, m^2) + 4/9 \sum_j [Q_j(x_i, m^2) + \hat{Q}_j(x_i, m^2)] \right] \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{el}}}{dt} \quad (8)$$

where the gluon-gluon elastic scattering cross section in the color octet channel is


$$\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{\text{el}}}{dt} = \frac{\pi(\alpha_s C_A)^2}{2t^2} \exp\left(-\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} y \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\mu^2/p_\perp^2}} \ln \frac{\sqrt{1+4\mu^2/p_\perp^2} + 1}{\sqrt{1+4\mu^2/p_\perp^2} - 1}\right) \quad (9)$$

For $m \gg \mu$ the exponential reduces to⁶ $\exp(-\alpha_s C_A / \pi \ln(p_\perp^2 / \mu^2) y)$ and has the typical form of a Sudakov form factor. As $\mu \rightarrow 0$, or y becomes large, the contribution of the octet to the gluon-gluon elastic cross section vanishes.

3. The Numerical Evaluation of the Ratio $R(\mu)$

$R(\mu)$ is the probability of having elastic scattering at the parton level, as defined in (1), and is obtained by summing (6) and (8), and dividing by (3). To eval-

uate it, we scale the running coupling constant from $\alpha_s(m(Z)) = 0.12$ using the 1-loop evolution with 5 flavors, and use the CTEQ set-5 parton distribution functions¹⁰. In the figure we plot $R(\mu)$ as a function of y , at rapidity boost $\bar{y} = 0$, and with $m = 10, 20, 30, 40$ GeV and elastic scale $\mu = 0, 0.5, 2, 5, 10$ GeV.



The ratio $R(\mu)$ as a function of y , at $\bar{y} = 0$.

The rapid growth of $R(\mu)$ at the kinematical upper boundary in y is due to the energy dependence of the pomeron trajectory^{6,9,6}, enhanced by the scaling behavior at x near 1 of the distribution functions integrated over transverse momentum. The growth of $R(\mu)$ due to the pomeron trajectory⁶ only is more apparent in the plot with $m = 10$ GeV where the largest kinematically accessible values of y can be probed. At $\mu = 0$, the octet exchange does not contribute to $R(\mu)$. The value of $R(\mu = 0)$, multiplied by the survival probability $< S^2 >$, gives the probability of having a collision with a rapidity gap in secondary particle production (2). Since $< S^2 >$ is estimated in ref. 2 to be $\simeq 0.1$ and in ref. 11 to be in the range of 0.05 to 0.2, we expect that, at the Tevatron, a few tenths percent of events with

tagging jets will show rapidity gaps. The probability of finding a gap increases with the rapidity interval between the tagging jets. This prediction is peculiar of the radiative corrections to $R(\mu)$, since $R(\mu)$ at the lowest order in α_s does not depend on y .

Since all of the analysis above is in the leading logarithmic approximation, there is ambiguity in the choice of the proper scale in rapidity for which this analysis is valid, and so the exact value of the normalization and thus of $R(\mu)$ cannot be determined precisely. However, the slope of the curves in the asymptotic regime is free from this scale uncertainty and thus the experimental measurement of the ratio $R(\mu)/R_{\text{ref}}$ in the large rapidity-gap regime should give us an unambiguous determination of the survival probability $< S^2 >$.

Work supported by the Department of Energy, contract DE-AC03-76SF00515. The speaker wishes to thank Bj Bjorken, Jerry Blazey, Andrew Brandt, Jeff For- den, Michael Peskin, Carl Schmidt, Michael Sotiropoulos, George Sterman and Harry Weerts for many stimulating discussions and suggestions.

1. Yu.L. Dokshitzer V.A. Khoze and S. Troyan, *Proc. 6th Intern. Conf. On Physics in collisions (1986)*, ed. M. Derrick (World Scientific, Singapore, 1987).
2. J.D. Bjorken, *Phys. Rev. D* **47** (1992) 101.
3. A. Bialas and P.V. Landshoff, *Phys. Lett.* **B256** (1991) 540.
4. H. Chehime et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B286** (1992) 397.
5. G.E. Forden for the D0 Collaboration, *preprint AZPH-EXP-93-01*, May, 1993.
6. V. Del Duca and W.-K. Tang, *preprint SLAC-PUB-6095*, March 1993.
7. A.H. Mueller and H. Navelet, *Nucl. Phys.* **B282** (1987) 727.
8. L.N. Lipatov, in *Perturbative QCD*, ed. A.H.Mueller (World Scientific, Singa- pore, 1989).
9. A.H. Mueller and W.-K. Tang, *Phys. Lett.* **B284** (1992) 123.
10. J. Botts et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B304** (1993) 159.
11. E. Gotsman, E.M. Levin and U. Maor, *Phys. Lett.* **B309** (1993) 199.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsi- bility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Refer- ence herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recom- mendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**DATE
FILMED**

11 / 9 / 93

END

