

DOE/CE/27504--8

DE93 005614

NOVEMBER 1991

A BEGINNERS GUIDE FOR VIDEO PRODUCTION

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**Energy Task Force
of the Urban Consortium
for Technology Initiatives**



**City of Seattle
Office for Long-range Planning**

MASTER
DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

1.2

PREFACE

1991 URBAN CONSORTIUM ENERGY TASK FORCE RESEARCH

THE URBAN CONSORTIUM (UC) is a special network of the Nation's largest cities and urban counties brought together by PTI to find new solutions to their common concerns. The UC provides a creative forum where elected and appointed officials can identify, test, and validate practical ways to improve the provision of public services while generating new revenue opportunities. With staff, management, and business services provided by PTI, the UC addresses the critical needs of large local governments through its three task forces: Energy, Environment, and Telecommunications and Information.

The Urban Consortium Energy Task (UCETF) has 20 members and was established to improve urban energy management and decision-making through applied research and technology transfer. The UCETF focuses on developing and sharing new approaches and innovative solutions to energy management problems with local governments. Projects are organized in thematic units and co-managed by a member of the Task Force.

A description of the 1991 program Units and projects are:

ALTERNATIVE VEHICLE FUELS AND TECHNOLOGIES (AVF)

Alternative vehicle fuels offer a very strong potential to aid in the reduction of U.S. dependence on foreign oil supplies, with the resulting benefits of decreased air pollution in urban areas. Local governments can play an instrumental role in realizing this potential through practical applied research and highly visible demonstrations of alternative fuels and technologies. Issues addressed this year include identifying (a) intensive and credible market development efforts based on an applied research and demonstration program that combines reliable technology with experience-tested applications, (b) environmental and energy diversity benefits, and (c) institutional and infrastructure barriers. The 1991 AVF unit consists of:

Broward County, FL -- *Dual-Fuel Conversion Demonstration and Technology Transfer Project*

New York City, NY -- *Alternative Fuel Vehicle: Financing Issues*

Denver, CO -- *Technical and Market Comparison Between H2/CNG (Hythane), Electric Hybrid, and CNG Fueled Vehicles*

Denver, CO -- *An Alternative Fuels Fleet Evaluation System -- A Transfer Project*

Detroit, MI -- *Analysis of Institutional and International Limitations for Alternative Fuel Vehicles*

Washington, DC -- *Comparison of Energy Consumption, Energy Savings, and Environmental Effects of EV/PV vs. Conventional Gasoline Vehicle*

ELECTRICITY MANAGEMENT

Urban interests for electricity management focus on means to maintain stable, secure and reasonably priced supplies of electric energy. Approaches include procedures for better demand management, application of "least cost" planning concepts, appropriate use of decentralized and/or small power production facilities, improved end-use efficiency, and developing sound structures for cooperative action among municipalities and energy utilities. Urban strategies include support for decentralized "small" power production, along with better demand management and improved end-use energy efficiency. The successful development and implementation of such strategies will require close cooperation with the utility industry and will address topics in areas of institutional relations, source flexibility, and demand-side management. The 1991 Electricity Management Unit consists of:

Albuquerque, NM -- *Alternatives to Traditional Rate Setting*

Chicago, IL -- *Integrating Innovative Supply and Efficiency Techniques*

Chicago, IL -- *The Chicago Energy Management Cooperative*

Dade County, FL -- *Energy Cost Reduction Through Resource Recovery*

Detroit, MI -- Hydraulic Waste Energy Recovery (Phase II) City of Detroit Water Distribution System

San Jose, CA -- Utility/Local Government Partnership to Increase Energy Conservation in New Construction

ENERGY EFFICIENT FACILITIES

Activities involving energy efficient facilities are at present, part of a national effort to achieve maximum cost-effective energy productivity in the building sector. There exists a need for collaboration between local government officials responsible for energy and environmental programs and other local government officials responsible for facilities, as well as the Federal officials and private sector groups, e.g. utilities. New technologies and management/administration practices to advance energy efficiency in facilities require major partnership efforts and transfer programs. Multi-family housing that has a large concentration of low-income families presents a unique challenge to lowering energy costs and maintaining energy efficient facilities. Projects in this unit are:

Boston, MA -- Neighborhood Energy Efficiency Outreach Partnership

Columbus, OH -- Energy Efficiency and Indoor Air Quality: Solutions for Fire Stations

Louisville, KY -- Partnership Approach to Energy Efficiency in Non-Profit Facilities

Montgomery County, MD -- Technology Transfer of Building Energy Design Guidelines

New Orleans, LA -- Residential Utility Costs Comparative Study

Phoenix, AZ -- Variable Frequency Drive Applications Guide

Portland, OR -- Energy Savings Through Operation and Maintenance Training in the Low-Income Multi-Family Sector

Washington, DC -- Comparison of Two Techniques for Identifying Energy Conservation Measures in Low Income Homes

ENERGY, ENVIRONMENT and ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

This an area that has both visionary and immediate practical emphases on the definition and evaluation of realistic strategies and actions to support energy-sustainable and

environmentally responsible communities. Emphases include uses for renewable energy, practical domestic supply and conservation alternatives, and the synthesis of energy concerns with wider local government interests in economic development, environmental quality, and internal cost control. Urban strategies to improve energy-sustainability require attention to both broad based institutional changes, as well as specific projects designed to encourage the application of appropriate technology and community development practices. The "Sustainable Communities" project has involved three municipalities with State agency, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, and a formal advisory committee with broad national representation. Projects under this unit are:

Austin, TX -- Energy Star Sustainable Rating Program

Phoenix, AZ -- Impact of Heat Island on Cooling and Environment: A Demonstration Project

Pima County, AZ -- Tucson Solar Village - Project Management

Portland, OR -- Sustainable City Transfer Project

San Francisco, CA -- Neighborhood Energy/Economic Development at South Bayshore

San Francisco, CA -- Sustainable City Transfer Project

San Jose, CA -- Sustainable City Transfer Project

Seattle, WA -- Coordination of Energy and Air Quality (CEAM)

Seattle, WA -- Bicycle Program -- Urban Trails System

Tucson, AZ -- Local Government Involvement in Long Term Resource Planning for Community Energy Services

Reports from each of these research projects, including this report, are specifically designed to aid the transfer of proven experience to other local governments. Readers interested in obtaining any additional reports or further information about the Urban Consortium Energy Task Force and the Urban Consortium should contact:

Energy R&D Program

Public Technology, Inc.

1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20004

1-800-852-4934

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The project staff wish to express their appreciation to the many individuals and organizations who assisted with the production of the videos and the research for this report.

Special thanks are due to Dan Patterson, the City of Seattle's Video Director, for his work scripting, scheduling, and editing both the Household Hazardous Waste and Business Waste Consultation videos. Thanks to Shirli Axelrod, Seattle Solid Waste Utility, Henry Draper, King County Solid Waste Division, Todd Yerkes, Seattle-King County Health Department, and David Galvin and Ray Carveth, Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle, for their technical expertise and guidance throughout the project.

Warmest thanks to my colleagues - particularly Henry Sharpe, Bill Elmelund and Bonita Chinn - for their assistance and cooperation.

Finally, my appreciation to Bob Miller, Urban Consortium Energy Task Force Unit Manager, for his guidance and insights in conducting the project.

Project Manager
Donald A. Seeberger

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ix
Background	ix
HHW Collection Facilities	ix
Small Quantity Generators	x
Program Purpose	xi
Report Organization	xi
 CHAPTER 1: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION	
Household Hazardous Waste Collection Facilities:	
Fixed-Site Facilities	1
Mobile HHW Facilities	3
Business Waste Consultations	4
Sharing Information	6
 CHAPTER 2: LESSONS LEARNED	
Defining the Message	7
Is Video the Best Media for the Message?	7
Who's the Audience?	8
Narrowing the Message	9
Scripts	9
Scripts and Reality	10
Getting the Message Across	11
Selecting the Cast	11
Script Approval	12
Production	13
Editing	14
Marketing Strategies	14
 CONCLUSION	15
REFERENCES	16
APPENDIX A	17

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

The Seattle-King County Hazardous Waste Management Plan provides the framework for an intensive effort to keep Household Hazardous Waste (HHW) and Small Quantity Generator (SQG) wastes from entering the municipal solid and liquid waste streams. Many innovative programs for managing small sources of hazardous waste have been developed in response to the Plan. With the assistance of Urban Consortium grants, the City of Seattle has researched and developed a series of reports describing the planning, operation and evaluation of the plan's HHW collection programs. Three of the Plan's programs of particular interest to other jurisdictions are the fixed site and mobile HHW Collection Facilities, and the Business Waste Consultations provided to SQG's. In 1991, Seattle received an Urban Consortium grant to produce two videos showing how the HHW Collection Facilities and Business Consultations programs work. This report provides an overviews of the video development and production process and a discussion of the lessons learned by the staff directing the production.

HHW Collection Facilities

Each year Seattle-King County HHW collection programs are host to government officials from across the country, who are examining alternative collection and disposal

methods for hazardous materials found in residential solid waste. HHW facility tours provide visitors with an overview of the physical lay-out of the site and buildings; customer services; sorting, packaging, and storage of the wastes; disposal options; and safety features. Visiting the collection sites is a costly method of gathering decision-making information for a jurisdiction. Yet, many officials are hesitant to commit limited resources to a HHW collection program without first examining facility options and seeing how various facilities function.

Small Quantity Generators

The Business Waste Consultation Program works with business associations to develop and disseminate industry-specific waste reduction and disposal information. To gather industry specific information, businesses typical of their trade are recruited through the business associations to be visited by a waste consultation team. Businesses volunteering to participate in the waste consultation program are assured that they will not be targeted for enforcement actions but will be provided with guidance for bringing identified problems into compliance. Team members represent federal, state and local agencies that have health and safety regulatory authority over the business' operations. The consultation team members inspect a business' waste handling practices and note problem areas. Team members are encouraged to share "what they look for" during regular inspections and to discuss their findings with the business. Information gathered from the business inspections is compiled into a trade-specific resource guide; the guide is distributed to the association's members and other businesses through the regulatory agencies.

The Business Waste Consultations are an innovative approach to bringing together regulatory agencies and businesses to examine waste reduction and disposal issues for the purposes of education. Information shared during the consultations often brings out conflicting regulations that are difficult for businesses to get resolved. The consultation teams seek to resolve regulatory conflicts within their authority, and to examine methods for making regulations compatible among agencies. The success of the program to resolve regulatory conflicts has created interest from state and local authorities throughout the United States.

PROGRAM PURPOSE

The purpose of the City of Seattle's Year 12 Urban Consortium grant was to produce two video tapes showing the key elements of the Household Hazardous Waste Collection and the Business Waste Consultation programs. The videos were written to provide the viewer with an opportunity to actually see the programs in operation and answer specific technical questions. Copies of the videos are available from the City of Seattle and Public Technology, Inc.

This report provides a description of the lessons learned by the City of Seattle's Office for Long-range Planning during the video production process. The report is structured to assist other communities with their planning and production of information videos about government programs.

REPORT ORGANIZATION

The product of Seattle's Year 12 Urban Consortium grant is the HHW and Business Waste Consultation video tapes. This report presents: 1) a brief description of each video tape, and 2) the lessons learned by City staff during the planning, scheduling, and production of the videos. This report is not meant to be a "how to" guide for video production, but a sharing of some common mistakes novice film makers may tend to make. Videos can be interesting and rewarding projects, if they are well planned and produced. It is the hope of the project's staff that Seattle's experience will help other jurisdictions interested in using video to describe their programs.

CHAPTER 1: PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Seattle-King County area is recognized as a national leader in the management of hazardous wastes generated by small businesses and households. In 1982, the Municipality of Metropolitan Seattle (Metro) conducted one of the first household hazardous waste (HHW) collections in the United States. Using Metro's HHW collection model, three suburban cities - Bellevue, Kent, and Tukwila - conducted annual one-day collection events. During the mid 1980's the region held a series of one-day HHW collection events drawing thousands of participants. In 1988, the City of Seattle opened its first fixed-site HHW collection facility. The following year, King County began operating a mobile HHW collection program to provide convenient disposal opportunities to its suburban and rural residents. The operation of fixed and mobile HHW collection programs has attracted a great deal of attention from jurisdictions throughout the United States that are interested in reducing the amounts of hazardous waste entering their residential waste stream.

HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION FACILITIES: FIXED-SITE FACILITIES

On October 25, 1988, the City of Seattle opened its first fixed-site HHW collection facility. The HHW facility is located at Seattle's south solid waste transfer station at the end of the driveway exiting the station's tipping floor. The driveway has been widened and striped to accommodate an unloading area for HHW beyond the normal truck traffic lanes. Residents pay a \$5.00 fee at the transfer station entrance and are directed to the collection area. Once at the collection area, residents using the HHW facility are directed to the unloading area where they are asked to identify the sources of the waste (only residential wastes are accepted) and to complete a short

questionnaire. City staff quickly inventory the materials being dropped off, load the wastes onto a cart, and move them to the sorting area. Customers are not allowed to enter the waste sorting and storage area.

The sorting and storage area is a 60 x 70 foot fenced compound that sits on a bermed concrete pad. The pad is sloped to provide drainage to a dedicated surface-water containment system. Runoff collected in the containment system is visually inspected for contamination before being discharged into the sanitary sewer. A twelve-foot high metal canopy provides a covered work area for sorting and packaging the waste for disposal.

Two prefabricated hazardous waste storage buildings, capable of containing up to twenty-four 55-gallon drums, are on site. One storage building has two separate containment bays for poisons/pesticides, reactives, and heavy metals; and for corrosives/ acids, bases, and oxidizers. Flammable wastes/oil-based paints, and chlorinated and nonchlorinated solvents are segregated and placed in the second storage building. Each storage building is equipped with floor and ceiling ventilation systems, a chemical fire suppression system, non-sparking electrical system, explosion blow-out panels, and a 12-inch deep spill containment floor. An eyewash and safety shower are located on the outside wall of the building.

A third prefabricated building provides space for a small lab, offices, and worker breaks. The laboratory area is equipped with a refrigerator, stainless steel sinks, and an exhaust hood. Unknown wastes brought to the facility must be tested and identified before they will be accepted by the disposal contractor. Waste profiles and manifests are kept in computer files in the office.

Waste disposal is contracted to a licensed Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility (TSDF). Prior to waste pick-up, the following information must be recorded for all lab packed poisons, and for loose-packed mixed materials, such as corrosives and solvents: a) chemical composition or trade name for each item; b) size of the container; c) approximate amount of waste in each container; and, d) description of any unusual circumstances. A record of the number of containers in each loose-packed drum of paints and aerosols is also required.

TSDF personnel review all recorded information, and verify that the company will accept the items on the list. Waste is picked-up from the facility once a week, with special pick-ups arranged as needed during the busy summer season.

MOBILE HHW FACILITIES

King County, Washington, operates HHW collections at 24 sites throughout the County using a mobile collection facility known as the Wastemobile. Site visits last two weeks, with collection on Thursday through Saturday. The Wastemobile is actually a truck and two trailers that contain all the equipment necessary to conduct hazardous waste collections. The truck is used to transport some of the equipment, and to remove waste from the collection site on a daily basis. One trailer is used to haul the majority of the equipment and serves as a secure storage area. The second trailer serves as an on-site office/lab.

The equipment brought to the collection site by this small caravan includes: portable berms, canopies, power generator, water system, emergency shower and eyewash, forklift, signs, tables, and enough fencing to enclose a 5,000 square foot area. Sites for the collections are selected for their ease of access, visibility, car waiting area, and hard working surface.

Similar to the permanent facilities, the Wastemobile is operated like a gas station. Residents drive up in their cars, and a collection staff person inspects and unloads the hazardous waste. No fee is charged to users. Wastes are moved directly to a sorting table where they are identified and segregated for packing. Oils and fuels are bulked on site, while poisons and corrosives are lab- or loose-packed into 55-gallon drums. Unknown wastes are moved to the lab area where a "HazMat" kit is used to categorize the material.

The mobile collection system is labor-intensive because waste must be removed from the collection site every day. Originally designed to operate with a site supervisor and

three technicians, heavily used sites have required that additional staff be hired. Power equipment, such as air wrenches and a forklift, also help to speed the packing process.

King County has contracted with a local TSDF to provide the facility's equipment and personnel, conduct the collections, and manage waste disposal. The TSDF contractor is responsible for: identifying and arranging each site; providing publicity and public education; managing site operations; and, transporting and disposal of the collected waste. The County's project manager is responsible for overseeing all aspects of the contractual agreement with the facility operator including budgeting, scheduling, and coordination with local city officials.

BUSINESS WASTE CONSULTATIONS

To assist businesses with the management of hazardous materials, Metro applied for and was awarded a \$30,000 grant by the EPA for establishing a Small Quantity Generators (SQG) assistance program. The monies were to be used to identify and implement methods for developing business networks which would promote environmentally safe disposal of small quantities of hazardous waste. As a result of the grant, the Waste Information Network (WIN) was formed to give advice and direction to the SQG assistance programs. WIN is a voluntary committee made up of regulatory agencies and business associations that are interested in helping businesses identify, reduce and dispose of their wastes. The innovative Business Waste Consultation program was developed with WIN's assistance.

Businesses are often regulated by several government agencies on the same issues, and these regulations may be in conflict. As an example, worker safety regulations may require a materials storage area be fenced and locked, while local fire codes may require that the area remain open and accessible in case of an emergency. Which agency's authority takes precedence?

Regulatory agencies are often unaware of the regulations promulgated by other agencies, and are unwilling to compromise their standards without official clarification. Conflicting requirements can leave the business confused and unsure of what changes

should be made or what actions need to be taken. As a result, the business may be penalized and fined for non-compliance by one agency, after taking corrective actions required by another agency. The business is in a no-win situation. The imposition of conflicting regulations increases the cost of doing business and may even drive some businesses out of existence.

The goal of the Business Waste Consultations is to make government regulations more understandable and consistent and help businesses improve their waste handling and disposal practices. First-hand information concerning the regulatory problems that businesses face is gathered by a consultation team, which inspects businesses that are typical of a trade. Working with local trade associations, individual businesses are recruited for consultation visits.

Businesses volunteering for consultations are asked to make their facilities available for a two-hour visit by a team of six regulatory agencies. To encourage cooperation, business owners are told that they will not be subject to enforcement action or fines. Only in instances of imminent danger are businesses required to take immediate action. Business owners are encouraged to point out problem areas and ask questions related to the safe handling and disposal of wastes. The consultations are an opportunity for businesses to ask technical experts for free advice. Participating businesses are also provided with a report outlining any identified problems and suggesting methods to correct them.

The consultation teams consist of representatives from federal, state, and local agencies that have health and safety regulatory authority over the business' operations. Team members are instructed to inspect the business' material and waste handling procedures and to identify problem areas. Interaction among team members during the inspections often points out regulatory conflicts that businesses confront. At the completion of each inspection, the team meets to discuss their findings and identify areas of regulatory conflict. Members are encouraged to resolve conflicting requirements by negotiating acceptable compromises. Each team member is asked to contribute his/her findings and recommendations to a report that will be sent to the business. Team

members are also asked to contribute information to a waste handling resource guide for the specific trade inspected.

Resource guides are provided to the business association for distribution to their members. Business associations also are encouraged to include waste handling information in trade newsletters. The guide is also distributed by local agencies during regular inspections, at trade fairs, and when requested from businesses. Hazardous waste resource guides have been developed for print shops, auto body repair shops, and small boat yards and marinas.

SHARING INFORMATION

To assist other government jurisdictions in gaining a better understanding of these programs, the City of Seattle, with the financial assistance of the Urban Consortium, has developed two video tapes. The video tapes were structured in a manner which brings the hazardous waste programs to life for the viewer. This was done by tracing the processes followed and methods used in each program. The video tapes potentially provide a low-cost way to observe how Seattle-King County's waste management programs are operated.

CHAPTER 2: LESSONS LEARNED

While most of us have grown up with television, few people understand how video images work to convey a message. Video is a communication system that must be learned. Just as we learn to write, draw, or act, communicating well through video is an acquired skill. As with all communication skills, there are several rules that must be kept in mind when structuring a video presentation.

DEFINING THE MESSAGE

The first rule of producing a video is to define what you are trying to communicate and to whom. Videos are designed to evoke viewer reactions by presenting images and sound. Using video to convey a message and get the desired reaction requires that images and sounds be effectively coordinated. Unlike other media, video has total control over what the audience sees and hears, and when the images and sounds are used. If the video does not have a clearly defined message for a specific audience, the viewer will be confused and may not get any message or get the wrong message. Similarly, if the video is not structured to elicit a *specific* reaction from the viewer, identifying what images and sounds will be included may be difficult, and the video could appear to lack purpose. It is, therefore, necessary that the message, the audience, and the reaction being sought, be well defined and clearly stated.

IS VIDEO THE BEST MEDIA FOR THE MESSAGE?

Part of the definition process is to ask if video is the best media to convey the message to your audience. The answer will hinge on how the video is to be used (in

training sessions, to promote a topic, or as entertainment), and the amount of money available for production. Identifying how and to whom the video is to be shown may reveal that another medium is more appropriate or could better reach the intended audience. If the message needs to be conveyed to a broad audience, and opportunities for group or mass viewings are limited, other media, such as printed material, may be preferred. In Seattle's case, video was selected to demonstrate the operation of the HHW facilities because there was an opportunity to show the video at a national conference where viewers could purchase copies of the tape for use with citizen groups and elected officials. Without a large, dispersed audience to view the program, the value of using video would have been limited.

Availability of funds to produce the video is a second factor to be considered when selecting a medium. If money is limited, it will be difficult to script, film, edit and distribute a "good" quality video. Equipment and production staff are expensive, even when available through a government jurisdiction. If professional camera and sound crews must be hired, or editing studios rented, the cost will be upwards of one hundred dollars per hour. Pricing production costs and estimating the budget necessary to produce the videos are important tasks to complete before selecting video as a medium.

WHO'S THE AUDIENCE?

Every video program has a target audience. Knowing who will be viewing the video and determining the desired viewer reaction will guide the entire production. Scripts, filming, editing and marketing will be directed toward getting the message to the intended audience. Influencing the audience is the reason for doing a video. The more information you gather about the audience, the more focused the presentation can be. Once the audience has been clearly identified, it is necessary to identify what reaction will be sought from the viewer. These two elements should be used to guide the rest of the decisions about the production. As production proceeds, keep in mind who the audience is and the reaction you want to stimulate.

NARROWING THE MESSAGE

Defining a video's message is unique when compared to other media. Thousands of images and sounds can be used to convey a message. Selecting the right combination of images and sounds is difficult even with a defined audience and reaction. It is necessary to limit the information to be presented to the basics. Selecting the basic elements is more difficult than it might seem. During the initial meeting with the staff representatives from the HHW facilities, they were certain that a comprehensive presentation of their facilities could not be accomplished in a 10 to 12 minute video. Their concern was that too many elements and procedures had to be described. Again, the questions to be answered were "What does the audience need to know?" and "How do we want to present that information?" Technical details were not necessary; they would have clouded the presentation. Stripping away the day-to-day detail narrowed the focus to a series of 5 to 20-second shots showing the fundamental elements. "Getting down to basics" is both the most necessary and most difficult step in developing a quality video.

Novices at producing and using video presentations need to remember that most visual images remain on the screen less than ten seconds. Every minute, six or more images can be shown. Coupling selected visuals with succinct sounds and narrative can portray detailed programs or stories in a short period of time. Television commercials that last 15 to 30 seconds are a good example of how images and sound can be controlled to produce a defined reaction.

SCRIPTS

After the message, audience, and reaction have been identified and narrowed, a script needs to be written. Scripts are the blueprints of a video project. Developing a script is a planning process; this process involves determining which visual images can and should be shown, what sounds should be heard, and the order or position they should

take in the video. Scripts also set the tone for the video by identifying the context in which the images are to be shown, i.e., positively or negatively. Like all good plans, scripts should be reviewed, commented on, and modified until the message is understandable and clearly presented.

Television script style brings together the visual and sound elements by presenting the information in parallel columns of text. The first column briefly describes what is to be shown, while the second column is the narrative and sound portion. Appendix A shows how the HHW script was written.

Scripts tend to be written in a casual or spoken voice. One tendency of individuals who are not familiar with script formats is to review and edit a script for its written style, rather than for its ability to accurately describe what the video is intended to portray. Government workers (who may tend to prefer a technical report writing style), are often the script reviewers; they may focus on phrasing, grammar and punctuation, rather than the video's content. It is sometimes helpful to suggest that the reviewer visualize the video images, while reading the script out loud to get a better understanding of the narrative flow.

SCRIPTS AND REALITY

Successful videos get the viewer to accept the programs as reality. Simply stated, the images that are shown must be familiar enough to be real but different enough to hold the viewers attention. Viewers should be able to make personal mental statements while the video is being shown. "That's like my town", or, "I have had that problem", represent the kind of personal thoughts that make a video's message real to the viewer.

The people shown in the video should also seem real to the viewer. The Business Consultation Program video was targeted at operators of small-businesses. To make the issues real to a small business audience, interviews with business owners were included which highlighted the consultation process. Ordinary people tend to be more

believable than professionals, but it can be difficult to get usable material from a "real" person. During the Business Consultation video, each business person was asked to respond on camera to a set of prepared questions. The first interviews were disasters: the business people were stiff and lifeless, and their responses were often rambling. Several techniques were used to overcome this problem.

One technique used to add life to the business interviews was to show the person at work or doing a task, while the narrator described the problem(s). Short, seven to ten second, interview clips were added to this segment to highlight the point being made by the narrator. The blend of action, narration and interviews kept the segment from slowing the pace and losing the audience's attention. A second alternative is to use the voice portion of the interview while showing images of the topic.

GETTING THE MESSAGE ACROSS

As with any visual or spoken media, information must be repeated and reinforced if the audience is to remember it. Most viewers can clearly recall only the information seen or heard in the last 10 to 15 seconds of a video. Video offers the producer an opportunity to state the issue and to show the viewer exactly what they mean. Well-placed sound and visual elements can repeat the message without being obvious or appearing to be redundant. If it is important to get a message across to the audience, restating the information in a different way can be used to reinforce the point being made.

SELECTING THE CAST

Who should appear in a video is a major decision for most government productions. Some elected government officials feel an obligation to appear or want the exposure that a video may give them related to a topic of personal or professional interest.

Although elected officials are usually well-informed, their expertise may be limited and they may not convey the desired message or tone. One solution is to structure the video such that interviews and/or official policy statements are limited or excluded. Another approach is to point out that significant amounts of time will be required in preparing for and taping the interview. If elected officials are to be used, having them prepare their presentation in advance can prevent them from coming across on camera as ill-informed, stiff or pompous.

SCRIPT APPROVAL

Script development is often a process that involves a broad range of interested individuals. All participants in the script's development and approval need to have a clear understanding of the project's purpose and goals. Convening a meeting that leads project participants through defining the message, determining the audience, and identifying the desired reaction sought can help build a consensus of what should be included in the video. Reaching an early consensus on the video's elements can speed the review and approval process. Once the script is approved, it is important that one staff person with decision-making authority be assigned to work with the production director.

The HHW and Business Consultation videos were directed by the City's Visual Information Specialist. After the scripts were approved by the City departments involved, decision-making became the responsibility of two people - a representative of the client department and the director. Final selection of filming sites, graphics, props, sounds, and visuals were made by those two individuals. It also was the staff/client's responsibility to work with the director to assure that scenes were consistent and accurate. During the taping of the HHW video it was important that correct props of both hazardous and non-hazardous waste be used. The realism and credibility of the video would have been endangered if incorrect products or cleaning methods were shown.

PRODUCTION

It is the director's responsibility to select the best methods for capturing an image and building an impression. To arrive at the final product, a large amount of taping will take place and the best scenes to tell the story will be selected. Action is key to keeping the attention of the audiences. Several common mistakes that slow a presentation or lose the viewer's attention are conducting long interviews, selecting the wrong lighting or colors, or using monotonous sound and narration.

Many informational videos fall victim to the "talking heads" syndrome. "Talking heads" are long interviews that use a loosely framed shot of the speakers. The person speaking is often a professional or official who is discussing a technical issue or presenting the "official" version of a topic. Viewers tend to discount the interviewee's statement because they appear bureaucratic or contrived. Again, selecting believable people and staging an action sequence will keep the video from dragging.

A rule of design to remember is that the eye follows light. This rule also applies to a television screen, where the eye will focus on the lightest part of the screen. Hence, it is necessary to select shots that focus the eye on the important aspect of the scene. Lighting that is hard or glaring will cause the viewer to have a negative reaction, while soft, warmer lighting creates a more positive setting.

Mood is also effected by the use of colors. Bright colors show optimism, dull colors relate depression. Color can be important in creating a sense of action. Quick shots of colorful items that demonstrate a point will draw the viewers attention better than long monochromatic shots.

Selecting and mixing the correct sounds to create a reaction or convey a mood is the second major element of video. Again, the message being communicated and the identity of the target audience will effect the selection of the sounds, music, and narration. The audience for the HHW video was government officials seeking information; loud rock music would not have been appropriate. Sound also should be

consistent with the images being shown, or the viewer will be confused by the inconsistencies. The sound of tires squealing may be consistent with a video of an auto race but is inconsistent for a safety video concerning the transportation of hazardous waste.

EDITING

Editing is the process of combining the visual and sound elements to effectively tell a story or convey a message. The editing process begins by reviewing and logging the time codes for all of the scenes recorded. From this log the basic editing decisions can be made by selecting the best scenes to tell the story. Once the basic decisions have been made, an off-line version of the production is made. Off-line editing allows the director to "try out" various scenes, effects and sounds to evaluate if they work together effectively. With program continuity agreed upon by the director and client, final (on-line) editing can be scheduled to tighten scenes, add background sound, blend scenes, and add special effects. Sophisticated on-line editing equipment requires constant supervision by an engineer and is therefore extremely expensive to use. By preparing an off-line version of the production, on-line editing time can be minimized and studio costs lowered.

Editing a video production to tell a story that flows smoothly and will hold the viewers interest is a difficult and time consuming process. For a "good" quality production it is necessary that the director have a demonstrated ability to use video technology to translate a script into story that is believable to the audience. The editing is the most technical process of video production. The client should trust the director's judgment and be comfortable with the director's technical ability to use and control the media.

MARKETING STRATEGIES

Video can be marketed by doing it yourself, or hire a distributor. The City of Seattle choose to develop its own marketing plan and to implement its strategy using project

staff. This option was selected because a national convention on household hazardous waste was to be held in the City, providing a ready market. As a means of lowering marketing costs, the City previewed the videos at the convention and then accepted orders with payments from buyers. Pre-selling the videos increased the number of tapes to be initially duplicated and lowered the per-unit price of the product.

Additional marketing for the videos is being arranged with the Urban Consortium and Public Technology, Inc.. National marketing of the videos may include a brochure/mail order that could be sent to jurisdictions describing the product. Mailing lists could be obtained from associations of city and county officials. Advertising in national solid and hazardous waste trade journals is another promotional method being considered.

CONCLUSION

Producing a "good", quality video requires complete and thorough planning. The message, audience, and reaction being sought needs to be well-defined, and established goals should be kept in mind while producing the video. Images and sound must be selected, blended and edited in a consistent manner to make the story being told real and personal to the viewer. Lighting, colors, actors and settings must be chosen to show action, while enhancing the message. Planning brings all of these elements together to assure that the message is clearly conveyed to the audience and the desired reaction occurs.

References

Patterson, D. August 1991. Personal communication.

Schroeppel, T. 1990. *Video Goals: Getting Results with Pictures and Sound*.

Whittaker, R. 1989. *Video Field Production*. Mayfield Publishing Company, Mountain View, CA.

Winston, B., and Keydel, J. 1986. *Working with Video: A Comprehensive Guide to the World of Video Production*. Knowledge Industry Publications, Inc., White Plains, NY.

APPENDIX A

VIDEO SCRIPT

Seattle Department of Community Development

Linda Dupont-Johnson Director
Norman B Rice Mayor

HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE
OLP

VIDEO:

montage of hazardous waste product use...landfills, garbage, seagulls, bulldozers etc....

people buying products..

wide city life shot.....

Duwamish waterway area (evidence
of industry & pollution) ...

RECEIVED
JUL 26 1991
OFFICE FOR
LONG-RANGE PLANNING



AUDIO: VO, Music, Natural

(music over :60) ...

EVERY YEAR SOME 100 MILLION AMERICAN HOUSEHOLDS CONSUME BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN PRODUCTS CONTAINING HAZARDOUS WASTE.

ANNUALLY, THESE PRODUCTS CONTRIBUTE NEARLY ONE MILLION TONS OF HAZARDOUS WASTE TO OUR NATION'S GARBAGE.

AMERICANS SPEND CLOSE TO SIX BILLION DOLLARS YEARLY ON AUTOMOTIVE CHEMICALS LIKE ANTI-FREEZE AND OIL - WHILE OUR APPETITE FOR HOUSEHOLD CLEANERS TOPS TWENTY BILLION DOLLARS. BILLIONS MORE ARE SPENT EACH YEAR ON BATTERIES, ADHESIVES, PAINT AND PESTICIDES.

IN AN AVERAGE SIZE CITY OF 100,000 PEOPLE, ABOUT FOUR TONS OF TOILET BOWL CLEANER, 14 TONS OF HOUSEHOLD CLEANSERS, AND 3 AND $\frac{1}{2}$ TONS OF OIL WILL BE DISCHARGED INTO CITY DRAINS EACH MONTH. OVER THE YEARS, THE TOXIC WASTE OF MODERN LIVING HAS LEFT ITS MARK ON THE COUNTRYSIDE FROM SOURCES BOTH COMMERCIAL AND INDIVIDUAL.

Page Two
Hazardous Waste - OLP

VIDEO:

example of sqg business...
(govt facilities...public
works garage, Metro bus
garage, school labs)...

shelf of home storage
shed...

person collecting waste products
around home (maybe loading car)...

Metro file footage?
existing footage on City
round-ups...

technical coordinating
committee...

AUDIO:

ALTHOUGH SOME 98% OF HAZARDOUS WASTE COMES
FROM MAJOR INDUSTRIES - OF THE REMAINING 2% -
TWO-THIRDS IS PRODUCED BY SMALL QUANTITY
GENERATORS SUCH AS AUTO BODY SHOPS, DRY CLEANERS,
AND OTHER BUSINESSES. THE SOURCE OF THE OTHER
THIRD IS FOUND MUCH CLOSER TO HOME - IN THE
DANGEROUS DISCARDS OF THE MILLIONS OF HOUSEHOLDS
ACROSS THE LAND. THE PATH TAKEN IN WASHINGTON'S
PUGET SOUND REGION TO ADDRESS HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL BEGAN IN 1980. AT THAT
TIME, AN AREA DISPOSAL COMPANY BEGAN ACCEPTING
WASTE FROM LOCAL RESIDENTS WHILE THE SEATTLE-
KING COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT INITIATED A PLAN
TO RECEIVE PESTICIDES AND HERBACIDES FOR
DISPOSAL.

IN 1982, THE MUNICIPALITY OF METROPOLITAN
SEATTLE (METRO), CONDUCTED ONE OF THE FIRST
HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTIONS IN THE
UNITED STATES. SHORTLY AFTER, USING METRO AS A
MODEL, THREE SUBURBAN CITIES, BELLEVUE, KENT
AND TUKWILA, INSTITUTED ONE-DAY COLLECTION
EVENTS OF THEIR OWN.

IN 1985, THE WASHINGTON STATE LEGISLATURE
REQUIRED COMMUNITIES TO DEVELOP LOCAL HAZARDOUS
WASTE MANAGEMENT PLANS.

COMMUNITIES IN KING COUNTY JOINED TOGETHER IN

VIDEO:

file footage on round-ups...

southend collection facility/

series of dissolves of Wastemobile
being set-up/

AUDIO:

PREPARING A COOPERATIVE PLAN.

FROM 1987 TO 1989 - REGIONAL HAZARDOUS WASTE ROUND-UPS WERE CONDUCTED IN MANY COMMUNITIES IN KING COUNTY. MEANWHILE, INTER-GOVERNMENTAL PLANNING TO COORDINATE GOALS AND OPERATING STRATEGIES CONTINUED AMIDST THESE ONE-DAY COLLECTION EVENTS.

IN 1988 - THE SOUTHEND OPENING OF SEATTLE'S FIRST PERMANENT HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE COLLECTION FACILITY AND THE INITIATION OF KING COUNTY'S MOBILE COLLECTION PROGRAM SPELLED THE START OF COORDINATED REGIONAL MANAGEMENT.
(SOT from official on need & goals of program).

THE CITY'S CHOICE OF A PERMANENT FACILITY AND THE COUNTY'S DECISION TO GO WITH THE MOBILE OPTION, WERE EACH MADE WITH THE PARTICULAR ADVANTAGES AND DRAWBACKS IN MIND.

KING COUNTY'S TRAVELLING WASTEMOBILE IS A FACILITY CONTRACTED THROUGH A LOCAL, INDEPENDENT DISPOSAL COMPANY.

SINCE MOBILE SITES ARE MORE CONVENIENT THAN FIXED SITES - PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND WASTE COLLECTION ARE GREATER, WHICH IN TURN - RAISES PROGRAM COSTS. THUS, MOBILE PROGRAMS ARE GENERALLY HANDLING

VIDEO:

AUDIO:

HIGHER VOLUMES AND SPENDING MORE FOR HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL. THE WASTEMOBILE ITSELF IS NOT ACTUALLY A VEHICLE BUT A SERIES OF TRAILERS CONTAINING AN ELECTRIC GENERATOR, A WATER SYSTEM, A FIRST AID STATION, PROTECTIVE CLOTHING, SPILL CONTAINMENT, AND OTHER EQUIPMENT ALL SET UP UNDER CANOPIES BY THE CONTRACTOR.

THE SITES OCCUPY ABOUT 5,000 SQUARE FEET AND ARE PRE-SELECTED, GENERALLY IN CHURCH, FIRE DEPARTMENT OR MALL PARKING LOTS.

THE OPERATION MUST TAKE PLACE AWAY FROM STORM DRAINS AND THE AREAS ARE SECURED BY MEANS OF PORTABLE FENCING.

THE PERMIT PROCESS FOR THE WASTEMOBILE IS MINIMAL WITH LITTLE RED TAPE BEYOND THE FIRE OR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. THE WASTEMOBILE IS AVAILABLE AT 24 LOCATIONS EACH YEAR THROUGHOUT THE 2,000 SQUARE MILE COUNTY-WIDE SERVICE AREA. THE GOAL IS TO BE WITHIN 5 MILES OF ALL POTENTIAL CUSTOMERS AT LEAST TWO TIMES EACH YEAR. THE STAYS EXTEND 2 WEEKS PER SITE AND THE EVENTS ARE PROMOTED THROUGH ADVERTISING AND NEWS COVERAGE. COLLECTIONS TAKE PLACE THURSDAYS, FRIDAYS, AND SATURDAYS, GENERALLY FROM 10 AM TO 7 PM.

THE FACILITY IS STAFFED BY FIVE TO SEVEN

VIDEO:

southend facility

AUDIO:

EMPLOYEES, AND SITES ARE RUN LIKE FULL SERVICE GAS STATIONS. EACH VEHICLE REQUIRES ABOUT TWO MINUTES FOR EMPLOYEES TO PROCESS AND ON SOME OCCASIONS, THIS PROCESSING MAY INVOLVE MORE THAN 200 CARS PER DAY. SOME ITEMS LIKE OIL, LATEX, AND SOLVENTS ARE BULKED in 55 GALLON DRUMS ON SITE. AT THE END OF EACH OPERATING DAY, ALL HOUSEHOLD WASTE COLLECTED IS TRUCKED AWAY IN DRUMS BY THE CONTRACTOR.

(SOT worker on packing haz. waste)...

THE CITY OF SEATTLE'S SOUTHEND FIXED FACILITY WAS DESIGNED AND CONSTRUCTED OVER A 24 MONTH PERIOD. THE STRUCTURE WAS BUILT ON CITY PROPERTY AT THE TRANSFER STATION, AND IS STAFFED BY TWO CITY EMPLOYEES. HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE IS ACCEPTED THURSDAYS THROUGH SUNDAYS DURING THE DAY AND EARLY EVENING HOURS - THROUGHOUT THE YEAR. THE LAYOUT INCLUDES PRE-FAB STORAGE AND OFFICE BUILDINGS - A DRIVE-THRU DROPP OFF AREA - AND A ROOFED AND PAVED WORK SECTION. STORAGE IS MAINTAINED IN 55 GALLON DRUMS IN THE EXPLOSION PROOF PRE-FABS WITH CHEMICAL FIRE SUPPRESSION AND CLOSED SUMPS UNDER THE

VIDEO:

council meeting/ siting process

northend site neighborhood
footage illustrative of concerns/

fixed facility

AUDIO:

FLOORS. THE COLLECTED WASTE IS TRANSPORTED TO THE END DISPOSAL COMPANY ON A WEEKLY RATHER THAN THE WASTEMOBILE'S DAILY BASIS.

(SOT on availability of first aid...accidents).

THE FIXED FACILITY IS INTENDED TO SERVE CUSTOMERS IN DENSER URBAN AREAS. SINCE IT IS PERMANENTLY IN PLACE, HOWEVER, THERE IS LITTLE PROMOTIONAL NEWS VALUE AS THERE IS WHEN THE WASTEMOBILE BEGINS COLLECTION IN A NEW LOCATION.

ALTHOUGH THE CITY AVOIDS THE COUNTY'S PROCESS OF CONTINUAL SITING FOR ITS MOBILE FACILITY, SITING A PERMANENT FACILITY CAN BE A DIFFICULT AND COSTLY ENDEAVOR.

SOME OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL AND NEIGHBORHOOD IMPACTS THAT CONCERN RESIDENTS AND SITING DECISION-MAKERS INCLUDE - ROUTING WASTE CARRYING TRUCKS OFF RESIDENTIAL STREETS - TRAFFIC - THE AESTHETICS - AND RISK OF SPILLS, FIRES, EXPLOSIONS, FLOODING AND STORM WATER DISCHARGE.

(SOT on siting difficulties).

BOTH PERMANENT AND MOBILE FACILITIES ARE SUBJECT TO STATE AND LOCAL REGULATIONS WHICH CAN INCLUDE LOCAL LAND USE, FIRE, AND STATE SOLID WASTE HANDLING PERMITS.

VIDEO:

wastemobile in operation

loading waste drums

AUDIO:

SINCE THE WASTEMOBILE IS DESIGNED TO MEET HOUSEHOLD DISPOSAL NEEDS ONLY, AUTOS BRINGING APPARENT COMMERCIAL WASTE ARE REFUSED ENTRY AND GIVEN INFORMATION ON HAZARDOUS WASTE DISPOSAL SERVICES AVAILABLE FOR BUSINESSES.

SOME 59% OF THE WASTEMOBILE PRODUCT IS RECYCLED OR DETOXIFIED. ASIDE FROM RECYCLING, THE END OPTIONS FOR DISPOSAL ARE TO BURY, NEUTRALIZE, OR INCINERATE THE WASTE - PER REGULATIONS. THIS IS HANDLED BY A LICENSED DISPOSAL COMPANY.

CITY AND COUNTY FACILITIES REJECT RADIOACTIVE WASTE - ASBESTOS - EXPLOSIVES - AND ALL BUSINESS DISCARDS.

THE DECISION ON A MOBILE VERSUS FIXED COLLECTION PROGRAM IS DEPENDENT UPON THE NEEDS OF THE SERVICE AREA AND THE LEVEL OF CONVENIENCE TO BE PROVIDED. MOBILE FACILITIES TEND TO HAVE HIGHER OPERATING COSTS DUE TO CONVENIENCE AND BECAUSE THE WASTE COLLECTED MUST BE TAKEN OFF SITE NIGHTLY.

ON THE OTHER SIDE, FIXED COLLECTION SITES TEND TO EXPERIENCE HIGHER COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE SITING PROCESS. THEY ALSO TEND TO HAVE MORE INITIAL CAPITAL COSTS SUCH AS THE

VIDEO:

Seattle site/

northend facility under construction/

CU on waste disposal/

dialogue with employee & public/

purchasing products/ move from
toxic to non-toxic...

AUDIO:

LAND, THE BUILDINGS, AND PAVED SURFACES, ETC.. THESE COSTS, HOWEVER, ARE AMORTIZED OVER 15 TO 20 YEARS, CUTTING THE LONG-TERM EXPENDITURE.

SINCE THE INCEPTION OF COORDINATED REGIONAL WASTE MANAGEMENT IN 1989 - THE EMPHASIS HAS BEEN ON PLANNING, DESIGNING, AND IMPLEMENTING COLLECTION FACILITIES. WITH THE CURRENT OPERATING HISTORY, SUFFICIENT INFORMATION NOW EXISTS ON AMOUNTS AND TYPES OF WASTE COLLECTED TO EXPLORE ALTERNATIVE DISPOSAL METHODS. IN THE FUTURE THIS MAY INCLUDE MORE ON-SITE PROCESSING AND TREATMENT OF WASTE TO DECREASE DISPOSAL COST.

COLLECTION FACILITIES ALSO OFFER A GOOD OPPORTUNITY TO EDUCATE THE PUBLIC ABOUT WASTE REDUCTION. CHANGING PEOPLE'S PURCHASING AND USAGE PATTERNS OF HARMFUL CHEMICALS IS AN IMPORTANT ELEMENT IN THE OVERALL TASK. CONTINUED SUCCESS IN CONTROLLING HAZARDOUS WASTE WILL REQUIRE MORE THAN A DECISION ON WHETHER TO GO WITH A FIXED OR A MOBILE SITE. VARIATIONS IN THE OPERATION OF THESE FACILITIES ARE CURRENTLY BEING CONSIDERED TO INCREASE EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS. SOME OF THESE INVOLVE TARGETTING HIGH VOLUME WASTE ITEMS LIKE PAINT AND OIL - ESTABLISHING

VIDEO:

series of shots segue from toxic
to non-toxic use around the home...

household product use...

continue some of opening montage
at conclusion...credits over

AUDIO:

SATELLITE COLLECTION WITH CENTRALIZED
PROCESSING - MIXED PERMANENT AND MOBILE
FACILITIES - AND EVEN SINGLE DAY
COLLECTIONS.

THE GOAL OF CONTAINING AND CONTROLLING
DISPOSAL OF EVER INCREASING HOUSEHOLD
HAZARDOUS WASTE IS QUITE FORMIDABLE.
FORTUNATELY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT, HOWEVER,
THE CONCERN HAS CAPTURED OUR ATTENTION.
THE CITY'S SECOND PERMANENT FACILITY WILL
SOON BE OPERATIONAL IN SEATTLE'S NORTHEND -
WHILE THE COUNTY PLANS TO EXPAND THEIR
PROGRAM WITH ADDITIONAL WASTEMOBILES.
WITH BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN POISONOUS
PRODUCTS ENTERING OUR ENVIRONMENT EACH
YEAR - THE TIME IS UPON US TO RESPONSIBLY
DEAL WITH THE LEFTOVERS.

patterson(revised)
7-11-91

REPORT AND INFORMATION SOURCES

Additional copies of this report, "Sustainable Systems Rating Program" are available from:

Publications and Distribution
Public Technology, Incorporated
1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004

For additional information concerning this project, please contact:

Henry Sharpe, Manager
Environmental and Transportation
Planning
City of Seattle
Office for Long-range Planning
600 4th Avenue, Room 200
Municipal Building
Seattle, WA 98104-1873
(206) 684-8056

Publications Price List--UCETF Reports



ITEM #	TITLE	PRICE
90-331	Hydraulic Waste Energy Recovery: A Technical Report	15.00
90-318	A Regulatory Framework for Alternative Fuels and Transportation Management Services	15.00
90-316	Alternative Vehicle Fuels: A Demonstration Project	15.00
90-314	Energy Efficiency in Public Housing	15.00
89-330	Analysis of Programmatic Fleet Conversion to Ethanol Blends	15.00
89-325	An Alternative Fuels Evaluation System for Fleet Vehicles	15.00
89-323	Dual Fuel Conversion Demonstration and Technology Transfer Project	10.00
89-321	Summary of Low and Moderate Income Residential Energy Conservation Programs	15.00
89-315	A Case Study in the Pursuit of Urban Energy Efficiency	15.00
89-314	Communicating with the Public About Environmental Health Risks: A Case Study	13.00
89-313	Evaluation and Comparison of Selected Household Hazardous Waste Collection Facilities	15.00
89-311	Yard Waste Recycling Study: A Pilot Study	15.00
89-310	Sludge Storage Lagoon Biogas Recovery and Use, Volume 1	15.00
89-307	Proceeding: 1989 Electric Utility Franchise Conference	20.00
89-306	Reducing Electricity Demand Through Energy-Related Efficient Construction	15.00
89-304	Modernization of Lighting in Municipal Auditoriums	15.00
89-303	Wastewater Treatment Process Energy Optimization	13.00
89-301	Implementation of Alternative Technologies through the Assessment of Energy Markets	14.00
88-322	Marketing Energy Efficiency Programs to Commercial and Industrial Firms: Lighting Incentives and	15.00
88-321	Urban Energy Management Today: Ten Year Compendium of UCETF Programs	10.00
88-319	Integrating Energy Efficiency Into Municipal Purchasing Decisions: Computerizing Procurement	15.00
88-318	Household Hazardous Waste: Implementation of a Permanent Collection Facility	20.00
88-317	Hazardous Waste as an Energy Manager's Issue	15.00
88-316	Household Hazardous Waste Management Planning	15.00
88-312	Summary of Small Business Energy Conservation Programs	15.00
88-310	The Earth-Coupled Heat Pump: Utilizing Innovative Technology in Single Family Rehabilitation	15.00
88-309	Energy Planning for Economic Development	18.00
88-308	Conversion of Resource Recovery Steam to Hot and Chilled Water Systems	10.00
88-306	HVAC Equipment Replacement for Best Size and Efficiency, Transfer Report	15.00
88-305	Cogeneration and Cooling in Small Scale Applications	15.00
88-304	Energy Master Planning: Innovative Design and Energy Analysis Services for New Commercial	22.00
88-303	Energy Efficient Building Design: Guidelines for Local Government	15.00
88-302	Direct Digital Control of Air Washer Cooling System	15.00
88-301	Feasibility Study of Transportation Management Strategies in the Poplar Corridor, Memphis, Tennessee	18.00
87-327	Energy Efficient Urban Cooling Technologies: 1st National Conf.	20.00
87-324	Memphis Area Rideshare	15.00
87-317	Joint City Government/Utility Partnerships to Reduce Business Costs	15.00
87-314	The Impact of Budgetary Incentives on Energy Management	15.00

Publications Price List--UCETF Reports



ITEM #	TITLE	PRICE
87-313	Computer Assisted Control for Municipal Water Systems, Phase II	20.00
87-312	Economic Development Through Energy Technology Transfer	15.00
87-311	Electric Utility Franchise Guide	20.00
87-310	Hidden Link: The Energy and Economic Development, Phase II	15.00
87-307	Municipal Underground Storage Tanks: An Energy Manager's Guide	18.00
87-306	Integrating Energy Efficiency into Mun. Purchasing Decisions	20.00
87-305	Energy Enhancement in New Residential Construction	40.00
87-302	Thermal Energy Storage: Application Guide for Local Governments	20.00
87-301	HVAC Equipment Replacement for Best Size & Efficiency	20.00
86-315	Balancing Single Pipe Steam Heating Systems	20.00
86-314	Inhibition of Respiration in Activated Sludge by High Carbon Dioxide Concentration	7.50
86-313	Water Supply System Energy Conservation Through Computer Control	18.00
86-312	Energy Cost Reduction Through Wastewater Flow Equalization	20.00
86-311	High Efficiency Gas Furnace Modification in Low Income Housing	15.00
86-310	Hidden Link: Energy and Economic Development, Phase I	15.00
86-307	Disposal Techniques with Energy Recovery for Scrapped Vehicle Tires	20.00
86-306	District Heating Marketing: Analysis of a Twelve City Survey	20.00
86-305	Technology Transfer for Residential Energy Programs in New Construction and Existing Housing	15.00
86-304	Technology Transfer for Residential Energy Efficiency	15.00
86-302	Neighborhood Energy Efficiency & Reinvestment	15.00
86-301	On-Site Municipal Fuel Cell Power Plan: Feasibility and Application Guide	15.00
85-326	Resource Recovery for Urban Yard Waste	18.00
85-323	Energy Monitoring and Controlling in Municipal Facilities	10.00
85-320	Transportation Management for Business Relocation	15.00
85-319	District Heating in Denmark	10.00
85-318	Computer-Assisted Control for Municipal Water Systems, Phase I	18.00
85-317	Financing Energy Efficient Housing as a Community Economic Development Tool	15.00
85-316	Modular District Heating Planning as a Development Tool	15.00
85-314	Alternative Techniques for Dev. of Energy Efficient Residences	15.00
85-312	Shared Savings and Low Income Homeowners	18.00
85-311	Measures and Investment Options for Community Energy Conservation	18.00
85-310	Planning for Energy Efficiency in New Commercial Buildings	15.00
85-308	Residential Space Heating with Wood	15.00
85-307	Thermal Storage Strategies for Energy Cost Reduction	18.00
84-325	Shared Savings in the Residential Market	20.00
84-324	Methanol Use in Vehicle Fleet Operations: Barriers	20.00
84-322	Energy Management and Technology for Urban Governments	15.00
84-321	Hydrate Process for Waste Water Treatment Plant Sludge Dewatering	15.00



Publications Price List--UCETF Reports

ITEM #	TITLE	PRICE
84-320	Development of Computerized Inventory and Maintenance System for Municipal Street Lights	15.00
84-315	Facilities Energy Monitoring System	15.00
84-314	Application of Mini-Van Technology to Vanpool Services	18.00
84-312	Implementation Methods for an Integrated Energy System	10.00
84-311	Feasibility of Water-Based District Heating and Cooling	15.00
84-310	Budgetary Incentives for Municipal Energy Management	22.00
84-309	Central Energy Systems Applications to Economic Development	20.00
84-308	On-Site Cogeneration for Office Buildings	15.00
84-306	Analysis of Municipal Bus Operations for the Advancement of Fuel Cell Technology	15.00
84-305	Computer Based Maintenance	15.00
84-304	Innovative Finance Plans for Privately Owned Waste/Vol. 2	15.00
84-303	Innovative Finance Plans for Privately Owned Waste/ Vol. 1	15.00
84-301	Coordinating Preventive Maintenance with Energy Management	15.00
83-319	The Rehabilitation and Retrofit of Older Houses to Superinsulated Standards	15.00
83-318	Developing Sources and Techniques for Alternative Financing of Energy Conservation	20.00
83-316	Hydrate Process for Dewatering Sewage Sludge	10.00
83-315	Financial Planning for District Heating: Brooklyn Navy Yard	15.00
83-314	Memphis Area Rideshare On-Line Information System	18.00
83-313	Renovation Opportunities for Steam District Heating Systems	18.00
83-312	Initial Assessment of District Heating and Cooling	20.00
83-311	Energy Conservation Through Computerized Automation	18.00
83-309	Development of an Energy Park: Issues and Implementation Options	15.00
83-308	Alternative Uses for Digester Methane Gas	25.00
83-307	Innovative Financing and Incentive Package to Reduce Energy	15.00
83-305	Multi-Jurisdictional Planning for District Heating and Cooling	10.00
83-303	Improving Energy Management and Accountability in Municipal Operations	15.00
82-320	Utilization of Felled City Trees as Supplemental Boiler Fuel	7.50
82-319	Methanol Use in Vehicle Fleet Operations: Comparisons	15.00
82-317	Microcomputer Tools for Trans. and Residential Energy Conservation	20.00
82-316	Reduction of Impediments to Alternative Energy Use	20.00
82-315	Reducing Regulatory and Financial Impediments to Energy Conservation	20.00
82-314	Integrating Energy Management with Economic Development	20.00
82-313	Energy Conservation and Economic Development	10.00
82-310	Municipal Technologies	20.00
82-307	Strategies to Improve Community Energy Use Practices	10.00
82-306	Energy Conservation In Water Treatment	
82-305	Development of an Energy Action Plan: Participating Approach	15.00
82-303	Energy Economic Development	20.00

Publications Price List-UCETF Reports



ITEM #	TITLE	PRICE
82-302	Public Housing Energy Efficiency Through Private Financing	10.00
82-300	Developing an Energy Management Tracking System	
81-328	Matching End Use Energy Needs to Source Possibilities	20.00
81-327	Development of a Hydrogen-Fueled Mass Transit Vehicle s	15.00
81-326	Operational and Maintenance Guidelines for Reducing Energy Consumption	
81-324	Energy Management for Small Business	10.00
81-320	Energy Data Gathering, Analysis, and Review System	20.00
81-318	Fuel Management and Planning System for Local Government	25.00
81-316	Production of Ethanol from Cellulosic Fraction	
81-313	Metro-Dade County Comprehensive Energy Emergency Plan	
81-311	Developing Energy Emergency Preparedness	15.00
81-310	Simplified Methodology for Community Energy Management	20.00
81-309	Energy Management: The Public Sector	15.00
81-307	Municipal Technical Assistance-Energy Monitoring	6.00
81-306	New Technology Demonstration	10.00
81-305	Technology Transfer: Unit Report from the Energy Task Force	15.00
81-304	Development of Local Energy Management Preparedness	10.00
81-303	Municipal Energy Management	10.00
80-314	Methodology for Energy Impact Analysis of Urban Development Projects	15.00
80-313	Evaluation of Landfill Gas as an Energy Source	15.00
80-309	Decision Process for the Retrofit of Municipal Buildings	20.00
80-308	Primary Urban Energy Management Planning Methodology	7.50
80-306	Local Government Use of Thermography for Energy	15.00
79-300	Planning for and Purchasing Computer Technology	6.50

DATE
FILMED
02/02/93

