

# Metagenomes and metagenome-assembled genomes from microbial communities in a biological nutrient removal plant operated at Hamptons Road Sanitation District (HRSD) with high and low dissolved oxygen conditions

Blaise M. Enuh,<sup>1,2</sup> Kevin S. Myers,<sup>1,2</sup> Charles Bott,<sup>3</sup> Stephanie Klaus,<sup>3</sup> Kester McCullough,<sup>3</sup> Lilian McIntosh,<sup>3</sup> Natalie Beach,<sup>4</sup> Michelle Young,<sup>4</sup> Timothy J. Donohue,<sup>1,2,5</sup> Daniel R. Noguera<sup>1,2,6</sup>

**AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS** See affiliation list on p. 2.

**ABSTRACT** Aeration is a major cost at biological nutrient removal (BNR) plants. We report on microbial communities in a pilot-scale BNR system before and after a dissolved oxygen transition from 2.5 to 0.2 mg/L implemented over 18 months. Four PacBio metagenomes and 316 metagenome-assembled genomes are announced.

**KEYWORDS** metagenomics, microbial communities, wastewater treatment, dissolved oxygen

Reducing dissolved oxygen (DO) levels in biological nutrient removal (BNR) processes can maintain efficient nitrification and phosphorus removal while lowering energy consumption (1–3). Decreased DO causes microbial community adaptation, though the dynamics remain poorly understood (1, 4). We report metagenomes and metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs) from a BNR pilot plant operated at the pilot testing facility of the Hamptons Road Sanitation District (HRSD) Virginia Initiative Pilot (VIP) treatment plant in Norfolk, VA (5). Grab samples of mixed liquor were collected from the end of the aeration basin of the treatment plant before and after an 18-month transition from high- (2.5 mg/L) to low-DO (0.2 mg/L) conditions. Upon collection, the mixed liquor samples were centrifuged; the cell pellets were frozen, and then shipped overnight to the laboratory.

Genomic DNA was extracted directly from the pellets using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD), then quantified using a Qubit fluorometer (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until sequencing. DNA purity was measured on a NanoDrop One (Fisher Scientific), and concentration was measured with the Qubit dsDNA High-Sensitivity Kit (Fisher Scientific).

HiFi library preparation and sequencing at the University of Wisconsin-Madison Biotechnology Center (Madison, WI) followed workflow PN 102-166-600 Version 04 (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA) with standard parameters. Library integrity was evaluated on the FemtoPulse System (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). The library was quantified using the Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity Kit and sequenced on a Sequel II using Sequel Polymerase Binding Kit 2.2 following the standard protocol (Pacific Biosciences). Across the four samples, there was an average of 1,770,838 reads, with a range from 439,484 to 3,127,946 reads. The average N50 of the reads was 8,664 bp (range of 7,020 bp to 10,234 bp). The Circular Consensus Sequence (CCS) reads were assembled utilizing either metaFLYE (v2.9-b1768) (6) and polished with racon (v1.4.20) (7) for the high-DO samples or metaMDBG (v0.3) (8) with a racon module (v1.4.20) (7) for the low-DO samples. The reads were mapped onto the assemblies using minimap2 (v2.22-r1101) (9). Binning

**Editor** Frank J. Stewart, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA

Address correspondence to Daniel R. Noguera, [noguera@engr.wisc.edu](mailto:noguera@engr.wisc.edu).

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Received** 22 December 2025

**Accepted** 7 April 2026

**Published** 14 May 2026

Copyright © 2026 Enuh et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



<sup>6</sup>Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

## AUTHOR ORCID*s*

Kevin S. Myers  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3302-3877>  
 Natalie Beach  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4725-496X>  
 Timothy J. Donohue  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8738-2467>  
 Daniel R. Noguera  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0372-3063>

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Blaise M. Enuh, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – original draft | Kevin S. Myers, Data curation, Writing – review and editing | Charles Bott, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Stephanie Klaus, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Kester McCullough, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Natalie Beach, Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Michelle Young, Conceptualization, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review and editing | Timothy J. Donohue, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review and editing | Daniel R. Noguera, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing.

## REFERENCES

- Fitzgerald CM, Camejo P, Oshlag JZ, Noguera DR. 2015. Ammonia-oxidizing microbial communities in reactors with efficient nitrification at low-dissolved oxygen. *Water Res* 70:38–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.11.041>
- Keene NA, Reusser SR, Scarborough MJ, Grooms AL, Seib M, Santo Domingo J, Noguera DR. 2017. Pilot plant demonstration of stable and efficient high rate biological nutrient removal with low dissolved oxygen conditions. *Water Res* 121:72–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.05.029>
- Stewart RD, Bashar R, Amstadt C, Uribe-Santos GA, McMahon KD, Seib M, Noguera DR. 2022. Pilot-scale comparison of biological nutrient removal (BNR) using intermittent and continuous ammonia-based low dissolved oxygen aeration control systems. *Water Sci Technol* 85:578–590. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2021.630>
- Park HD, Noguera DR. 2004. Evaluating the effect of dissolved oxygen on ammonia-oxidizing bacterial communities in activated sludge. *Water Res* 38:3275–3286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2004.04.047>
- Young M, Beach N, Reifsnnyder S, Ackman P, Weiland T, Dreher B, Bott C, Ekster A, Enuh BM, Noguera D, Kestel S, Khatiwada S, McCollough K, McIntosh L, Nagarkar M, Rosso D, Rauch-Williams T. 2025. Transforming aeration energy in water resource recovery facilities (WRRFs) through suboxic nitrogen removal (final report). <https://doi.org/10.2172/2587564>
- Kolmogorov M, Bickhart DM, Behsaz B, Gurevich A, Rayko M, Shin SB, Kuhn K, Yuan J, Polevikov E, Smith TPL, Pevzner PA. 2020. metaFlye: scalable long-read metagenome assembly using repeat graphs. *Nat Methods* 17:1103–1110. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-020-00971-x>
- Vaser R, Sović I, Nagarajan N, Šikić M. 2017. Fast and accurate *de novo* genome assembly from long uncorrected reads. *Genome Res* 27:737–746. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.214270.116>
- Benoit G, Raguideau S, James R, Phillippy AM, Chikhi R, Quince C. 2024. High-quality metagenome assembly from long accurate reads with metaDBG. *Nat Biotechnol* 42:1378–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-023-01983-6>
- Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* 34:3094–3100. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bt191>
- Kang DD, Li F, Kirton E, Thomas A, Egan R, An H, Wang Z. 2019. MetaBAT 2: an adaptive binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome assemblies. *PeerJ* 7:e7359. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7359>
- Tennessen K, Andersen E, Clingenpeel S, Rinke C, Lundberg DS, Han J, Dangl JL, Ivanova NN, Woyke T, Kyrpides N, Pati A. 2016. ProDeGe: a computational protocol for fully automated decontamination of genomes. *ISME J* 10:269–272. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.100>
- Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. *Genome Res* 25:1043–1055. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114>
- Chaumeil P-A, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P, Parks DH. 2019. GTDB-Tk: a toolkit to classify genomes with the genome taxonomy database. *Bioinformatics* 36:1925–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bt1927>
- Schwengers O, Jelonek L, Dieckmann MA, Beyvers S, Blom J, Goesmann A. 2021. Bakta: rapid and standardized annotation of bacterial genomes via alignment-free sequence identification. *Microb Genom* 7:13. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000685>
- Kozlov AM, Darriba D, Flouri T, Morel B, Stamatakis A. 2019. RAxML-NG: a fast, scalable and user-friendly tool for maximum likelihood phylogenetic inference. *Bioinformatics* 35:4453–4455. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bt1927>
- Bianchini G, Sánchez-Baracaldo P. 2024. TreeViewer: flexible, modular software to visualise and manipulate phylogenetic trees. *Ecol Evol* 14:e10873. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.10873>
- Olm MR, Brown CT, Brooks B, Banfield JF. 2017. dRep: a tool for fast and accurate genomic comparisons that enables improved genome recovery from metagenomes through de-replication. *ISME J* 11:2864–2868. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.126>
- Enuh B, Myers KS, Noguera DR. 2025. Metagenomes and metagenome-assembled genomes from microbial communities in a biological nutrient removal plant operated at hamptons road sanitation district (HRSD) with high and low dissolved oxygen conditions. Dataset. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.30389092>