

DATE 18 June 1953

APPROVAL OF AWARD

ARM2.950105.081

1. I hereby find:

a. There is a requirement for the following:

**To continue work on the preparation of a monograph entitled
"Medical Effects of the Atomic Bomb."**

b. That the estimated cost of the proposed procurement is chargeable to Fiscal Year 1953 funds. 85,594

c. That procurement of the above-described service by formal advertising is not feasible because:

Check One.

(1) Negotiation will better enable the Government to obtain a contractor with specialized facilities and personnel outstanding in the field to be covered by the procurement.

(2) This is a continuing study and much of the work is only partially completed.

d. That a pre-award survey is unnecessary due to the fact that the capabilities of the investigator and the facilities of the contractor have been investigated and recommended by:

2. Upon the basis of the foregoing findings I hereby determine that the proposed procurement is for experimental research work within the meaning of Section 2(c)(11) of the Armed Services Procurement Act of 1947, and procurement may therefore be effected by negotiation under the authority contained in ASPR 3-201.

3. Pursuant to authority vested in me and by virtue of directive of the Under Secretary of the Army contained in APP 1-604.5, I hereby approve award of a contract negotiated under Section 2(c)(1) of the Armed Services Procurement Act of 1947, as amended (41 U.S.C. 151, et seq) and Presidential Proclamation 2914 to:

Dr. Ashley W. Oughterson.

**R. M. BYNUM, Jr.
Colonel, MSC
Contracting Officer**

DATE 10 June 1953

DETERMINATION AND FINDINGS

1. I hereby find that:

a. The Surgeon General proposes to procure services as follows:

To continue work on the preparation of a monograph entitled
"Medical Effects of the Atomic Bomb."

b. The estimated cost of the proposed procurement is chargeable to Fiscal Year 1953 funds. ~~\$5,594~~

c. The use of a cost type contract is the most practicable and likely to be the least costly method of contracting because:

- (1) The cost of the proposed contract cannot be accurately estimated and the cost type of contract would enable the Government to exercise closer control of Contractor's expenditures.
- (2) Neither profit nor allowances for contingent factors will be included if a cost type contract is used.

2. Upon the basis of the findings set forth above, I hereby determine that pursuant to Section 4(b) of the Armed Services Procurement Act of 1947 (Public Law 413, 80th Congress) and paragraph 3-405 of Armed Services Procurement Regulation, that the use of a cost type of contract (a) is likely to be less costly than other methods of contracting, or (b) that it is impracticable to secure services of the kind of quality required without the use of a cost type of contract.

R. M. BYNUM, Jr.
Colonel, MSO
Contracting Officer