MEMO ROUTE SLIP Form AEC-93 (Rev. May 14, 1947)	See me about this. Note and return.	For concurrence. For signature.	For action. For information.

TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS
L. W. Tuttle Bx [illegible]	DATE	405120
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS
	DATE	
TO (Name and unit)	INITIALS	REMARKS
	DATE	

R

FROM (Name and unit)	REMARKS
B. Thompson	① - [illegible] some idea of what capital expenditures will amount to and will be for before you commit the [illegible] sight unseen
PHONE NO. 622 / DATE 9/5/51	

USE OTHER SIDE FOR ADDITIONAL REMARKS 16—56667-1 U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

200

US DOE ARCHIVES
326 U.S. ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

RG DOE HISTORIAN (DBM)
Collection 1132
Box 3365
Folder #24

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

DOE ARCHIVES

② My information does not support your statement re ONR contracts. The approved clause was substantially the same as in title of 7/3/51. Minor modifications were made for the benefit of Princeton. The core and substance, however, is indispensable. Why this should be a problem here anymore than in our regular unclassified research program is a mystery to me. All that is required is to set up a system modeled on G M-93. This should have been obvious from the outset. The fact that we are dealing thru PHS instead of directly does not mean we discard what we know about how an A2C sponsored unclassified research program should be conducted in the light of our special responsibility for security under the Atomic Energy Act.

③ Refer to Anderson

DOE ARCHIVES