

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

First field test of a novel optical gas analyser in the exhaust of Wendelstein 7-X

To cite this article: G Schlisio *et al* 2026 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **68** 035029

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [Superior performance of silicon based anodes using tannic acid binders](#)
Utkarsh Ahuja, Xinyi Chen, Pu Hu et al.
- [Experimental analysis, performance prediction, and multi-objective optimization of a heavy-duty diesel engine using RSM-ANN](#)
Fayza Yulia, Aulya Chelsi, Ade Kurniawan et al.
- [Cd incorporation as a way to avoid Cu/Zn disorder in kesterites: the Kesterite-Stannite structural transition in \$\text{Cu}_2\(\text{Cd,Zn}\)\text{SnS}_4\$](#)
Galina Gurieva, Maxim Avdeev, Maris Pilvet et al.

Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion



PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
30 September 2025

REVISED
20 February 2026

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
16 March 2026

PUBLISHED
26 March 2026

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 licence](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



First field test of a novel optical gas analyser in the exhaust of Wendelstein 7-X

G Schlisio^{1,*}, J Brindley², M Law², C C Klepper³, E Delabie³, T Bräuer¹, P Zs Pölöskei¹, Y M Boumendjel¹, F B T Siddiki^{4,1}, M Krychowiak¹ and the W7-X team⁵

¹ Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, Wendelsteinstraße 1, 17491 Greifswald, Germany

² Gencoa Ltd, 4 De Havilland Drive, Estuary Commerce Park, Liverpool L24 8RN, United Kingdom

³ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, United States of America

⁴ UW Madison, 1500 Engineering Drive, Madison, WI 53706, United States of America

⁵ See Grulke *et al* 2024 (<https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ad2f4d>) for the W7-X team.

* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

E-mail: georg.schlisio@ipp.mpg.de

Keywords: magnetic confinement fusion, vacuum, spectroscopy

Abstract

A novel optical gas analyser, designed for isotope-resolved exhaust composition measurement, was field-tested at Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) to validate its laboratory-proven concept under operational fusion experiment conditions. The system, Optix, comprises a cold cathode remote plasma generator and a high-resolution Fabry–Perot spectrometer and was deployed in the exhaust line of W7-X during the OP2.3 campaign. The injection of ³He and ⁴He for minority ion-cyclotron heating provided a test case for helium isotope discrimination. Despite limitations due to background gas and low partial pressures of the target species, isotope-resolved spectral signatures were successfully observed, demonstrating the fundamental viability of the Optix approach. Additionally, the spectrometer was evaluated for plasma emission measurements from both core and edge sight-lines. While helium line emission was detectable, interpretation was hindered by complex background signals, highlighting the benefits of controlled remote plasma generators for spectroscopy. This first deployment provides critical insight into pressure requirements, spectral resolution, and operational constraints, informing future applications of optical exhaust diagnostics in fusion devices.

1. Introduction

Exhaust composition measurement in magnetic confinement fusion experiments, and especially future reactors, is an essential task for inventory accounting. Mass spectrometry methods rely on free-streaming charged particles in sensitive electrode array, and are susceptible to residual magnetic field interference. Optical gas analysers (OGAs) follow a different approach, by spectroscopically observing a private low-temperature plasma generated locally from the exhaust gas. For this purpose, a low-temperature plasma is usually generated in a cold cathode discharge.

The OGA presented in this work was originally developed for commercial vacuum process control by Gencoa Ltd. [1, 2], and has been equipped with a custom high-resolution spectrometer for isotope measurement relevant for fusion experiments. The system will be referred to as Optix throughout this document, to distinguish it from the classical OGA (cOGA) - a combination of an Alcatel CF2 Penning source with a filterscope observation system widely used in fusion experiments [3–6]. An existing cOGA was not operable during the presented work.

Wendelstein 7-X (W7-X) [7] is the largest stellarator experiment in operation, and has conducted its operational phase 2.3 (OP2.3) in 2025. A wide variety of topics was investigated during OP2.3, resulting in 2746 plasma programs with a total duration of 678 min and a maximum energy turnover of 1.8 GJ. An overview of the obtained plasma parameters is given in [8]. As W7-X is currently not operating in

deuterium nor tritium, the planned injection of ^3He for ion-cyclotron minority heating [9, 10] was used as a test-case for isotope resolution in the W7-X OP2.3. The potential for isotopically resolving helium with an OGA technique was very recently demonstrated [11].

The goal of the reported investigation was to test the Optix in a fusion experiment under field conditions, which differ from laboratory conditions in many ways.

The experimental setup is described in section 2, section 3 discusses the challenges and solutions with the sampled gas pressure. The helium isotope measurement is shown in section 4, and section 5 explores the further application of the involved spectrometer for plasma spectroscopy.

2. Setup

The basic principle of the optical gas detection method is that gas molecules enter the plasma generator and are excited by free electrons or other energetic gas species. This results in light emission at wavelengths attributable to the gas molecules, with the light intensity proportional to the gas concentration. Thus, a light emission spectrum corresponding to detected gas species is formed, in contrast to the mass spectrum as generated by a mass spectrometer.

The Optix consists of a remote plasma generator and an observing spectrometer. The remote plasma generator consists of an inverted magnetron gauge operated constant-current mode with a standard current of $200\ \mu\text{A}$, which is observed via an optical fibre with a $600\ \mu\text{m}$ core and an $NA = 0.5$.

The spectrometer—a custom Fabry–Perot spectrometer (FPS) by LightMachinery—was set up for the ^4He I line at $667.8151\ \text{nm}$ with an approximate spectral range of about $110\ \text{pm}$, an optical resolution of about $11\ \text{pm}$ and a digitalization resolution of $0.9\ \text{pm}$. The basic principle of a FPS is the self-interference of the analysed light in a parallel glass plate (etalon) with a high-precision thickness. Multiple internal reflections at the partially reflective surfaces lead to wavelength-selective transmission according to the resonance condition set by the plate thickness and refractive index. The spectrum is obtained from the angularly resolved transmission pattern, since each wavelength is transmitted at a specific incidence angle. An in-depth discussion of the principle and its applications is given in [12].

Both the spectrometer camera and the remote plasma generator were controlled with a standard PC, which was integrated into the control system of W7-X to automatically detect running experiment programs and upload both raw and processed data to the data archive [13].

The Optix was hosted on the diagnostic residual gas analyser (DRGA) [14, 15], where a dedicated OGA vacuum chamber (Optix Pos.1) is located at an interstage port of the turbo-molecular pump (TMP). At the OGA chamber the cOGA was operated previously, but with very low light attributed to pressures below $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mbar. A secondary vacuum line from the OGA chamber to the backing line was installed to benefit from higher pressures in the exhaust stream of the TMP. The vacuum connections of the OGA chamber to the exhaust gas stream are each equipped with a valve and only one is opened at a time. To further increase the pressure in the OGA chamber, a throttle valve was introduced between the OGA chamber and the roughing pump. In a second step, the Optix was moved away from the OGA chamber and mounted directly on the main roughing line of the W7-X pumping system where a higher gas throughput was observed (Optix Pos.2). Further discussion on the rationale and results of this move are given in section 3. A detailed schematic drawing of the setup including all relevant valves, identifiers, and both Optix mounting positions is shown in figure 1.

Due to the thermal expansion of the etalon inside the FPS, the wavelength calibration of the setup was sensitive to temperature changes of the spectrometer. This requires a temperature-dependent wavelength correction of the obtained spectra by matching identified peaks with expected signals at a known wavelength. This approach yielded a correction of typically less than $10\ \text{pm}$. In the following, all spectra are shown temperature-corrected unless otherwise noted. Temperature-controlling the etalon presents a viable solution for future spectrometers, which will remove the necessity of temperature-correcting spectra.

Table 1 shows an overview of the relevant spectral lines and their most important properties.

3. Pressure

The Optix was designed for pressures above $1 \cdot 10^{-6}$ mbar, and obtains an optimum optical resolution at pressures above $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mbar assuming mixtures of H_2 , D_2 , T_2 , ^3He , ^4He , and Ne . The observed pressures for both installation positions are shown in figure 2 top row for the OGA chamber and bottom row for the main roughing line. Each figure contains data from three pressure gauges as indicated in figure 1,





Table 1. List of relevant lines, their respective wavelength, relative intensity and transition probability. Data taken from the NIST ASD database [19]. Lines with asterisk denote Ritz wavelengths.

Species	λ (nm)	rel. intensity	A_{ki} (s^{-1})
Ar I	667.728	100	$2.3 \cdot 10^5$
O II	667.786	12	$3.37 \cdot 10^6$
He I	667.8151	100	$6.3705 \cdot 10^7$
Ne I	667.82766	5000	$2.33 \cdot 10^7$
$^3\text{He I}$	667.865433*	—	—
C I	667.9649*	—	$2.2 \cdot 10^5$

and the internal Optix pressure reading. Naturally, all total pressure measurements in vacuum have different (and sometimes quite significant) systematic uncertainties, so a direct comparison of pressures is potentially flawed. The pressure gauge at P2 is a compact Pirani gauge (Edwards APG100) near the roughing pump of the DRGA pumping system and might be suffering from saturation near its lower operation limit. The pressure gauge at P3 is a piezo/Pirani combined gauge (Pfeiffer RPT200) near the roughing pump of the main vacuum system, and has a nominal operation window from $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ mbar to atmospheric pressure. All gauges are calibrated for nitrogen and were not re-calibrated for other gas mixtures.

While the total pressure achieved at the Optix was usually well above the pressures of the design phase, a significant fraction of the gas was residual atmospheric nitrogen (Optix Pos.1) or nitrogen for flushing the main vacuum TMPs (Optix Pos.2). The partial pressure of pumped plasma exhaust gases is thus much lower than the total pressure, and as the emission intensity for a given line to first order is proportional to the partial pressure, the line emission in those plasmas is much weaker than anticipated. This could at least partially be compensated by a longer integration time in the FPS camera, but significantly reduces the time resolution of the measurement. The combined partial pressures of all plasma gases are shown per program for an exemplary experiment day in the right hand side panels of figure 2. It was obtained by assuming a constant nitrogen background, and calculating the difference between the pressure value at the start of a plasma program and the maximum of the pressure up to 5 s after plasma program termination. The delay was introduced because the largest pressures in the exhaust are usually observed shortly after plasma termination, when plasma recombination and outgassing of hot plasma facing components produce a significant amount of gas, and the receded DRGA analysis chamber has a transfer time of >1 s [14].





For the Optix at Pos.1 we see a significant mismatch between the measured total pressures of about a factor of 7, and between the measured exhaust gas pulses of about a factor of 5. For the Optix at Pos.2 we also see significant mismatches, here with a factor of 9 for the measured total pressures and a factor of 7 for the exhaust gas pulses.

While the total pressure measured inside the Optix increased significantly, the exhaust gas amount only increased very slightly. This was, however, enough to acquire a measurable signal, as shown in the next section.


4. He isotope measurement

Multiple experiments with ^3He injection were performed during OP2.3, with the main focus on the ion cyclotron resonance heating (ICRH) [16] minority heating. To detect the relative concentrations of helium isotopes, a number of ^3He and ^4He injection experiments were conducted specifically to test the He isotope distinction capabilities of the Optix. With the Optix still in Pos.1 no signal could be generated within the given restrictions of the experiment, regardless of integration time. After moving the Optix to Pos.2, we performed a dedicated experiment with alternating ^3He and ^4He gas puffs with increasing duration. As an example, we will discuss W7-X program 20 250 521.41 whose key quantities are shown in figure 3. It is a 15 s long plasma performed in the high-iota configuration and heated with 3 MV of electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) [18]. A series of alternating ^3He and ^4He gas puffs was injected, where the latter were kept constant and the former were exponentially increasing to scan a range of concentrations. Due to the helium injections, the helium content of the plasma increased up to 60% and line integrated electron density rose to nearly $1 \cdot 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-2}$. Figure 4 shows the



Optix measurement, with the full spectrum in the top panel and the evolution of the lines in question in the bottom panel.

The helium content of the plasma shows very pronounced steps while increasing, and the DRGA data shows a resemblance of these steps as well, but much less pronounced already. The Optix measurement of ^4He shows the same overall trend, but no time resolution of the injection is visible.



Furthermore, the signal continues to increase with only a slight change in slope after plasma termination, where we expect the neutralized plasma being pumped out. The ^3He signal follows the trend of ^4He in both isotope-resolving measurements, but at a lower magnitude and without visible steps. There is no measurable amount of neon detected in the DRGA, thus the Ne line in figure 4 can be considered noise.

This shows that we can spectroscopically distinguish helium isotopes, but the current setup is not capable of delivering a time resolution at par with the existing mass spectrometry diagnostic. The issue is not so much a shortcoming of the Optix system itself, but the connection to the gas flow of the plasma which needs to be both well compressed and timely, to achieve signals with usable fidelity.

An application to the ICRH three-ion heating scheme is shown in figure 5, where about 10% ^3He in ^4He was measured. This matches well with the target composition, as well as measured data from mass spectrometry.



5. Plasma spectroscopy

The FPS was designed for the observation of a closely controlled low-temperature plasma with only weak magnetic field. Due to the availability of sightlines into the W7-X plasma, we conducted a test of the spectrometer on a core sightline and on an edge sightlines. The experiments conducted and results thereof are reported in this section.

5.1. Sightline through the plasma core

During ^3He injection tests a line broadening of the helium line at 667.8 nm was observed in an overview spectrometer observing the plasma from the outer midplane through the plasma core. This prompted the question whether the FPS would be capable of resolving the assumed isotopic line split. The FPS was set up to operate on the given fibre with a $400\ \mu\text{m}$ core and a $\text{NA} = 0.22$, and observed the plasma for four experimental sessions via the W7X port AEM41. A strong, but varying background was observed, with pronounced features to either side of the spectral range. This was strongly correlated with the plasma heating phase and is thus at least partially attributed to plasma background.

In an attempt to identify the featured adjacent to the specified spectral range a spectrum was stitched from the manual analysis software by moving the ROI horizontally. The example raw data and original



and shifted ROIs are shown in figure 6, and the resulting stitched spectrum along with adjacent candidate lines in figure 7. The wavelength of the spectrum is adjusted to match the ⁴He I line at 667.815 nm and shifted spectra are adjusted to make an approximate match in the overlap areas. The indicated candidate lines do not align well with the observed shapes, which might only be partially attributed to Doppler shift. A more likely explanation is line splitting of the ⁴He I line by Zeeman splitting, as described in [20, 21]. A simulation of split line with an angle of 85° and a magnetic field strength of 2.1 T shows a reasonable agreement with the observed line-like features. Additionally, an influence of higher orders from the Fabry–Perot interferogram is expected to contribute to the overall spectrum. Therefore, an attribution of the observed signals is only partially possible.




Figure 8. Exemplary measurement from the core plasma sightline with a visible He I line, a line close to the expected ^3He I line and the plasma background features at high and low wavelength boundary of the spectral range. Panel (a): Measurement overview as in figure 4. Panel (b): Intensity over time for three relevant wavelengths. The signals do not necessarily represent the presence of indicated species. Panel (c): Plot of all spectra altogether. Besides the dominating He I line the extra line near the expected ^3He I and the plasma background features and sigma components from the Zeeman splitting at high and low wavelengths can clearly be seen.

With a more He-rich plasma the observed spectra were dominated by the He I line, but the background to either side did not disappear. The He I line intensity shows clear modulation with the measured plasma He concentration, and the plasma background varies with plasma density. An example measurement is shown in figure 8, where all of the mentioned features are clearly visible.

The remarkable line-like feature at 667.86 nm near the expected ^3He I line appeared despite no ^3He was injected. This was consistently observed, and indicates that despite the proximity to an existing line the feature is not connected to ^3He . The absence of ^3He was also confirmed via mass spectrometry.

5.2. Sightline through the plasma edge

An edge spectroscopy sightline observing the newly installed upstream He-beam used during experiments with an He-Ne mixture injection with the goal of spectrally resolving He I at 667.81 nm and Ne I at 667.83 nm. The He-Ne mixture was prepared with a mixing ratio of 4:3, but no direct measurement of the injected gas composition was performed.




Figure 9. All spectra (grey) with significant He I line from the programs 20 250 514.47...51 with He–Ne gas mix injection at the midplane gas inlet. The averaged spectrum is shown in black, and expected line centres are indicated with dashed lines. While the He I signal is visible above noise, the Ne I line can not be distinguished from the noise.

The gas mixture was injected into four plasma programs with seven pulses of 150 ms length at a gas reservoir pressure of 47 mbar, yielding hardly any perturbation of the plasma due to the small amount of gas injected. In a following program, the gas was injected continuously for 2 s, which emptied the gas reservoir nearly completely.

From the resulting data all spectra with a visible He I line were selected and averaged. The result is shown in figure 9. A small He I signal can be seen, but there is no signal for Ne I to be observed from the acquired data.

6. Conclusion

We have shown the first field test of the Optix, and could successfully demonstrate the spectroscopically assisted isotope composition measurement of the exhaust stream in an operating fusion experiment. The results of this test with ^3He and ^4He are promising, and should be extended to H, D and T, as their accounting is crucial for a fusion reactor. Great care is to be taken for the design of the gas supply of the Optix. To achieve the desired goals of a sufficient time resolution in the order of 1 s and sensitivity below 1% the gas stream needs to be sufficiently compressed and ideally be free of additional gases. Contrary to mass spectrometers, an OGA requires a large gas throughput to achieve full diagnostic capabilities. This challenges the envisaged concept of an exhaust gas analysis by chained analysers—mass spectrometer and OGA—on the same gas stream, and a split of these two technologies might be warranted for the benefit of both of them.

The time resolution of the isotope measurement could not be determined within this field test, as the gas sampling conditions did not allow sufficiently clear signals. With an improved sampling position, ideally without nitrogen admixture, this is expected to improve significantly. With these improvements, an OGA with such a high-resolution spectrometer promises to give access to ideally real-time isotopic composition measurement in the exhaust stream with a device much less sensitive to fringing magnetic field than comparable methods, such as mass spectrometers.

The application of the FPS to the observation of the high-temperature plasma of W7-X was only partly successful. While some He I could be measured, and parts of the features are at least qualitatively explained with Zeeman splitting, some features of the spectra remain unclear and the benefits of relatively constant low-temperature plasma discharge for gas composition measurement as found in the remote plasma generator become very obvious.

Acknowledgments

This project has been supported by UK Atomic Energy Authority (UKAEA) through the Fusion Industry Programme (FIP). The FIP is stimulating the growth of the UK fusion ecosystem and preparing it for

the future global fusion power plant market. More information about the FIP can be found online; <https://ccfe.ukaea.uk/programmes/fusion-industry-programme/>.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Commission. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission can be held responsible for them.

Data availability statement

The data cannot be made publicly available upon publication because they contain commercially sensitive information. The data that support the findings of this study are available upon reasonable request from the authors.

ORCID iDs

G Schlisio  0000-0002-5430-0645
M Law  0000-0002-2165-5503
C C Klepper  0000-0001-9107-8337
E Delabie  0000-0001-9834-874X
P Zs Pölöskei  0000-0001-7781-5599
Y M Boumendjel  0009-0009-3480-3964
F B T Siddiki  0000-0002-0474-300X
M Krychowiak  0009-0001-4141-5558

References

- [1] Brindley J et al 2024 *Proc. IFHTSE*
- [2] Brindley J et al 2025 *Proc. SOFE*
- [3] Wade M R et al 1995 *J. Nucl. Mater.* **220** 178–82
- [4] Hillis D L, Morgan P D, Ehrenberg J K, Groth M, Stamp M F, von Hellermann M and Kumar V 1999 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **70** 359–62
- [5] Klepper C C, Biewer T M, Marcus C, Andrew P, Gardner W L, Graves V B and Hughes S 2017 *J. Instrum.* **12** C10012
- [6] Colchin R J, Hillis D L, Maingi R, Klepper C C and Brooks N H 2003 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **74** 2068–70
- [7] Grulke O et al 2024 *Nucl. Fusion* **64** 112002
- [8] Grulke O et al IAEA FEC 2025
- [9] Ongena J et al 2020 *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2254** 070003
- [10] Kazakov Y et al 2017 *Nat. Phys.* **13** 973–8
- [11] Vartanian S et al 2021 *Fusion Eng. Des.* **170** 112511
- [12] Hernandez G 1986 *Fabry-Perot Interferometers* (Cambridge University Press)
- [13] Grahl M et al 2017 *Fusion Eng. Des.* **123** 1015–9
- [14] Schlisio G, Klepper C C, Harris J H, Biewer T M, Winters V R, Wenzel U, Kornejew P, Laqua H and Krychowiak M 2019 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **90** 093501
- [15] Schlisio G, Ravelli F A, Klepper C C, Harris J H, Biewer T M, Marcus C, Kharwandikar A K, Naujoks D and Kremeyer T 2022 *IEEE Trans. Plasma Sci.* **50** 4120–5
- [16] Ongena J et al 2023 *Fusion Eng. Des.* **192** 113627
- [17] Ford O et al 2024 *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **95** 083526
- [18] Laqua H P et al 2023 *EPJ Web Conf.* **277** p 04003
- [19] 2024 NIST Atomic Spectra Database (<https://doi.org/10.18434/T4W30F>)
- [20] Gradic D et al 2021 *Nucl. Fusion* **61** 106041
- [21] Gradic D, Krychowiak M, König R, Henke F, Otte M, Perseo V and Pedersen T S (W7-X Team) 2022 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **64** 075010