



Rheology of Enzyme Liquefied Corn Stover Slurries: The Effect of Solids Concentration on Yielding and Flow Behavior

Journal:	<i>Biotechnology Progress</i>
Manuscript ID	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Szeto, Ryan; Purdue University, School of Materials Engineering Overton, Jonathan; Purdue University, Laboratory of Renewable Resources Engineering (LORRE); Purdue University, Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering dos Santos, Antonio Carlos Freitas; Purdue University, Laboratory of Renewable Resources Engineering (LORRE); Purdue University, Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering Eby, Clark; Purdue University, Laboratory of Renewable Resources Engineering (LORRE); Purdue University, Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering Mosier, Nathan; Purdue University, Laboratory of Renewable Resources Engineering (LORRE); Purdue University, Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering Ximenes, Eduardo; Purdue University, Laboratory of Renewable Resources Engineering (LORRE); Purdue University, Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering Ladisch, Michael; Purdue University, Laboratory of Renewable Resources Engineering (LORRE); Purdue University, Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering; Purdue University, Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering Erk, Kendra; Purdue University, School of Materials Engineering</p>
Keywords:	corn stover, cellulases, biomass, slurry handling, rheometry

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

**Rheology of Enzyme Liquefied Corn Stover Slurries:
The Effect of Solids Concentration on Yielding and Flow Behavior**

Ryan Szeto¹, Jonathan C. Overton^{2,3}, Antonio Freitas dos Santos^{2,3}, Clark Eby^{2,3}, Nathan S. Mosier^{2,3}, Eduardo Ximenes^{2,3}, Michael R. Ladisch^{2,3,4}, Kendra A. Erk^{1*}

¹ School of Materials Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

² Laboratory of Renewable Resources Engineering (LORRE), Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

³ Department of Agricultural and Biological Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

⁴ Weldon School of Biomedical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

* Corresponding author (K. Erk) at: 701 West Stadium Avenue, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2045, USA. +1-765-494-4118 erk@purdue.edu

Abstract

The measurement of yield stress and shear thinning flow behavior of corn stover slurries at initial solids loadings of 100 to 300 g/L provides a key metric for the ability to move, pump, and mix this lignocellulosic slurry, particularly since corn stover slurries represent a major potential feedstock for biorefineries. This study compared static yield stress values and flow hysteresis of corn stover slurries of 100, 150, 200, 250, and 300 g/L, after these slurries were formed by adding pellets to a cellulase enzyme solution (Celluclast 1.5L) in a fed-batch manner. Dynamic light scattering and optical imaging were used to determine corn stover particle size distributions, and a rotational rheometer was used to quantitate relative yield stress and its dependence on processing history at insoluble solids concentrations of 4 to 21% (w/v). Key findings confirmed previous observations that yield stress increases with solids loadings and reaches ~3,000 Pa at 25% (w/v) initial solids concentration compared to ~200 Pa after enzyme liquefaction. While optimization of slurry forming (i.e., liquefaction) conditions is still to be done, metrics for quantifying liquefaction extent are needed. The method for obtaining comparative metrics is demonstrated here and shows

1
2
3 rheological properties of enzyme liquefied corn stover slurries can be analyzed using a wide-gap
4 rheometry setup employing a starch pasting impeller or vane spindle.
5
6
7

8 **1.0 Introduction**

9

10 Currently, a large majority of biofuels are produced from corn grain as it can be
11 economically converted to ethanol at an industrial scale.^{1,2} Although these first-generation biofuels
12 are a potential renewable energy source, there are concerns regarding its use and competition with
13 the demand for food.³ To advance the use of non-food plant products for renewable energy,
14 second-generation biofuels made from lignocellulose biomass are being explored, such as corn
15 stover which includes the stalks, cobs, and leaves of corn plants.^{4,5}
16
17
18
19
20

21 To increase the economic feasibility of generating ethanol from corn stover, it is desirable
22 to utilize existing processing equipment at grain-to-ethanol biorefineries. Handling and processing
23 corn stover solids directly are technically challenging so instead, water-based slurries are created
24 to simplify feeding into pretreatment reactors. For a biorefinery to become financially solvent, the
25 concentration of corn stover solids in the slurry must be relatively high at 200 to 300 g/L.⁶ At high
26 solids concentrations, biomass slurries typically exhibit non-Newtonian flow behavior including
27 shear thinning in addition to displaying considerable yield stresses and viscosities.^{7–10} Such flow
28 behavior can inhibit mixing and transport during processing and increases operational costs.¹¹
29 Thus, knowledge of a slurry's yield stress is critical for biorefinery design so that capital equipment
30 can be properly sized to overcome this stress to initiate and effectively mix and transport the
31 biomass.⁷
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 In this study, the ability of an enzyme to liquefy untreated corn stover particles within
42 slurries of varied initial solids concentrations (10-30%, w/v) was evaluated through rheological
43 measurements of static yield stress given its relevance to real-world manufacturing operations
44 (e.g., recovery after maintenance shutdowns). Measurements were conducted in a rotational
45 rheometer with a wide-gap setup employing two relative measuring geometries (starch pasting
46 impeller and 4-blade vane spindle) to accommodate the heterogenous, two-phase slurries, which
47 contained solid particles up to 3 mm in size and aspect ratios of 1 to 35. Flow hysteresis
48 measurements were also performed to assess the dependence of static yield stress on the slurry's
49 processing history.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

2.0 Background

Enzyme-based biomass liquefaction processes can be successfully utilized to reduce the overall viscosity and yield stress of corn stover slurries.^{7,8} The concepts for liquefaction for cellulosic biomass materials including sugarcane bagasse and hardwoods have been established and reported previously.^{12–16} Liquefaction and enzymatic hydrolysis can be performed to process cellulose without pretreatment (e.g., corn pericarp¹⁷). On-going research to determine how lignin interferes with enzyme hydrolysis of cellulose and strategies for mitigating inhibition and deactivation (e.g., use of endoglucanase¹⁸) will continue to reduce operational costs of liquefaction by reducing the enzyme loadings necessary for high conversion of cellulose to sugars and fuels.¹⁹

The yield stress of a slurry will impose the minimum power requirements for processing equipment in a biorefinery.⁷ The magnitude of a slurry’s yield stress is positively correlated to the packing efficiency of solid particles and, ultimately, the number of frictional contacts.^{20–22} Following enzymatic liquefaction, the biomass slurry will contain a reduced concentration of insoluble solids, and the remaining solid particles will become negatively buoyant and settle if processing operations (e.g., mixing, pumping) are halted.^{23–25} The static yield stress of the slurry is the necessary amount of stress to disrupt the frictional contacts between settled particles as required for the onset of flow while the dynamic yield stress is the minimum amount of stress necessary to maintain flow.

Many biomass systems, including corn stover slurries, are notoriously difficult to evaluate due to slurry heterogeneity, both in size and shape of the solid particles as well as concentration. These structural characteristics contribute to frequently observed non-Newtonian and non-uniform flow behaviors, including shear thinning, yielding, and wall slip among others. Corn stover particles can have large sizes that can range to lengths 2 mm and greater.⁷ During rheometry experiments, larger particles may settle due to gravity, migrate, and exhibit wall slip, all of which will directly impact the applied/detected torque. In dilute slurries, particle settling will initially result in a decreased torque response as deformation is localized to the low-viscosity fluid layer above the settled particles. Then upon complete sedimentation, a greater torque magnitude is typically measured from the highly viscous layer that forms at the bottom of the cell.²⁶ A decreased torque response can also be observed for dilute slurries as particles migrate away from the moving

1
2
3 fixture to the stationary wall.²⁷ For dilute as well as concentrated slurries, large particles can also
4 physically “bridge” the gap within absolute measuring geometries (e.g., small-gap parallel-plate
5 and cone-plate fixtures) and generate an increased torque response. Also, it is expected that the
6 concentrated, more “paste-like” slurries will exhibit wall slip that may be difficult to visually detect
7 but will ultimately reduce the measured torque.²⁸ Sample fracture and ejection during the
8 measurement of concentrated slurries is also possible and will reduce the measured torque.^{7,29} As
9 many of these flow behaviors ultimately lead to reductions in the measured torque, the calculated
10 stress response will likely be artificially reduced and not a true reflection of the slurry’s rheology.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Selection of an appropriate rheometer measuring geometry can potentially reduce the
19 impact of slurry heterogeneity and the resulting measurement artifacts on the acquired data. In a
20 comprehensive inter-lab study by Stickel et al.⁷, roughened parallel-plate geometries were
21 employed successfully for biomass slurries, although containment collars were required to prevent
22 material ejection during shear. Wide-gap rheometer setups (e.g., gaps > 1 mm) are often
23 recommended for use with biomass slurries to reduce the likelihood that individual large particles
24 will span the gap and directly influence the measurements. When using a wide-gap fixture,
25 calibrations should be performed to convert angular velocity to shear rate since the flow field varies
26 from the more conventional small-gap fixtures.³⁰ Relative measuring geometries such as
27 roughened or serrated plates and vane spindle geometries can be used to mitigate (though not
28 entirely prevent) wall slip while multi-blade starch pasting impellers can be used to mitigate the
29 effects of both wall slip, migration, and settling by promoting mixing during the measurement.^{31,32}
30 An additional advantage of blade-type spindles is their general resemblance to industrial
31 mixers.^{33,34} When using relative measuring geometries, however, additional calibration steps must
32 be performed to determine the appropriate conversion factors.²⁸
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Furthermore, after selecting and calibrating the appropriate measuring geometry, the actual
45 analysis of stress responses from sheared biomass slurries can be challenging. In particular, for a
46 corn stover slurry, knowledge of its yield stress is considered critical to assess the slurry’s relative
47 ability to be pumped. However, there is no universal protocol or technique to determine yield stress
48 and different strategies produce values that can vary by orders of magnitudes.³⁵ Methods for
49 measuring the yield stress of corn stover slurries include: (1) decreasing and increasing shear rate
50 ramps (flow curves) which can often be described by empirical models to determine a single yield
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

stress value (e.g., Bingham, Hershel-Bulkley, Casson models for “simple” yield stress fluids); (2) constant low shear rate tests where the yield stress is calculated from the maximum torque; and (3) oscillatory amplitude sweeps where the yield stress is defined as the maximum elastic stress.^{7–11,23} However, corn stover slurries are not simple yield stress fluids because the measured yield stress will depend on the deformation history.³³ In these thixotropic systems, there are (at least) two yield stresses – static and dynamic – which can be measured from an increasing shear rate ramp and a decreasing shear rate ramp, respectively.³⁵

3.0 Methods

3.1 Materials and Enzyme Liquefaction

Corn stover (a Pioneer P0157 AMX cultivar) was harvested in Poweshiek County, Iowa, USA in the fall of 2017. Complete details on corn stover processing – harvesting, baling, moisture content adjustments, sizing, milling, pelleting, and compositional analysis – can be found in dos Santos, et al.³⁶ Briefly, field-dried corn stover was harvested in multiple passes by windrower and baler. Baled corn stover was then adjusted to 26% (w/w) moisture content, hammer-milled and sieved to a pre-determined particle size distribution with a geometric mean of 1.68 ± 0.22 mm. Milled stover was formed into 6-mm pellets with 15% (w/w) moisture content by a ring die pellet mill. The chemical composition of the corn stover pellets was determined according to National Renewable Energy Laboratory Analytical Protocols (LAPs)^{37,38} and falls within the general ranges of corn stover compositions, although ash content was significantly higher (19.2% on a dry basis) than typical ash contents (3–4%) likely due to rainy conditions and increased entrainment of soil particles in the corn stover during conventional three pass harvesting.^{34,39,40}

Enzymatically liquefied slurries were created at a scale of 300 ml with solids loadings of 10, 15, 20, 25, or 30 % (w/v). First, 0.1M citrate buffer (pH = 4.8) was added to a wide-mouth beaker, then Celluclast 1.5L enzyme cocktail (Sigma Aldrich) was added to the beaker at a loading of 10 FPU per gram of solid material. For control samples, no enzyme was added. Buffer and enzyme were brought to 50°C in a water bath under agitation at 300 RPM. Two marine impellers were used in an up-down configuration, where the impeller at the surface of the media pushed liquid downwards and the impeller placed 1 cm from the bottom of the flask pushed liquid upwards

in the reactor. After the liquefaction media reached 50°C, corn stover pellets were added in a fed-batch manner: 66 g dry solid/L was added initially followed by 47 g/L at 0.5 h and 1 h, 31 g/L at 1.5 h, and 15 g/L every 0.5 h until the desired concentration was achieved. Liquefaction was carried out for a total of 6 hours. At the end of liquefaction, agitation was stopped, and all liquids and solids were collected in a screw-top flask and stored at 4°C for further analysis.

3.2 Particle Size Analysis

Dynamic light scattering (DLS) and optical microscopy were used to quantify the size and aspect ratio of solid particles in the untreated control and enzyme treated corn stover slurry with an initial solids concentration of 30%. To measure particle sizes below 10µm, DLS was conducted using a Malvern Zetasizer ZS and samples were diluted by 300x in deionized water to facilitate data collection. To measure particle sizes above 10µm (the detection limit of the DLS), an optical microscope (Olympus S7X7) was used to collect images of diluted corn stover particles on a glass slide. ImageJ was used to measure the major and minor axes of all visible particles that were above 10 µm in one dimension. In 10 images per sample, a minimum of 100 particles were measured of enzyme treated and untreated control samples.

3.3 Yield Stress and Shear History Measurements

Rotational rheometry of corn stover slurries was performed using an Anton Paar MCR 702 operated in a shear rate-controlled mode. A starch pasting impeller (Anton Paar ST24-2D/2V/2V-30; diameter of 24mm and an active length of 30mm; see Figure S1) was employed for all concentration slurries. For higher concentration slurries (20, 25, and 30%), a 4-bladed vane spindle (Anton Paar ST24-4V-30/24; see Figure S1) was also utilized with a spindle diameter of 24mm and blade length of 30mm. All measurements were conducted in a glass beaker setup with an inner diameter of 56mm (gap = 16mm) using the Anton Paar Flexible Cup Holder shown Figure S1. As this is a non-standard and relative measuring system, rheometer software adjustments and calibrations were performed using a heavy mineral oil (details in Supporting Information). And unlike parallel-plate geometries,⁷ the use of a cup-style geometry better contained the solid particles in the slurry as well as free fluid during measurements over a wide range of shear rates.

After hand mixing and loading a slurry sample into the glass beaker, the rheometer measuring fixture (vane spindle or starch pasting impeller) was lowered into the sample and 15

minutes of rest was allowed to account for shear effects from loading. Increasing shear rate ramp (flow curve) experiments were conducted at room temperature on the corn stover slurries between shear rates of $0.1\text{--}1000\text{s}^{-1}$ to determine the apparent static yield stress, quantified as the maximum shear stress experienced at low shear rates during testing.^{10,34,41} Note that shear rates of $0.1\text{--}100\text{s}^{-1}$ were previously found to be similar to impeller speed (1–400 RPM) in a 1L Bioflo stirred reactor.³⁴ For 30% concentration slurries, an additional constant low shear rate test was conducted at room temperature using the vane spindle at a shear rate of 0.1s^{-1} , and the static yield stress was identified as the maximum shear stress observed. Flow curve and constant rate tests were repeated with fresh samples a total of three times. The effects of shear history on the behavior of 15% and 20% concentration slurries were evaluated by a series of sequential flow curve measurements (starch pasting impeller; increasing shear rates from $0.1\text{--}1000\text{s}^{-1}$) separated by progressively increasing zero-shear “resting” periods of 1–360 minutes in duration.

4.0 Results and Discussion

4.1 Slurry Heterogeneity

Shown in Figure 1 are images of selected slurry samples following enzyme liquefaction to highlight the visible differences with increasing solids concentration. The slurry transitioned from a settled two-phase mixture (Figure 1a of a 10% slurry) to a thick paste (Figure 1c of a 30% slurry).

Milled and pelleted corn stover is expected to have a large particle size range that will change during processing due to a combination of mechanical breakage and enzyme action during the fed-batch processing.³⁶ The optical microscope image shown in Figure 2 confirms that the untreated corn stover slurries were heterogeneous in solid particle size and shape. Additionally, the aspect ratio of the solid particles varied between 1 to 35. Figure 3a displays the particle size distribution for the “large” solids that were measured optically (*i.e.*, those $> 10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) from an untreated (control) and enzyme-treated slurry. The average particle size decreased from $950 \pm 100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for the control sample to $800 \pm 80\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ for the enzyme-treated slurry. For the “small” solids that were measured by DLS (*i.e.*, those $< 10\text{ }\mu\text{m}$), the data in Figure 3b displayed a bimodal distribution for the enzyme-treated sample, suggesting that some particles at this size range were reduced in size during the liquefaction process. The observed decreases in particle size with liquefaction are consistent with other reports in literature. For example, Viamajala, et al.¹⁰

concluded that corn stover slurries containing small particles were better suited for transport and downstream processing than slurries containing larger particles.

4.2 Flow Behavior of Low Concentration Slurries (10-15%)

For relatively low loadings of corn stover, the slurry contained two distinct regions with a settled bed of corn stover particles surrounded by liquid (see Figure 1a). Figure 4 shows the shear stress and viscosity responses measured using the starch pasting impeller for slurries initially containing 10% and 15% solids. The low concentration slurries displayed distinct behaviors dependent on shear rate. At very low shear rates ($<1\text{s}^{-1}$), an increase in shear stress was observed as the settled particles formed frictional contacts with each other. As the shear rate was increased to 1s^{-1} , the measured shear stress increased to a local maximum which was defined here as the yield stress. For both the 10% and 15% concentration slurries, the enzyme-treated samples displayed lower yield stress values than the untreated (control) slurry samples (Figure 4a). With enzyme liquefaction, the average yield stress of the treated 10% slurry samples was reduced by ~53%, from 50.0 ± 8.4 Pa for the untreated sample to 23.9 ± 3.9 Pa. Similarly, with enzyme liquefaction, the average yield stress of the treated 15% slurry samples was reduced by 32%, from 107.0 ± 13.8 Pa for the untreated slurry to 72.5 ± 4.4 Pa.

After yielding, decreasing shear stress and viscosity values were observed with increasing shear rates ($1\text{-}100\text{s}^{-1}$). This shear thinning behavior clearly displayed in Figure 4b likely resulted from particle alignment and rearrangement. With increased shear, it is expected that particles align in the direction of the applied shear forces.^{42,43} At very high shear rates ($>100\text{s}^{-1}$), increasing shear stress and viscosity values were observed with increasing shear rates. It is likely that this shear thickening behavior resulted from particles being resuspended by the applied shear and the resulting turbulent flow at these fast shear rates. The thinning-to-thickening inflection point in the shear stress response of these slurries was the point at which particles transition from a settled state to a resuspended state, as reported by Crawford et al.³³ in a system with settled α -cellulose particles. In such a transition, the settled particle bed begins to erode starting from the top layer into the liquid phase of the slurry until all the particles were suspended throughout the entirety of the liquid volume.

4.3 Flow Behavior of Moderate Concentration Slurries (20-25%)

Figure 5 shows the shear stress and viscosity responses measured using the starch pasting impeller for slurries initially containing 20% and 25% solids. Similar to the low concentration slurries, for both the 20% and 25% concentration slurries, the enzyme-treated samples displayed lower yield stress values than the untreated (control) slurry samples (Figure 5a). With enzyme liquefaction, the average yield stress of the treated 20% slurry samples was reduced by 89%, from 772 ± 127 Pa for the untreated slurry to 87.4 ± 45.3 Pa. Similarly, with enzyme liquefaction, the yield stress of the treated 25% slurry was reduced by 93%, from $3,080 \pm 473$ Pa for the untreated slurry to 229 ± 30 Pa.

After yielding, the observed decreases in shear stress and viscosity were due to corn stover solids migrating away from the moving rheometer fixture as the shear rate was increased. Such particle migration resulted in a depletion layer near the fixture that contained a lower concentration of particles, resulting in reduced measured torque values. The appearance of a depletion layer is common in wide-gap rheometer cells during measurement of two-phase materials^{33,44} and has been verified via magnetic resonance imaging.⁴⁵ The increase in shear stress at high shear rates (Figure 5a, $>100\text{s}^{-1}$) was attributed to shear-induced turbulence within the low-viscosity depletion layer, and in comparison to the low concentration slurries (Figure 4b), minimal shear thickening behavior was detected for the moderate concentration slurries due to the reduced stress responses resulting from depletion layer formation (Figure 5b).

4.4 Flow Behavior of High Concentration Slurries (30%)

To determine the yield stress of the paste-like high concentration corn stover slurries, both a flow curve and a constant shear rate test were performed; representative curves are shown in Figure 6a and b, respectively. Compared to the lower concentration slurries (Figures 4 and 5), greater yield stress values were observed for these slurries, attributed to the large number of frictional contacts between solid particles and the relatively low amount of free water available for lubrication.¹⁰ Similar to the low and moderate concentration slurries, for the 30% concentration slurry, the enzyme-treated samples displayed a lower average yield stress value of $3,410 \pm 511$ Pa than the untreated (control) slurry with an average yield stress of $6,810 \pm 737$ Pa, which is a 50% reduction with enzyme liquefaction. The yield stress values determined from the two rheometry tests as well as from multiple trials of each test were found to be similar: e.g., for the enzyme-

1
2
3 treated samples, a yield stress value of 3,600 Pa was determined from the flow curve (Figure 6a)
4 and a yield stress value of 3,700 Pa was determined from the constant shear rate test (Figure 6b).

5 6 7 4.5 Comparison of Initial and Insoluble Solids Yield Stress Results

8
9
10 As shown in Figure 7a, slurries that were treated with enzymes displayed significantly
11 reduced values of yield stress compared to untreated slurries at every concentration of initial solids.
12 The yield stress for low and high concentration slurries displayed reductions of approximately 30-
13 50% with liquefaction while moderate concentration slurries displayed reductions of 90%. The
14 greater yield stress reduction that was observed for moderate concentration slurries was likely due
15 to more efficient mixing of these slurries relative to lower and higher concentrations.
16
17
18
19

20
21 Figure 7a includes average yield stress values measured using both the starch pasting
22 impeller and vane spindle. Data points almost completely overlapped, indicating that the measured
23 stress responses of these slurries were independent of the rheometer fixture type. Both the starch
24 pasting impeller and vane spindle have the same diameter and total height which likely resulted in
25 the generation of similar shear gradients during rheometry measurements.
26
27
28
29

30
31 The majority of the data plotted in Figure 7a was generated from increasing shear rate ramp
32 tests (flow curves). However, for the 30% concentration samples, the yield stress values indicated
33 by the diamond points (labelled “0.1s” in the legend) were determined by constant shear rate tests
34 performed at 0.1 s^{-1} (e.g., displayed in Figure 6b). The overlapping data points in Figure 7a for the
35 30% slurries indicate the independence of the measured yield stress values from the particular
36 rheometry test.
37
38
39
40

41
42 To better determine the impact of liquefaction, the total amount of insoluble solids was
43 determined for each slurry and is reported in Table 1. Unfortunately due to sample contamination,
44 data was not recovered for the 15% treated slurry. Reduced amounts of insoluble solids were
45 measured in the untreated slurries, likely due to mechanical breaking of solid particles during
46 processing. The amount of insoluble solids decreased further following enzymatic liquefaction.
47 Thus, the reduced yield stress values of the enzyme liquefied samples displayed in Figure 7a
48 compared to the untreated samples were likely due in part to the reduction in the total amount of
49 insoluble solids in each slurry.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Figure 7b displays the yield stress values of Figure 7a as a function of the concentration of insoluble solids for each slurry. Others^{7–10,46} have observed yield stress increases with concentration of insoluble solids following a power law function:

$$\tau_y = aC_m^b,$$

where C_m is the insoluble solids concentration in a biomass slurry and values for a and b are empirical. The untreated slurries were better described by a power law function ($b = 4.9 \pm 0.3$) compared to the enzyme liquefied slurries ($b = 1.8 \pm 0.7$). Fitting parameters are known to differ based on feedstock characteristics and processing parameters and, in particular, the exponent b can vary from ca. 2–8.^{7,47} Stickel, et al.⁷ compiled round-robin data from multiple laboratories on sheared pretreated corn stover slurries containing 5–30 wt.% insoluble solids; yield stress values ranged from ca. 1–40,000 Pa and were best described by a power law function with $b = 5.7 \pm 0.5$. The authors suggested that smaller power law values (e.g., 2–4 for wood fiber systems^{46,48–50} and in simulations⁵¹) might be due to the more uniform morphology of the solids in the slurry.

For the corn stover slurries investigated in this study, the observed reductions in relative yield stress following enzymatic liquefaction were likely due to a combination of physical and chemical factors. In addition to decreases in total insoluble solids content due to liquefaction (Table 1), reduced yield stress could also result from decreases in particle size (Figure 3a and b). In general, pretreatment and enzyme liquefaction processes modify the structure of the biomass to allow for more access to fermentable sugars.^{52–54} Viamajala, et al.¹⁰ observed similar behavior for corn stover slurries and suggested that smaller particles would entrain less water in their porous structures, resulting in a decreased stress response. Additionally, particle surface roughness was likely modified during liquefaction, and increases in surface roughness can lead to increased friction coefficients.⁵⁵ Further evaluation of physical property changes (e.g., elastic or bending moduli of solids) following enzyme liquefaction should be conducted in the future to gain a more complete understanding of the flow properties of corn stover slurries.

4.6 Dependence of Yield Stress on Flow History

The effect of shear flow history on slurry yield stress was evaluated for untreated (control) and enzyme-treated slurry samples with initial solids concentrations of 15% and 20%. The sequential flow curve measurements separated by various resting times ranging from 5 minutes to

3 hours are shown in Figure 8 for 15% slurry samples. All yield stress percent recovery values following each rest duration are reported in Table 2 with complete flow responses in Figure S2. The enzyme-treated sample (Figure 8a) displayed near full recovery (95%) of its “initial” yield stress after 3 hours of rest. In contrast, the untreated 15% sample displayed reduced yield stress values even after 6 hours of rest, reaching only 50% of its initial yield stress value after 3 hours of rest (Figure 8b). The persistent reduction of yield stress for the untreated 15% slurry sample was likely due to the solid particles retaining some alignment from the previous flow curve tests. Indeed, shear-induced alignment may be more lasting for untreated corn stover particles due to their overall larger size and greater aspect ratios relative to enzyme-treated particles.^{10,23,24}

As shown in Table 2 (and Figure S2), both the untreated and enzyme-treated 20% slurry samples did not fully recover their initial yield stress values within the timescale of the experiment. After 3 hours of rest, the untreated and enzyme treated samples only recovered 35% and 58%, respectively, of their initial yield stress values. This prolonged reduction in yield stress was a result of particles permanently migrating to the outer wall of the glass beaker setup. As previously discussed, such particle migration would result in a depleted zone near the measuring fixture, resulting in a decreased torque response and subsequent stress response. Data from slurry samples containing 25% and 30% initial solids concentrations were not analyzed due to extreme particle migration resulting in very low values of torque (and significant noise) for subsequent flow tests following the initial response.

5.0 Conclusions

Rotational rheometry experiments were conducted to evaluate the flow behavior of enzymatically liquefied corn stover slurries containing 10 to 30% initial solids concentrations. Dynamic light scattering and optical microscopy revealed that enzyme liquefaction reduced the average corn stover particle size. Yield stress values were determined from increasing shear rate ramps (flow curves) utilizing a starch pasting impeller or vane spindle within a glass beaker. The advantage of using a wide-gap rheometry setup with relative measuring geometries is the ability to recreate conditions that may exist in a mixing vessel of a bioreactor while applying controlled and precise levels of strain. The effect of flow history on 15% and 20% concentration slurries was quantified from sequential flow curve experiments separated by various resting times.

The yield stress of corn stover biomass slurries increased with the initial concentration of solid particles. Similar to other reports, yield stress values were modelled by a power law as a function of insoluble solids concentrations. Following enzymatic liquefaction with Celluclast 1.5L, yield stresses of all enzyme-treated slurries were significantly reduced compared to untreated slurries, by at least ~30-50% for low and high concentration slurries and at most ~90% for moderate concentration slurries. Flow history experiments revealed that reduced yield stress values continued to be observed following an initial period of shearing after more than 6 hours of resting for some slurries. Practically, sequential flow curve measurements could be used to inform plant operators as to what could happen within a biorefinery following operational shutdowns.

Future work could extend the methods described here to measure dynamic yield stress values for corn stover slurries. Predictive models that include both dynamic and static yield stress values would be useful when simulating mixing and flow of biomass.⁵⁶ Additional characterization of individual corn stover particles properties (*e.g.*, surface roughness, elastic and bending modulus) could also provide greater understanding of slurry flow behavior and yielding.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the United States Department of Energy Bioenergy Technologies Office (DOE-BETO) under contract DE-EE0008256. The views expressed in this presentation do not necessarily represent the views of the DOE or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this work, or allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes.

Declarations

MRL was CTO of Mascoma from 2007 to 2013, and consultant in 2014. Mascoma developed and scaled-up consolidated bioprocessing of hardwood slurries. MRL holds equity in Mascoma (acquired by Lallemand and Renmatix, respectively), which is managed by Enchi.

Supporting Information

Includes details on the use and calibration of the Anton Paar Flexible Cup Holder; complete shear stress responses for the sequential flow curve experiments.

References

1. Rosentrater KA. Expanding the role of systems modeling: Considering byproduct generation from biofuel production. *Ecol Soc*. 2006;11(1). doi:10.5751/ES-01622-1101r02
2. Ramos J-L, Valdivia M, Garcia-Lorente F, Segura A. Benefits and perspectives on the use of biofuels. *Microb Biotechnol*. 2016;9(4):436-440. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12356
3. Wyman CE. Biomass Ethanol: Technological Progress, Opportunities and Commercial Challenges. *Annu Rev Energy Environ*. 1999;24:189-226.
4. Robak K, Balcerek M. Review of second generation bioethanol production from residual biomass. *Food Technol Biotechnol*. 2018;56(2):174-187. doi:10.17113/ftb.56.02.18.5428
5. Wang Z, Dunn JB, Wang MQ. *Updates to the Corn Ethanol Pathway and Development of an Integrated Corn and Corn Stover Ethanol Pathway in the GREET™ Model*.; 2014. doi:10.2172/1172034
6. Jørgensen H, Vibe-Pedersen J, Larsen J, Felby C. Liquefaction of lignocellulose at high-solids concentrations. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2007;96(5):862-870. doi:10.1002/bit.21115
7. Stickel JJ, Knutsen JS, Liberatore MW, et al. Rheology measurements of a biomass slurry: An inter-laboratory study. *Rheol Acta*. 2009;48(9):1005-1015. doi:10.1007/s00397-009-0382-8
8. Knutsen JS, Liberatore MW. Rheology of high-solids biomass slurries for biorefinery applications. *J Rheol*. 2009;53(4):877. doi:10.1122/1.3143878
9. Pimenova N V, Hanley TR. *Rheological Properties of Corn Stover 383 Feature Heading Measurement of Rheological Properties of Corn Stover Suspensions*. Vol 105.; 2003.
10. Viamajala S, McMillan JD, Schell DJ, Elander RT. Rheology of corn stover slurries at

- high solids concentrations - Effects of saccharification and particle size. *Bioresour Technol.* 2009;100(2):925-934. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2008.06.070
11. Samaniuk JR, Scott CT, Root TW, Klingenberg DJ. Rheological modification of corn stover biomass at high solids concentrations. *J Rheol.* 2012;56(3):649-665. doi:10.1122/1.3702101
12. Cunha FM, Kreke T, Badino a C, Farinas CS, Ximenes E, Ladisch MR. Liquefaction of sugarcane bagasse for enzyme production. *Bioresour Technol.* 2014;172:249-252. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2014.09.025
13. Ladisch MR, Ximenes E, Kreke TR, Badino AC, Cunha FM, Farinas CS. US Patent 10,072,253 B2: Liquefied Cellulosic Biomass for Enzyme Production. September 11, 2018.
14. Ladisch MR, Mosier NS, Kim Y. US Patent 9,359,619: Biomass Liquefaction Processes, and Uses of Same. June 7, 2016.
15. Ladisch MR, Mosier NS, Kim Y. Canada Patent 2805881: Biomass Liquefaction Processes, and Uses of Same. September 5, 2019.
16. Ladisch MR, Mosier NS, Kim Y, van Rooyen J. US Patent 10,144,785 B2: Liquefaction Biomass Processing with Heat Recovery. December 4, 2018.
17. Kim D, Orrego D, Ximenes EA, Ladisch MR. Cellulose conversion of corn pericarp without pretreatment. *Bioresour Technol.* 2017;245(August 2017):511-517. doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.156
18. Ximenes E, Kim Y, Mosier N, Dien B, Ladisch M. Deactivation of cellulases by phenols. *Enzyme Microb Technol.* 2011;48(1):54-60. doi:10.1016/j.enzmictec.2010.09.006
19. dos Santos AC, Ximenes E, Kim Y, Ladisch MR. Lignin–Enzyme Interactions in the Hydrolysis of Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Trends Biotechnol.* 2019;37(5):518-531. doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2018.10.010
20. Fall A, Paredes J, Bonn D. Yielding and Shear Banding in Soft Glassy Materials. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2010;105(22):225502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.225502

21. Coussot P. *Rheometry of Pastes, Suspensions and Granular Materials*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; 2005.
22. Dijksman JA, Wandersman E, Slotterback S, et al. From frictional to viscous behavior: Three-dimensional imaging and rheology of gravitational suspensions. *Phys Rev E*. 2010;82:060301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.82.060301
23. Roche CM, Dibble CJ, Knutsen JS, Stickel JJ, Liberatore MW. Particle Concentration and Yield Stress of Biomass Slurries During Enzymatic Hydrolysis at High-Solids Loadings. *Biotechnol Bioeng*. 2009;104:290-300. doi:10.1002/bit.22381
24. Chen X, Crawford N, Wang W, et al. Kinetics and Rheological Behavior of Higher Solid (Solids > 20%) Enzymatic Hydrolysis Reaction sUsing Dilute Acid Pretreated, Deacetylation and Disk Refined, and Deacetylation and Mechanical Refined (DMR) Corn Stover Slurries. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng*. 2019;7(1):1633-1641. doi:10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b05391
25. Klingenberg DJ, Root TW, Burlawar S, et al. Rheometry of coarse biomass at high temperature and pressure. *Biomass and Bioenergy*. 2017;99:69-78. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.01.031
26. Coussot P, Piau J. A large-scale field coaxial cylinder rheometer for the study of the rheology of natural coarse suspensions. *J Rheol*. 1995;39(1):105-124.
27. Leighton D, Acrivos A. The Shear-Induced Migration of Particles in Concentrated Suspensions. *J Fluid Mech*. 1987;181:415-439. doi:10.1017/S0022112087002155
28. Mezger TG. *The Rheology Handbook*. Vincentz; 2006.
29. Tanner R, Keentok M. Shear Fracture in Cone-Plate Rheometry. *J Rheol*. 1983;27(1):47-57.
30. Krishnan A, Radhakrishnan T, Van Lier J, Clemens F. Rheology of Un-Sieved Concentrated Domestic Slurry: A Wide Gap Approach. *Water*. 2018;10:1287. doi:10.3390/w10101287
31. Barnes HA, Carnali J. The vane-in-cup as a novel rheometer geometry for shear thinning

- and thixotropic materials. *J Rheol.* 1990;34(6):841-866.
32. Cullen PJ, O'Donnell CP, Houska M. Rotational Rheometry Using Complex Geometries - A Review. *J Texture Stud.* 2003;34:1-20.
33. Crawford NC, Sprague MA, Stickel JJ. Mixing behavior of a model cellulosic biomass slurry during settling and resuspension. *Chem Eng Sci.* 2016;144:310-320. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2016.01.028
34. dos Santos ACF, Ximenes E, N. Thompson D, et al. Effect of using a nitrogen atmosphere on enzyme hydrolysis at high corn stover loadings in an agitated reactor. *Biotechnol Prog.* 2020;(May):1-10. doi:10.1002/btpr.3059
35. Dinkgreve M, Paredes J, Denn M, Bonn D. On Different Ways of Measuring “the” Yield Stress. *J Nonnewton Fluid Mech.* 2016;238:233-241.
36. dos Santos ACF, Overton JC, Szeto R, et al. New strategy for liquefying corn stover pellets. *Bioresour Technol.* 2021;submitted.
37. Hames B, Ruiz R, Scarlata C, Sluiter A, Sluiter J, Templeton D. Preparation of Samples for Compositional Analysis - Technical Report NREL/TP-510-42620. *Natl Renew Energy Lab.* 2008;(August):1-9.
38. Sluiter A, Hames B, Ruiz R, et al. Determination of structural carbohydrates and lignin in biomass: Laboratory Analytical Procedure (LAP) (NREL/TP-510-42618). *Natl Renew Energy Lab.* 2012;(April 2008):17. doi:NREL/TP-510-42618
39. Zeng M, Ximenes E, Ladisch MR, et al. Tissue-specific biomass recalcitrance in corn stover pretreated with liquid hot-water: SEM imaging (part 2). *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2012;109(2):398-404. doi:10.1002/bit.23335
40. Zeng M, Mosier NS, Huang C-P, Sherman DM, Ladisch MR. Microscopic examination of changes in plant cell structure in corn stover due to hot water pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2007;97(2):265-278. doi:10.1002/bit.21298
41. Derakhshandeh B, Kerekes RJ, Hatzikiriakos SG, Bennington CPJ. Rheology of pulp fibre suspensions: A critical review. *Chem Eng Sci.* 2011;66(15):3460-3470.

- doi:10.1016/j.ces.2011.04.017
42. Stover CA, Koch DL, Cohen C. Observations of fibre orientation in simple shear flow of semi-dilute suspensions. *J Fluid Mech.* 1992;238:277-296.
doi:10.1017/S002211209200171X
43. Leahy B, Koch D, Cohen I. Controlling the Alignment of Rodlike Colloidal Particles with Time-Dependent Shear Flows. *J Rheol.* 2017;61(5):979-996.
44. Barnes HA. *A Review of the Slip (Wall Depletions) of Polymer Solutions, Emulsions and Particle Suspensions in Viscometers: Its Cause, Character, and Cure.* Vol 56.; 1995.
45. Ovarlez G, Mahaut F, Bertrand F, Chateau X. Flows and Heterogeneities with a Vane Tool: Magnetic Resonance Imaging Measurements. *J Rheol.* 2011;55(2):197-223.
46. Bennington CPJ, Kerekes RJ, Grace JR. The yield stress of fibre suspensions. *Can J Chem Eng.* 1990;68(5):748-757. doi:10.1002/cjce.5450680503
47. Volynets B, Ein-Mozaffari F, Dahman Y. Biomass processing into ethanol: pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, fermentation, rheology, and mixing. *Green Process Synth.* 2017;6(1):1-22.
48. Dalpke B, Kerekes RJ. The influence of fibre properties on the apparent yield stress of flocculated pulp suspensions. *J Pulp Pap Sci.* 2005;31(1):39-43.
49. Kerekes RJ. Rheology of suspensions - rheology of fibre suspensions in papermaking: an overview of recent research. *Nord Pulp Pap Res.* 2006;21(5):598-612.
50. Swerin A, RL P, Oedberg L. Linear and nonlinear dynamic viscoelasticity of pulp fiber suspensions. *Nord Pulp Pap Res.* 1992;7(3):126-143.
51. Switzer L, Klingenberg D. Rheology of sheared flexible fiber suspensions via fiber-level simulations. *J Rheol.* 2003;47(3):759-778.
52. Mosier N, Wyman C, Dale B, et al. Features of promising technologies for pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresour Technol.* 2005;96:673-686.
doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2004.06.025
53. Kim Y, Kreke T, Ko JK, Ladisch MR. Hydrolysis-Determining Substrate Characteristics

in Liquid Hot Water Pretreated Hardwood. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2015;112:677-687.
doi:10.1002/bit.25465/abstract

54. Ko JK, Kim Y, Ximenes E, Ladisch MR. Effect of Liquid Hot Water Pretreatment Severity on Properties of Hardwood Lignin and Enzymatic Hydrolysis of Cellulose. *Biotechnol Bioeng.* 2015;112:252-262. doi:10.1002/bit.25349/abstract

55. Hsiao LC, Pradeep S. Experimental synthesis and characterization of rough particles for colloidal and granular rheology. *Cur Op Colloid Int Sci.* 2019;43:94-112. doi:10.1016/j.cocis.2019.04.003

56. Sprague M, Stickel JJ, Sitaraman H, Crawford N. Formulation and Validation of a Computational Model for a Dilute Biomass Slurry Undergoing Rotational Mixing. *Chem Eng Sci.* 2018;182:108-118.




Figure 1: Images of corn stover slurries at different initial solids concentrations after enzymatic liquefaction: (a) 10%, (b) 20%, (c) 30% (w/v).



Figure 2: Optical image of solid particles in a diluted, untreated (control) corn stover slurry.




Figure 3: Particle size measurements performed on diluted samples of untreated (control) and enzyme-treated corn stover slurries with an initial solids concentration of 30% (w/v) from both (a) optical microscopy and (b) dynamic light scattering.




Figure 4: Representative relative (a) shear stress and (b) viscosity responses for low concentration slurries (10 and 15% w/v initial solids) sheared in a wide-gap rheometer setup with a starch pasting impeller.




Figure 5: Representative relative (a) shear stress and (b) viscosity responses for moderate concentration slurries (20 and 25% w/v initial solids) sheared in a wide-gap rheometer setup with a starch pasting impeller.




Figure 6: Representative relative shear stress response of high concentration slurries (30% w/v initial solids) from: (a) an increasing shear rate ramp (flow curve) and (b) a constant shear rate test (0.1 s^{-1}), both performed in a wide-gap rheometer setup with a starch pasting impeller.




Figure 7: Average yield stress values (± 1 standard deviation) as a function of (a) concentration of initial corn stover solids and (b) concentration of insoluble corn stover solids, as reported in Table 1.




Figure 8: Sequential flow curve tests for: (a) enzyme-treated and (b) untreated (control) slurries with initial solids concentrations of 15% (w/v) measured using the starch pasting impeller.

Table 1: Insoluble solids concentration (w/v).

Initial Slurry Concentration (w/v)	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%
Enzyme Liquefied Slurry	4.04%	-	11.76%	14.20%	17.75%
Untreated Control Slurry	6.95%	9.38%	14.20%	17.17%	21.32%

Table 2: Yield stress recovery (%) of corn stover slurries from sequential flow curve measurements.

Slurry Sample (initial solids conc., w/v)	Initial Yield Stress (Pa)	5 min. rest	1 hr. rest	3 hrs. rest
15% Untreated Control	205	36%	42%	50%
15% Enzyme Liquefied	66	40%	75%	95%
20% Untreated Control	606	34%	28%	35%
20% Enzyme Liquefied	165	37%	52%	58%