COPY

4,00-f Sych

SAN FRANCISCO: THE MEDICAL CENTER
OFFICE OF THE BUSINESS MANAGER

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

June 12, 1952

President Robert G. Sproul
University of California
Berkeley

Dear President Sproul:

Enclosed is Standard Service Agreement, designated SC 1, between the Regents and the State of California Department of Mental Hygiene for the renewal of the research project entitled "Scientific Research into the Problem of Sex Crimes, including the Cause and Cure of Sex Deviation." This renewal is in the amount of $40,000 for the fiscal year 1952/53. It is a continuation of a research project for which $40,000 was provided in 1951/52 and $17,000 was provided in 1950/51.

This contract is similar to that which was executed for 1951/52 in all respects but the following:

a. The last sentence of paragraph 1 of the document which reads as follows:
"The Regents of the University of California through the Division of Psychiatry further agree to compensate certain patients and provide money for travel in order that such patients may come to the Langley Porter Clinic when necessary for purposes of this research."

b. The clause appearing in lines 2 and 3 of the first paragraph on the reverse side of the document which reads as follows:
"And $2,000 to recompense patients for coming to the Langley Porter Clinic and to pay for travel expenses."
** See 4, in the qualified concurrence, page 2

I did not feel that the document should be forwarded for approval by the Regents i.e. without calling to your particular attention this addition to the contract. I have held the contract in this office since June 3 pending Doctor Bowman's return from a business trip and subsequent illness in order that I might discuss with him the probable import of these new clauses in the contract. As a result of my discussion with Doctor Bowman I have asked him to forward a statement of the needs and purposes for this provision. His statement is attached.

The need set forth by Doctor Bowman in his attached statement appears valid in the furtherance of the research in this vital problem of social behavior. The proposal to provide money for cost of patients' travel to the Clinic for purposes of this research does not in my opinion create any particular problems nor deviate from precedence in the University. However, the proposal "to compensate certain patients" for the time they spend in undergoing clinical research in this field and/or for the loss of income while absent from their present employment is a departure from precedence in the University and is of sufficient import to warrant due deliberation by you and the Regents in approving the contract. You will note that the contract states "It is agreed that the employment of personnel is to be according to University salary scales and other regulations." The

University of California at Berkeley
Bancroft Library The University of California Berkeley CA
COPY

proposal to compensate patients for their time or lost wages requires decision as to: (a) the rate of compensation, (b) the payroll mechanics of paying them, (c) the question of their classification as University employees during these periods, (d) and other related questions.

The contract is recommended for execution if the clauses quoted above prove acceptable to you and the Regents. Should the concept of compensating patients prove unacceptable, it is recommended that the contract be executed with:

a. the deletion of the following phrase from line 10 of paragraph 1:

"to compensate certains patients and"

b. and the changing of the clause in line 2 and 3 of paragraph 3 from:

"and $2,000 to recompense patients for coming to the Langley Porter Clinic and to pay for travel expenses"

to read instead:

"and $2,000 to pay for travel expenses of patients coming to the Langley Porter Clinic and return".

Since this renewal contract is to become effective July 1, 1952, it is highly desireable that this change be made, if such be the decision, and the contract approved rather than to require it to be rewritten and resubmitted at a later date.

Very sincerely yours,

H. H. Hixson
H. H. Hixson
Business Manager

My concurrence is given to clause as now contained in contract provided that these patients are considered not U.C. employees.
M. B. Hall

I concur: M. B. Hall
M. B. Hall, Administrator

I concur: R. J. Stull 6/12/52
R. J. Stull, Director of Hospitals and Infirmaries

HHH:pg
cc: Mr. Corley
Mr. Bateman

July 13, 1952

Mrs. Williams:

I return herewith the above mentioned contract. Certainly the changes suggested by Mr. Hixson's letter in the closing [illegible] should be made. Accordingly, I have not approved the con-