

Spin-carrier coupling induced ferromagnetism and giant resistivity peak in EuCd₂P₂

V. Sunko, C. Homes

To be published in "Physical Review B"

April 2023

Photon Sciences

Brookhaven National Laboratory

U.S. Department of Energy

USDOE Office of Science (SC), Basic Energy Sciences (BES) (SC-22)

Notice: This manuscript has been authored by employees of Brookhaven Science Associates, LLC under Contract No. DE-SC0012704 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The publisher by accepting the manuscript for publication acknowledges that the United States Government retains a non-exclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, world-wide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for United States Government purposes.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Spin-carrier coupling induced ferromagnetism and giant resistivity peak in EuCd_2P_2

V. Sunko,^{1,2,*} Y. Sun,^{1,2,*} M. Vranas,³ C. C. Homes,⁴ C. Lee,² E. Donoway,² Z.-C. Wang,⁵ S. Balguri,⁵ M. B. Mahendru,⁵ A. Ruiz,³ B. Gunn,³ R. Basak,³ E. Schierle,⁶ E. Weschke,⁶ F. Tafti,⁵ A. Frano,³ and J. Orenstein^{1,2}

¹*Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*

²*Materials Science Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*

³*Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego, California 92093, USA*

⁴*NLSL II, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA*

⁵*Department of Physics, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467, USA*

⁶*Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Albert-Einstein-Straße 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany*

EuCd_2P_2 is notable for its unconventional transport: upon cooling the metallic resistivity changes slope and begins to increase, ultimately 100-fold, before returning to its metallic value. Surprisingly, this giant peak occurs at 18 K, well above the Néel temperature (T_N) of 11.5 K. Using a suite of sensitive probes of magnetism, including resonant x-ray scattering and magneto-optical polarimetry, we have discovered that ferromagnetic order onsets above T_N in the temperature range of the resistivity peak. The observation of inverted hysteresis in this regime shows that ferromagnetism is promoted by coupling of localized spins and itinerant carriers. The resulting carrier localization is confirmed by optical conductivity measurements.

First glimpses of phase transitions in new materials are often gained through measurement of the temperature-dependent resistivity, $\rho(T)$. At a magnetic transition $\rho(T)$ generically exhibits a change in slope, with $d\rho/dT$ proportional to the heat capacity anomaly. This behavior is understood within the Fisher-Langer theory, in which enhanced quasiparticle scattering appears as a consequence of critical fluctuations [1]. However, some metallic magnets exhibit features in $\rho(T)$ more pronounced than a mere change in slope. For example, resistivity peaks have been reported recently near the Néel temperature T_N in a number of antiferromagnetic (AFM) europium compounds with the general formula EuM_2C_2 ($M=\text{In}, \text{Cd}, \text{C}=\text{Sb}, \text{As}, \text{P}$) [2–7]. In these materials magnetism is localized in Eu^{2+} layers, which are separated by low-carrier density itinerant M_2C_2 blocks. They are notable because density-functional theory predicts that their low-energy electronic structure and topology are dramatically altered by the nature of the magnetic order [8–15], and that the different magnetic states are close in energy [16], and are therefore experimentally accessible [2, 17–19]. This combination offers the exciting prospect of creating electronic states hypersensitive to external stimuli.

The resistivity of EuCd_2P_2 , the subject of this study, stands out as an extreme example of unconventional temperature dependence, quantitatively and qualitatively different from other systems exhibiting a resistivity peak [5]. As shown in Fig. 1, the metallic high-temperature resistivity (green in Fig. 1c) undergoes a hundredfold increase with decreasing temperature (blue) and subsequently returns to metallic values (purple). Both the rise and fall of the resistance take place well above the Néel temperature of 11.5 K, as determined from the heat capacity measurements. The peak value of ρ , which is found at 18 K, is suppressed by modest magnetic fields,




Figure 1. (a,b) The resistivity of EuCd_2P_2 (structure in the inset) shows a pronounced peak at 18 K. (c) Above 75 K it exhibits metallic behavior. Data are from ref. [5].

yielding a giant negative magnetoresistance [5].

Here we use a powerful combination of bespoke magneto-optical techniques and x-ray scattering to show that the anomalous resistivity peak arises from previously unreported time-reversal breaking that takes place above the Néel temperature. Our results indicate that AFM order is preceded by the formation of ferromagnetic clusters driven by the interaction of localized spin and itinerant charge degrees of freedom. The three resistivity regimes depicted in Fig. 1 are traced to temperature-dependent crossovers from independent fluctuating clusters to the onset of ferromagnetic order.

We begin by describing our spatially-resolved symmetry-sensitive optical measurements, in which we measure the change of the angle of linear polarization ($d\phi$) as a function of sample orientation. Since we cannot physically rotate the sample fixed to piezoelectric scanning stages, we access the same information by rotating the incoming light polarization (ϕ). Once the experimental setup is carefully aligned to preserve the polarization state (SI, Sec. I), the change of polarization

upon reflection is given by:

$$d\phi \sim A(T) \sin[2(\phi - \phi_0(T))] + B(T), \quad (1)$$

where $\phi_0(T)$ and $\phi_0(T) + \pi/2$ indicate the directions of the principal optical axes, and $A(T)$ and $B(T)$ are proportional to birefringence and polar magneto-optical Kerr effect (pMOKE). These effects arise from the breaking of rotational symmetry and out-of-plane magnetization, M_z , respectively. To enhance experimental sensitivity, we modulate T at a frequency $f \approx 2$ kHz using a second laser beam as a heater, essentially measuring the temperature derivatives of A and B , dA and dB [20, 21].

This experiment at 2 K reveals a sinusoidal dependence of $d\phi$ on ϕ (Fig. 2a, Eq. 1), corresponding to a nonzero value of dA and indicating broken rotational symmetry. Fig. 2b is a map of the spatial distribution of ϕ_0 , revealing three birefringent domains with 120° between their principal axes (see Fig. S1a of SI for histogram of the relative domain populations). This observation is consistent with spontaneous breaking of C_3 symmetry at the onset of previously reported type A-AFM order (i.e., alternating ferromagnetic layers with in-plane spins, ref. [5]) on a triangular lattice.

The first indication of unconventional order in EuCd_2P_2 is the observation of nonzero dB , indicated by the ϕ -independent offset of the sinusoidal curves in Fig. 2b. As mentioned above, this offset demonstrates the existence of an out-of-plane component of magnetization, M_z ; we therefore refer to it as dM_z for clarity. The dM_z map (Fig. 2c) shows that domains of M_z and A-AFM order are highly correlated, suggesting coexistence and strong coupling between the two forms of order. In contrast, reflectivity is uniform across this sample region (see Figs. S1(b-d)).

The temperature dependence of dA shown in Fig. 2d exhibits an additional surprising feature: the birefringence that was provisionally attributed to antiferromagnetism does not vanish at T_N . Rather, with increasing temperature there is a discontinuous change in slope at T_N followed by a gradual decrease in amplitude, showing that C_3 symmetry remains broken above T_N . dM_z also remains nonzero above T_N , with the polar Kerr signal changing smoothly through the transition. Zooming in on the weak feature at $\sim 2T_N$, we see peaks in both temperature-modulated birefringence and pMOKE (Fig. 2d, inset), showing that the two order parameters onset simultaneously, and are likely therefore coupled.

To help identify the origin of rotational symmetry breaking above T_N , we employed resonant elastic x-ray scattering (REXS), with photon energy tuned to the Eu M_5 edge (1127.5 eV). The amplitude of the (0 0 0.5) diffraction peak, corresponding to A-AFM order, drops sharply at $T_N = 11.5$ K, ruling out A-AFM order as the source of C_3 breaking above T_N (Fig. 2e). The ferromagnetic (FM) peak at (0 0 1) is obscured by the structural one; however a fortunate matrix element suppression of




Figure 2. (a) Thermally-modulated polarization rotation as a function of incident polarization and corresponding fits (Eq. 1), measured at three sample locations (probe: $20 \mu\text{W}$, 633 nm ; pump: $50 \mu\text{W}$, 780 nm , modulated at 2345 Hz). (b, c) Maps of (b) principal axis orientation and (c) dM_z , extracted from the phase and offset of curves like those in (a) (step size: $25 \mu\text{m}$, light spot diameter: $5 \mu\text{m}$). (d) Thermally-modulated birefringence amplitude (teal) and dM_z (red) as a function of temperature ($T_N = 11.5 \text{ K}$). Both signals onset at $\sim 2T_N$ (inset). (e) Normalized antiferromagnetic (blue; $(h, k, l) = (0, 0, 0.5)$) and ferromagnetic (orange; $(h, k, l) = (0, 0, 0.95)$), with structural scattering subtracted) REXS as a function of temperature. AFM scattering onsets sharply at T_N , in contrast to the gradual onset of ferromagnetism above T_N .

the structural Thomson scattering at (0 0 0.95) provides a window to the FM order (for details see SI, Sec. II). In contrast with the AFM onset, the FM order decreases smoothly through T_N (Fig. 2f), mirroring the $dM_z(T)$ extracted from the pMOKE signal (Fig. 2d). We note that our measurements indicate static FM order, in contrast to short-range fluctuations reported in the sibling compound EuCd_2As_2 [22].

Having confirmed the existence of ferromagnetic order for $T > T_N$, we turn to the origin of rotational symmetry breaking above the Néel temperature. To that end, we have developed a method, based on the linear magneto-optic effect [23–30], to isolate rotational symmetry breaking associated with order parameters that break time-reversal symmetry, as well. Specifically, we measure the modulation of birefringence that is linear in an applied magnetic field; we refer to this effect as linear magneto-birefringence (LMB), and parameterize it by the LMB tensor,

$$\overleftrightarrow{\delta r} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta & \gamma \\ \gamma & -\beta \end{pmatrix} H_z, \quad (2)$$

where $\overleftrightarrow{\delta r}$ is the change of reflectance, H_z is an applied field, and (1, 0) and (0, 1) correspond to the principal

axes at $H_k = 0$. As a consequence of Onsager's relation, the LMB tensor vanishes in time-reversal (TR) invariant systems (see SI, Sec. III for a derivation), making it a sensitive probe of TR symmetry breaking.

We measure the components of $\delta\vec{r}$ by detecting the change in reflectivity at the fundamental frequency of an oscillating H_z , applied by a small coil [31]. After careful calibration of the setup (SI, Sec. I.C), the change in polarization on reflection that is synchronous with the applied field, $d\phi \sim AH_z \sin[2(\phi + \phi_C)]$, yields the elements of the LMB tensor through the relations,

$$A^2 = \beta^2 + \gamma^2, \quad \phi_C = \phi_0 + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \frac{\gamma}{\beta}, \quad (3)$$

where ϕ_0 is the principal axes orientation at $H_z = 0$.

Fig. 3a shows the existence of LMB in EuCd_2P_2 at 5 K. The diamonds and circles compare the change in polarization induced by field and temperature modulation, respectively, and demonstrate a phase shift of 52° between them. The observation of a phase shift that is not equal to either 0° or 45° proves that both β and γ are nonzero (Eq. 3). A symmetry analysis of the LMB tensor in EuCd_2P_2 , summarized in Fig. 3b and discussed in detail in Sec. III of SI, shows that a nonzero γ requires a M_y , revealing this component of FM order at 5 K.

The temperature dependence of the field-modulated amplitude, plotted in Fig. 3c, shows that LMB remains finite above T_N , identifying M_y as the origin of birefringence above the AFM transition. It finally vanishes at $\sim 2T_N$, coincident with the disappearance of M_z (Fig. 2d). We note that M_y and M_z correspond to distinct magnetic point groups, so one is not a natural consequence of the other, as in 'weak ferromagnetism' [32], for example. Their coexistence indicates coupling via a high-order magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MCA), which typically arises from a combination of spin-orbit coupling and the crystal field [33]. However, the ground state of Eu^{2+} carries no angular momentum, so spin-orbit coupling is expected to be negligible and the anisotropy cannot be understood by considering only Eu spins. Instead, the MCA can stem from the coupling of Eu to carriers, as was previously demonstrated in other magnets containing the $L = 0$ Eu^{2+} or Gd^{3+} ions [34–36].

Confirmation that ferromagnetism in EuCd_2P_2 results from the coupling between Eu spins and conduction electrons comes from measurements of dM_z as a function of applied dc magnetic field. Fig. 4a follows the magnetization through a field sweep at 3 K, showing a hysteresis loop that confirms FM order. The same field sweep conducted at 14 K (Fig. 4b) is also hysteretic, but looks very different in two respects: the loop proceeds clockwise rather than counterclockwise, and is superposed on a linear background. Removing the background (inset) emphasizes the hysteresis is *inverted*: the saturated magnetization is anti-parallel to the applied field direction!

Inverted hysteresis cannot arise from a single class of




Figure 3. (a) The phase difference between the H_z -linear birefringence (diamonds) and the $H = 0$ birefringence (circles) proves $\gamma \neq 0$ (Eq. 3), and therefore $M_y \neq 0$ (inset of (c)). For clarity the curves are normalized by the respective amplitudes, and constant offsets are subtracted. (b) Diagonal (β) and off-diagonal (γ) LMB: the orientation and length of the ellipse axes represent the orientation of the optic principal axes and the reflectivity along them, respectively. Magnetic point groups (MPGs) and order parameters compatible with the two effects are listed. (c) The amplitude of LMB as a function of temperature ($T_N = 11.5$ K) reveals the onset of TR- and C_3 -broken phase at $\sim 2T_N$. Probe beam: $20 \mu\text{W}$, 633 nm ; field amplitude: 0.2 mT , modulated at 500 Hz .

ferromagnetically coupled spins; instead, at least two *antiferromagnetically* coupled subsystems are required. A minimum model for the observed behavior is described by the free energy,

$$F = \alpha_2 m^2 + \beta_2 M^2 + \beta_4 M^4 + JmM - H(m + M), \quad (4)$$

where m and M are the magnetization of carriers and Eu ions, respectively, J is the antiferromagnetic coupling between them, and the parameters α_n and β_n are the quadratic and quartic terms in the expansion in even powers of the magnetization. With $H = 0$, F has a minimum at nonzero magnetization,

$$M^2 = \frac{1}{4\beta_4} \left(\frac{J^2}{2\alpha_2} - 2\beta_2 \right), \quad m = -\frac{J}{2\alpha_2} M, \quad (5)$$

for coupling strengths $J^2 > 4\beta_2\alpha_2$, showing that J promotes spontaneous magnetization, even if the uncoupled Eu system would be paramagnetic ($\beta_2 > 0$).

Minimizing the free energy with $H_z \neq 0$ yields (SI, Sec. IV):

$$M^3 - \frac{M}{4\beta_4} \left(\frac{J^2}{2\alpha_2} - 2\beta_2 \right) - \frac{H}{4\beta_4} \left(1 - \frac{J}{2\alpha_2} \right) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Eq. 6 suggests that the effective magnetic field experienced by the Eu spin is opposite in sign to the applied




Figure 4. dM_z as a function of H_z for increasing (red) and decreasing (blue) field at (a) 3K and (b) 14K, revealing the opposite sense of hysteresis at the two temperatures. Removing the linear background in (b) shows the inverted hysteresis (inset). (c,d) The real solutions of Eq. 6, for (c) $J < 2\alpha$ and (d) $J > 2\alpha$. The full and dotted lines correspond to local minima and saddle points of the free energy, respectively. (e) The temperature dependence of the magnetization at zero field, defined as half the difference between the $dM_z(H = 0)$, measured while increasing and decreasing the field.

field if J is larger than $2\alpha_2$, yielding the inverted Eu hysteresis. Although the total magnetization, $M + m$, is parallel to the applied field, our experiment is dominantly sensitive to Eu magnetization, and therefore capable of observing its inverted hysteresis. The existence of both normal and inverted Eu hysteresis within this model is demonstrated in Figs. 4(c, d), which illustrate M vs. H for $J < 2\alpha_2$ and $J > 2\alpha_2$, respectively. Both regimes exhibit classic bistable behavior, with three solutions for $\partial F/\partial M = \partial F/\partial m = 0$ at low applied field; two stable minima (solid lines) and one saddle point (dashed line). Mirrored trajectories of M vs. H_z in Figs. 4(c, d) correspond to normal and inverted hysteresis, respectively.

The temperature dependence of the zero-field magnetization (Fig. 4e), defined to be positive for normal hysteresis, shows that the transition from normal to inverted regimes occurs at T_N . The onset of the antiferromagnetic order therefore disrupts the delicate balance required for realization of the inverted hysteresis. Our model suggests that this is caused by a reduction in the effective spin-carrier interaction, J/α_2 , as expected from the decrease in the spin-polarization of the electrons due to their coupling to the AFM order.

The observations of FM order and inverted hysteresis above T_N offer compelling evidence for the role of spin-carrier coupling in determining the magnetic properties of EuCd_2P_2 . We now turn to the role of this coupling in its remarkable resistivity. To help address this question we augmented our magneto-optical and x-ray probes




Figure 5. (a) Optical conductivity at 50 K, 18 K and 5 K, showing electron localization at 18 K. The inset is the difference between the 5 K and the 18 K data, showing the conduction electron spectral weight. The dashed lines are interpolations across a region where the measurement is dominated by the spectral weight of an optical phonon. (b) Schematic temperature evolution of the coupled Eu-electron system.

with measurements of the frequency- and temperature-dependent conductivity, $\sigma_1(\omega, T)$, obtained by Kramers-Kronig analysis of broadband reflectivity.

Fig. 5a shows optical conductivity spectra measured at the resistivity peak (18 K), together with representative spectra measured in the metallic regime at temperatures above (50 K) and below (5 K). The contrast in the spectra between the high and low conductivity regimes provides a clear picture of the dynamics that underline the giant resistance peak. While the optical conductivity at 5 K and 50 K is remarkably similar, $\sigma_1(\omega, 18\text{ K})$ is clearly suppressed at low frequencies. Subtracting the spectrum measured at 18 K from that measured at 5 K reveals a Drude-like peak (Fig. 5a, inset). We attribute the metallic conductivity observed in the high and low temperature regimes to this component of $\sigma_1(\omega)$; the resistivity peak at 18 K then reflects its vanishing. We note that standard analysis of the optical conductivity and Hall effect (SI, Sec. V.) yields a carrier concentration $n_e = 0.6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, scattering rate $\Gamma = 9 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and a mass of $1.5m_e$, where m_e is the free electron mass. The conductivity spectra measured as T passes through the peak in resistivity confirm that the increase in ρ originates from a dramatic decrease in n_e rather than an increase in Γ . Optical conductivity therefore offers unambiguous evidence of electron localization.

Peaks in resistivity have been observed in several metallic magnets, but a unifying theoretical explanation for this unconventional behavior is lacking [37–40]. EuCd_2P_2 is an outlier in both the giant amplitude and location in temperature of the maximum in $\rho(T)$. Nonetheless, our combination of optical polarimetry, x-ray, and far-infrared measurements points to spontaneous phase separation, similar to that observed in the *ferromagnetic* metal EuB_6 [41–43]. In this scenario (Fig. 5b) high-temperature metallic behavior is interrupted by phase separation: electrons localize into spin-polarized clusters,

within which spins align due to the spin-carrier coupling (J in Eq. 4). The localization (Fig. 5a) causes the resistivity to increase until the clusters merge and form percolating conducting paths, slowing down the resistivity increase and eventually causing its decrease. The merged clusters develop a net ferromagnetic moment (M_y , M_z), detected by our suite of probes (REXS, pMOKE and LMB). In particular, the spontaneous phase separation is seen in the behavior of the magnetization vs. H_z in this regime (Fig. 4b): paramagnetic Eu spins contribute the linear background, while the inverted hysteresis arises in the carrier-mediated ferromagnetic regions.

Our finding that the same process, i.e. the spontaneous formation and percolation of ferromagnetic clusters, is responsible for resistivity in both EuCd_2P_2 and EuB_6 , is surprising. The two compounds exhibit different magnetic order, and drastically different resistivity anomalies: the 100-fold increase of ρ in the AFM EuCd_2P_2 versus the 20% increase in the FM EuB_6 . We suggest that the low carrier density and the frustration resulting from near degeneracy of ferro- and antiferromagnetic states in EuCd_2P_2 are the key ingredients yielding the enhanced effect. These ingredients are then principles that can guide the design of other systems in which transport displays hypersensitivity to external control parameters such as temperature and electromagnetic fields. Furthermore, our work motivates the development of a unified theoretical description of resistivity in a wide range of systems with strong carrier-spin interactions, and offers ways to experimentally constrain such models.

We thank Elbio Dagotto, Andrew Mackenzie, Chunxiao Liu, Marc Vila Tusell, Thomas Scaffidi and Ehud Altman for useful discussions. Optical measurements were performed at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory as part of the Quantum Materials program, Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Materials Sciences and Engineering Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. V.S. is supported by the Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, UC Berkeley. J.O and Y.S received support from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation's EPiQS Initiative through Grant GBMF4537 to J.O. at UC Berkeley. F. T. and S. B. acknowledge funding from the Schiller Institute Grant for Exploratory Collaborative Scholarship (SIGECS). Work at Brookhaven National Laboratory was supported by the Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-SC0012704

* V. S. and Y. S. contributed equally to this work.

- [1] M. E. Fisher and J. S. Langer, Resistive Anomalies at Magnetic Critical Points, *Physical Review Letters* **20**, 665 (1968).
 [2] J.-Z. Ma, S. M. Nie, C. J. Yi, J. Jandke, T. Shang,

- M. Y. Yao, M. Naamneh, L. Q. Yan, Y. Sun, A. Chikina, V. N. Strocov, M. Medarde, M. Song, Y.-M. Xiong, G. Xu, W. Wulfhekel, J. Mesot, M. Reticcioli, C. Franchini, C. Mudry, M. Müller, Y. G. Shi, T. Qian, H. Ding, and M. Shi, Spin fluctuation induced Weyl semimetal state in the paramagnetic phase of EuCd_2As_2 , *Science Advances* **5**, eaaw4718 (2019).
 [3] Y. Xu, L. Das, J. Z. Ma, C. J. Yi, S. M. Nie, Y. G. Shi, A. Tiwari, S. S. Tsirkin, T. Neupert, M. Medarde, M. Shi, J. Chang, and T. Shang, Unconventional Transverse Transport above and below the Magnetic Transition Temperature in Weyl Semimetal EuCd_2As_2 , *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 076602 (2021).
 [4] M. C. Rahn, J.-R. Soh, S. Francoual, L. S. I. Veiga, J. Stremper, J. Mardegan, D. Y. Yan, Y. F. Guo, Y. G. Shi, and A. T. Boothroyd, Coupling of magnetic order and charge transport in the candidate Dirac semimetal EuCd_2As_2 , *Physical Review B* **97**, 214422 (2018).
 [5] Z.-C. Wang, J. D. Rogers, X. Yao, R. Nichols, K. Atay, B. Xu, J. Franklin, I. Sochnikov, P. J. Ryan, D. Haskel, and F. Tafti, Colossal Magnetoresistance without Mixed Valence in a Layered Phosphide Crystal, *Advanced Materials* **33**, 2005755 (2021).
 [6] H. Su, B. Gong, W. Shi, H. Yang, H. Wang, W. Xia, Z. Yu, P.-J. Guo, J. Wang, L. Ding, L. Xu, X. Li, X. Wang, Z. Zou, N. Yu, Z. Zhu, Y. Chen, Z. Liu, K. Liu, G. Li, and Y. Guo, Magnetic exchange induced Weyl state in a semimetal EuCd_2Sb_2 , *APL Materials* **8**, 011109 (2020).
 [7] Y. Zhang, K. Deng, X. Zhang, M. Wang, Y. Wang, C. Liu, J.-W. Mei, S. Kumar, E. F. Schwier, K. Shimada, C. Chen, and B. Shen, In-plane antiferromagnetic moments and magnetic polaron in the axion topological insulator candidate EuIn_2As_2 , *Physical Review B* **101**, 205126 (2020).
 [8] Y. Xu, Z. Song, Z. Wang, H. Weng, and X. Dai, Higher-Order Topology of the Axion Insulator EuIn_2As_2 , *Physical Review Letters* **122**, 256402 (2019).
 [9] G. Hua, S. Nie, Z. Song, R. Yu, G. Xu, and K. Yao, Dirac semimetal in type-IV magnetic space groups, *Physical Review B* **98**, 201116 (2018).
 [10] J.-R. Soh, F. de Juan, M. G. Vergniory, N. B. M. Schröter, M. C. Rahn, D. Y. Yan, J. Jiang, M. Bristow, P. Reiss, J. N. Blandy, Y. F. Guo, Y. G. Shi, T. K. Kim, A. McCollam, S. H. Simon, Y. Chen, A. I. Coldea, and A. T. Boothroyd, Ideal Weyl semimetal induced by magnetic exchange, *Physical Review B* **100**, 201102 (2019).
 [11] L.-L. Wang, N. H. Jo, B. Kuthanazhi, Y. Wu, R. J. McQueeney, A. Kaminski, and P. C. Canfield, Single pair of Weyl fermions in the half-metallic semimetal EuCd_2As_2 , *Physical Review B* **99**, 245147 (2019).
 [12] J.-R. Soh, C. Donnerer, K. M. Hughes, E. Schierle, E. Weschke, D. Prabhakaran, and A. T. Boothroyd, Magnetic and electronic structure of the layered rare-earth pnictide EuCd_2Sb_2 , *Physical Review B* **98**, 064419 (2018).
 [13] X. Gui, I. Pletikovic, H. Cao, H.-J. Tien, X. Xu, R. Zhong, G. Wang, T.-R. Chang, S. Jia, T. Valla, W. Xie, and R. J. Cava, A New Magnetic Topological Quantum Material Candidate by Design, *ACS Central Science* **5**, 900 (2019).
 [14] S. X. M. Riberolles, T. V. Trevisan, B. Kuthanazhi, T. W. Heitmann, F. Ye, D. C. Johnston, S. L. Bud'ko, D. H. Ryan, P. C. Canfield, A. Kreyssig, A. Vishwanath,

- R. J. McQueeney, L.-L. Wang, P. P. Orth, and B. G. Ueland, Magnetic crystalline-symmetry-protected axion electrodynamics and field-tunable unpinned Dirac cones in EuIn_2As_2 , *Nature Communications* **12**, 999 (2021).
- [15] K. M. Taddei, L. Yin, L. D. Sanjeewa, Y. Li, J. Xing, C. dela Cruz, D. Phelan, A. S. Sefat, and D. Parker, Single pair of Weyl nodes in the spin-canted structure of EuCd_2As_2 , *Physical Review B* **105**, L140401 (2022).
- [16] J. Krishna, T. Nautiyal, and T. Maitra, First-principles study of electronic structure, transport, and optical properties of EuCd_2As_2 , *Physical Review B* **98**, 125110 (2018).
- [17] N. H. Jo, B. Kuthanazhi, Y. Wu, E. Timmons, T.-H. Kim, L. Zhou, L.-L. Wang, B. G. Ueland, A. Palasyuk, D. H. Ryan, R. J. McQueeney, K. Lee, B. Schrunk, A. A. Burkov, R. Prozorov, S. L. Bud'ko, A. Kaminski, and P. C. Canfield, Manipulating magnetism in the topological semimetal EuCd_2As_2 , *Physical Review B* **101**, 140402 (2020).
- [18] N. H. Jo, Y. Wu, T. V. Trevisan, L.-L. Wang, K. Lee, B. Kuthanazhi, B. Schrunk, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, P. P. Orth, and A. Kaminski, Visualizing band selective enhancement of quasiparticle lifetime in a metallic ferromagnet, *Nature Communications* **12**, 7169 (2021).
- [19] E. Gati, S. L. Bud'ko, L.-L. Wang, A. Valadkhani, R. Gupta, B. Kuthanazhi, L. Xiang, J. M. Wilde, A. Sankota, Z. Guguchia, R. Khasanov, R. Valentí, and P. C. Canfield, Pressure-induced ferromagnetism in the topological semimetal EuCd_2As_2 , *Physical Review B* **104**, 155124 (2021).
- [20] A. Little, C. Lee, C. John, S. Doyle, E. Maniv, N. L. Nair, W. Chen, D. Rees, J. W. F. Venderbos, R. M. Fernandes, J. G. Analytis, and J. Orenstein, Three-state nematicity in the triangular lattice antiferromagnet $\text{Fe}_{1/3}\text{NbS}_2$, *Nature Materials* **19**, 1062 (2020).
- [21] Y. Sun, C. Lee, H.-Y. Yang, D. H. Torchinsky, F. Tafti, and J. Orenstein, Mapping domain-wall topology in the magnetic Weyl semimetal CeAlSi , *Physical Review B* **104**, 235119 (2021).
- [22] J.-R. Soh, E. Schierle, D. Y. Yan, H. Su, D. Prabhakaran, E. Weschke, Y. F. Guo, Y. G. Shi, and A. T. Boothroyd, Resonant x-ray scattering study of diffuse magnetic scattering from the topological semimetals EuCd_2As_2 and EuCd_2Sb_2 , *Physical Review B* **102**, 014408 (2020).
- [23] N. F. Kharchenko, V. V. Eremenko, and O. P. Tutakina, Light birefringence bilinear in the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic vectors in cobalt carbonate, *ZhETF Pisma Redaktsiui* **27**, 466 (1978).
- [24] N. F. Kharchenko, V. V. Eremenko, and L. I. Belyi, Lowering of the optical class of an antiferromagnetic crystal, induced by a longitudinal magnetic field, *ZhETF Pisma Redaktsiui* **28**, 351 (1978).
- [25] N. Kharchenko and S. Gnatchenko, Linear Magneto-Optic Effect and Visual Observation of Antiferromagnetic Domains in Orthorhombic DyFeO_3 Crystal, *Fizika Nizkikh Temperatur* **7**, 475 (1981).
- [26] V. Eremenko, S. Gnatchenko, N. Kharchenko, S. Sofronov, J. Desvignes, P. Feldmann, and H. Legall, Linear Magneto-Optic Effect in Tetragonal Antiferromagnetic Garnet $\text{Ca}_3\text{Mn}_2\text{Ge}_3\text{O}_{12}$, *Acta Physica Polonica A* **68**, 419 (1985).
- [27] V. V. Eremenko and N. F. Kharchenko, Magneto-optics of antiferromagnets, *Physics Reports* **155**, 379 (1987).
- [28] V. V. Eremenko, N. F. Kharchenko, L. I. Belyi, and O. P. Tutakina, Birefringence of the antiferromagnetic crystals linear in a magnetic field, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials* **15–18**, 791 (1980).
- [29] V. V. Eremenko, Y. G. Litvinenko, N. K. Kharchenko, and V. M. Naumenko, Magneto-Optical Methods for Investigating the Structure of Antiferromagnetically Ordered Crystals, in *Magneto-Optics and Spectroscopy of Antiferromagnets*, edited by V. V. Eremenko, Y. G. Litvinenko, N. K. Kharchenko, and V. M. Naumenko (Springer, New York, NY, 1992) pp. 67–110.
- [30] N. F. Kharchenko, The linear magneto-optic effect as a manifestation of a higher order magnetoelectric effect, *Ferroelectrics* **162**, 173 (1994).
- [31] C. Lee, P. Vir, K. Manna, C. Shekhar, J. E. Moore, M. A. Kastner, C. Felser, and J. Orenstein, Observation of a phase transition within the domain walls of ferromagnetic $\text{Co}_3\text{Sn}_2\text{S}_2$, *Nature Communications* **13**, 3000 (2022).
- [32] I. Dzyaloshinsky, A thermodynamic theory of “weak” ferromagnetism of antiferromagnetics, *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* **4**, 241 (1958).
- [33] R. Skomski, P. Manchanda, and A. Kashyap, Anisotropy and Crystal Field, in *Handbook of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, edited by M. Coey and S. Parkin (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2021) pp. 1–83.
- [34] M. Colarieti-Tosti, S. I. Simak, R. Ahuja, L. Nordström, O. Eriksson, D. Åberg, S. Edvardsson, and M. S. S. Brooks, Origin of Magnetic Anisotropy of Gd Metal, *Physical Review Letters* **91**, 157201 (2003).
- [35] S. Abdelouahed and M. Alouani, Magnetic anisotropy in Gd, GdN, and GdFe₂ tuned by the energy of gadolinium 4f states, *Physical Review B* **79**, 054406 (2009).
- [36] M. Blanco-Rey, R. Castrillo-Bodero, K. Ali, P. Gargiani, F. Bertran, P. M. Sheverdyaeva, J. E. Ortega, L. Fernandez, and F. Schiller, Effect of the valence state on the band magnetocrystalline anisotropy in two-dimensional rare-earth/noble-metal compounds, *Physical Review Research* **4**, 013237 (2022).
- [37] C. Timm, M. E. Raikh, and F. von Oppen, Disorder-Induced Resistive Anomaly Near Ferromagnetic Phase Transitions, *Physical Review Letters* **94**, 036602 (2005).
- [38] Z. Wang, K. Barros, G.-W. Chern, D. L. Maslov, and C. D. Batista, Resistivity Minimum in Highly Frustrated Itinerant Magnets, *Physical Review Letters* **117**, 206601 (2016).
- [39] B. Flebus, Magnetoresistance driven by the magnetic Berezinskii-Kosterlitz-Thouless transition, *Physical Review B* **104**, L020408 (2021).
- [40] E. Heinrich, T. Posske, and B. Flebus, Topological magnetic phase transition in Eu-based A-type antiferromagnets (2022), arXiv:2207.02361 [cond-mat].
- [41] M. Pohlit, S. Rößler, Y. Ohno, H. Ohno, S. von Molnár, Z. Fisk, J. Müller, and S. Wirth, Evidence for Ferromagnetic Clusters in the EuB_6 , *Physical Review Letters* **120**, 257201 (2018).
- [42] P. Das, A. Amyan, J. Brandenburg, J. Müller, P. Xiong, S. von Molnár, and Z. Fisk, Magnetically driven electronic phase separation in the semimetallic ferromagnet EuB_6 , *Physical Review B* **86**, 184425 (2012).
- [43] M. L. Brooks, T. Lancaster, S. J. Blundell, W. Hayes, F. L. Pratt, and Z. Fisk, Magnetic phase separation in EuB_6 detected by muon spin rotation, *Physical Review B* **70**, 020401 (2004).