

Title:

**BENCHMARKING OF MCNP AGAINST  
B&W LRC CORE XI CRITICAL EXPERIMENTS**

Author(s):

**Russell D. Mosteller**

Submitted to:

**1995 Winter Meeting of The American Nuclear Society  
San Francisco, California  
October 29-November 2, 1995****DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

**Los Alamos**  
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED  
GH**MASTER**

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

**BENCHMARKING OF MCNP  
AGAINST B&W LRC CORE XI CRITICAL EXPERIMENTS**

**Russell D. Mosteller**

**Reactor Design and Analysis Group  
Technology and Safety Assessment Division  
Los Alamos National Laboratory**

**To Be Submitted for Presentation at the  
1995 Winter Meeting of the American Nuclear Society  
October 29 - November 2, 1995    San Francisco, CA**

The MCNP Monte Carlo code<sup>1</sup> and its ENDF/B-V continuous-energy cross-section library previously has been benchmarked<sup>2,3</sup> against a variety of critical experiments, and that benchmarking recently has been extended<sup>4</sup> to include its ENDF/B-VI continuous-energy cross-section library and additional critical experiments. This study further extends the benchmarking of MCNP and its two continuous-energy libraries to 17 large-scale mockup experiments<sup>5</sup> that closely resemble the core of a pressurized water reactor (PWR).

## DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENTS

The experiments were performed at Babcock & Wilcox's Lynchburg Research Center in 1970 and 1971. The series was designated as Core XI, and the individual experiments were characterized as different "loadings." The experiments were performed inside a large aluminum tank that contained borated water. The water height for each loading was exactly 145 cm, and the soluble boron concentration in the water was adjusted until the configuration was slightly supercritical, with a value of 1.0007 for  $k_{\text{eff}}$ . Pin-by-pin power distributions were measured for several of the loadings. The standard deviation in the measured boron concentration was  $\pm 3$  PPM. Based on calculations performed as part of this study, that variation in the soluble boron concentration corresponds to a variation in  $k_{\text{eff}}$  of approximately  $\pm 0.0005 \Delta k$ .

For loadings 2 through 15, the central region of the core closely resembled a 3 x 3 array of PWR fuel assemblies with fuel rods, water holes, and/or perturbing rods (made of Pyrex, Vicor, or  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ) arranged in a 15 x 15 lattice. The nine assemblies were surrounded by a buffer region containing 2936  $\text{UO}_2$  fuel rods, and the buffer region in turn was encompassed by a reflector region. All of the fuel pins were clad in aluminum, and they had an enrichment of 2.459 w/o.

Loadings 1, 16, and 17 differed from the others only in the arrangement of the central region of the core. Loading 1 had fuel pins in all locations in the central core. Loading 16 had six parallel lines of water holes in the central region that were separated from each other by 7 fuel pins, and loading 17 had six additional lines of water holes that were perpendicular to and intersected those lines.

## RESULTS

MCNP calculations were performed for each of the loadings with both ENDF/B-V and ENDF/B-VI libraries, and the results are summarized in Table I. (For similar loadings where the difference in the soluble boron concentration was 3 PPM or less, the average of the two concentrations was used for both.) The calculations used octant symmetry for all of the loadings except 16, where quadrant symmetry was used. Each of the calculations employed 1050 generations with 4000 neutron histories per generation, and the first 50 generations were excluded from the statistics. The remaining 4 million active histories were sufficient to reduce the standard deviation in the relative pin powers to about 1%.

Both libraries produce results that match the measured  $k_{\text{eff}}$  quite well, although the ENDF/B-VI results are slightly but consistently lower than the corresponding ENDF/B-V results. The average value of  $k_{\text{eff}}$  based on the 17 sample means from the ENDF/B-V calculations is 0.9983, while the corresponding value from the ENDF/B-VI calculations is 0.9959. The difference between the highest and lowest ENDF/B-V values for  $k_{\text{eff}}$  is only 0.0030  $\Delta k$ , and the corresponding ENDF/B-VI difference is only 0.0029  $\Delta k$ .

## CONCLUSIONS

The benchmarking of the MCNP Monte Carlo code and its continuous-energy ENDF/B-V and ENDF/B-VI libraries has been extended to large-scale mockup experiments that closely resemble the core of a PWR. Both libraries produce accurate and consistent values for  $k_{\text{eff}}$ : on average, the ENDF/B-V result is low by  $0.0024 \pm 0.0006 \Delta k$ , while the average ENDF/B-VI result is low by  $0.0048 \pm 0.0006 \Delta k$ .

## References

1. Judith F. Briesmeister, Ed., "MCNP—A General Monte Carlo N-Particle Transport Code, Version 4A," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12625-M (November 1993).
2. Daniel J. Whalen, David A. Cardon, Jennifer L. Uhle, and John S. Hendricks, "MCNP: Neutron Benchmark Problems," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12212 (November 1991).
3. John C. Wagner, James E. Sisolak, and Gregg W. McKinney, "MCNP: Criticality Safety Benchmark Problems," Los Alamos National Laboratory report LA-12415 (October 1992).
4. J. L. Iverson and R. D. Mosteller, "MCNP Calculations for Criticality-Safety Benchmarks with ENDF/B-V and ENDF/B-VI Libraries," to be presented at the Fifth International Conference on Nuclear Criticality Safety (Albuquerque, NM, September 17-21, 1995).
5. M. N. Baldwin and M. E. Stern, "Physics Verification Program, Part III, Task 4, Summary Report," Babcock & Wilcox report BAW-3647-20 (March 1971).

Table I  
Summary of Results

Loading	Fuel Rods	Water Holes	Pyrex Rods	Vicor Rods	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Rods	Soluble Boron (PPM)	k <sub>eff</sub> , ENDF/B-V	k <sub>eff</sub> , ENDF/B-VI
1	4961	0	0	0	0	1511	0.9981 ± 0.0003	0.9963 ± 0.0003
2	4808	153	0	0	0	1335.5	0.9988 ± 0.0003	0.9964 ± 0.0003
3	4808	153	0	0	0	1335.5	0.9993 ± 0.0003	0.9962 ± 0.0003
4	4808	117	36	0	0	1182	0.9991 ± 0.0003	0.9957 ± 0.0003
5	4808	117	36	0	0	1182	0.9982 ± 0.0003	0.9967 ± 0.0003
6	4808	81	72	0	0	1032.5	0.9987 ± 0.0003	0.9962 ± 0.0003
7	4808	81	72	0	0	1032.5	0.9982 ± 0.0003	0.9956 ± 0.0003
8	4808	9	144	0	0	794	0.9965 ± 0.0003	0.9944 ± 0.0003
9	4808	9	144	0	0	779	0.9972 ± 0.0003	0.9946 ± 0.0003
10	4808	81	0	72	0	1245	0.9986 ± 0.0003	0.9966 ± 0.0003
11	4808	9	0	0	144	1384	0.9995 ± 0.0003	0.9970 ± 0.0003
12	4808	117	0	0	36	1348	0.9977 ± 0.0003	0.9963 ± 0.0003
13	4808	117	0	0	36	1348	0.9988 ± 0.0003	0.9969 ± 0.0003
14	4808	81	0	0	72	1362.5	0.9983 ± 0.0003	0.9959 ± 0.0003
15	4808	81	0	0	72	1362.5	0.9984 ± 0.0003	0.9953 ± 0.0003
16	4691	270	0	0	0	1158	0.9981 ± 0.0003	0.9959 ± 0.0003
17	4457	504	0	0	0	921	0.9972 ± 0.0003	0.9941 ± 0.0003