

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

DOCUMENT NO.
HW-78262 REV

GENERAL  ELECTRIC

HANFORD ATOMIC PRODUCTS OPERATION - RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

DATE 4-3-64 COPY NO. 34

TITLE

RINGOLD - A HANFORD ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY

ISSUING FILE

AUTHOR

Iral C. Nelson
R. F. Foster
Radiation Protection Operation
Hanford Laboratories

DISTRIBUTION

NAME	BUILDING	AREA	NAME	BUILDING	AREA
<u>Internal</u>					
1. G. E. Backman	3703	300	28. C. M. Unruh	3706	300
2. W. J. Bair	108-F	100-F	29. R. H. Wilson	3703	300
3. J. R. Bovington	329	300	30. 300 File		
4. D. N. Brady	747	700	31. Record Center		
5. R. O. Budd	747	700	32.-64. Extra Copies		
6. L. K. Bustad	141-M	100-F			
7. L. A. Carter	3703	300			
8. J. P. Corley	329	300			
9. R. F. Foster	3703	300			
10. R. B. Hall	3703	300			
11. R. C. Henle	747	700			
12. F. P. Hungate	146-FR	100-F			
13. A. R. Keene	3703	300			
14. H. A. Kornberg	108-F	100-F			
15. H. V. Larson	747	700			
16. R. W. Meisinger	329	300			
17. R. E. Nakatani	146-FR	100-F			
18. I. C. Nelson	3705	300			
19. C. E. Newton	3705	300			
20. J. M. Nielsen	325	300			
21. R. F. Palmer	108-F	100-F			
22. I. C. Roberts	3703	300			
23. W. C. Roesch	329	300			
24. R. H. Scott	3702	300			
25. J. K. Soldat	3703	300			
26. A. J. Stevens	3703	300			
27. R. C. Thompson	108-F	100-F			

REPOSITORY PNI

COLLECTION Ringold Study

BOX No. W/A

FOLDER W/A

ROUTE TO

PAYROLL NO.

LOCATION

FILES ROUTE DATE

SIGNATURE AND DATE

54-3000-030 (1)

1455250

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

UNCLASSIFIED
(CLASSIFICATION)

DOCUMENT NO.
HW-78262 REV

GENERAL  ELECTRIC

HANFORD ATOMIC PRODUCTS OPERATION - RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

DATE COPY NO.
4-3-64

TITLE
RINGOLD - A HANFORD ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY

ISSUING FILE

AUTHOR
Iral C. Nelson
R. F. Foster
Radiation Protection Operation
Hanford Laboratories

DISTRIBUTION

NAME	BUILDING AREA	NAME	BUILDING AREA
<u>External</u>			
65.	R. D. Wildman - RLOC-AEC		
66.	Division of Biology and Medicine, AEC, Washington, D. C. Attn: J. J. Davis		
67.	Division of Operational Safety, AEC, Washington, D. C. Attn: Arthur Schoen		
68.	Division of Biology and Medicine, AEC, Washington, D. C. Attn: John Wolfe		
69.	Division of Operational Safety, AEC, Washington, D. C. Attn: Nathan H. Woodruff		

ROUTE TO	PAYROLL NO.	LOCATION	FILES ROUTE DATE	SIGNATURE AND DATE

54-3000-030 (1-7-64)
AEC-GE RICHLAND, WASH. 1155251

(CLASSIFICATION)

RINGOLD - A HANFORD ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY

By

IRAL C. NELSON

R. F. FOSTER

APRIL 3, 1964

RADIATION PROTECTION OPERATION
HANFORD LABORATORIES

HANFORD ATOMIC PRODUCTS OPERATION
Richland, Washington

GENERAL  ELECTRIC

1155252

HANFORD ATOMIC PRODUCTS OPERATION
RICHLAND, WASHINGTON

NOTICE

This report was prepared for use within General Electric Company in the course of work under Atomic Energy Commission Contract AT(45-1) - 1350, and any views or opinions expressed in the report are those of the author only. This report is subject to revision upon collection of additional data.

LEGAL NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or

B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

1155253

RINGOLD - A HANFORD ENVIRONMENTAL STUDYI. INTRODUCTION

The Hanford operation at Richland, Washington, is a complex of nuclear reactors, fuel fabrication plants, chemical separation facilities and research and development laboratories. During the course of operating these facilities various radioactive wastes are generated. The high level wastes are concentrated and retained in storage within the project area. Low level wastes are released to the atmosphere, the Columbia River, and to ground in a controlled manner. These low level wastes are potential sources of radiation exposure to persons living in the vicinity of the project. Controlling low level waste releases so that radiation exposures are within applicable limits is one of the attendant responsibilities to the operation of the plant.

The relationship of the Hanford facilities to local geographical features in general is shown in Figure 1. The project boundary encloses a controlled area of about 600 square miles. The project is situated along the Columbia River in a semi-arid portion of South-eastern Washington. The natural vegetation of the area is sparse and consists mainly of sagebrush and grasses and is primarily suited to grazing. Irrigation projects associated with upper Columbia River dams are reclaiming sizeable areas for agricultural purposes. The population of the surrounding communities totals about 80,000 people.

In assessing off-site exposures the environmental surveillance program has regularly sampled and analyzed air, water, and farm produce for radioactive materials and made measurements of external radiation. From the results of these analyses, estimates of internal depositions and radiation exposure to hypothetical individuals of assumed dietary habits are developed. In mid-1962 the use of Hanford's Whole Body Counter facilities in conjunction with the environmental surveillance program was initiated. The use of these facilities permits direct




FIGURE 1

Features of Hanford Project and Vicinity

determination of many radionuclides in the body and avoids many of the assumptions necessary in the usual exposure estimate. The first population group to which this technique was applied was the people from the small and well defined Ringold farm area. This paper describes the Ringold area, the people who live there and the results of their examinations.

II. THE RINGOLD FARMS

The Ringold area is one of the few farming areas using Columbia River water drawn downstream from the reactors for irrigation purposes. Ringold amounts to about 500 acres of land situated on a flat between the Columbia River on the west and high bluffs on the east. The area is bounded on the north by the Hanford project boundary and ends on the south where the river meets the bluffs. The area is shown in the aerial photograph of Figure 2. Ringold is about thirteen miles downstream from the nearest production reactor. It is also about thirteen miles east of the chemical separations facilities. . .the shortest air distance to a farming area from the separations plants. The community is about nine miles upstream from the laboratories and fuel fabrications areas.

The Ringold area and the people who live there are of particular interest in relation to radiation exposure from environmental sources because it is the area nearest the production facilities and therefore would be expected to have the greatest potential for radiation exposure as a result of plant operations. Also significant is the fact that the farming area is small which makes direct acquaintance with each family practical. There were 20 people living in the Ringold area at the time of this study.

Next to the north boundary (left margin of photograph) is farm A of about 30 acres belonging to A1, age 59 and A2 his wife age 52. These people have lived at Ringold 16 years. The principal crop produced by the farm is apricots. Though




FIGURE 2

The Ringold Area

AEC-GE RICHLAND, WASH

1155257

a garden was kept, most of this families' meat, milk, etc. was purchased in town. Their water supply is from a well fed by water from Ringold springs. These springs occur on the hillside above their property. These people make little use of Columbia River fish. Recently, the farm was sold to provide for expansion of fish ponds of the State Fisheries Department.

The next area involves about 140 acres which contain newly built fish rearing ponds under the direction of the State Fisheries and Game Departments. The family, B1 age 22 and his wife B2 age 19 are the caretakers for the Game Department. They have been at Ringold for about three quarters of a year. They obtain their meat exclusively from game obtained at Ringold. (Both shot deer a short distance from their house.) Their milk is purchased from a local farm beyond the bluffs. They have a garden for vegetables and their water is obtained from a well fed by Ringold Springs. As time permits they expect to make use of Columbia River fish in their food supply.

In the photograph the southern boundary of the Game Department land is a water waste way that terminates in the river just downstream of the ponds. Beyond this, family C leases about 15 acres of land which is in orchards. Family C includes C1, age about 25 years and his wife, C2 age 25 and children, C3-male age 6, C4-female age 5, C5-male age 4 and C6-male age 1. This family has made almost no use of Ringold produce. Their meat, vegetables, milk, etc. was all purchased in stores. Until recently when their cow came fresh, their milk was prepared exclusively from powdered milk mixed with water from Ringold Springs. They made no use of Columbia River fish. Their orchard was irrigated with water from the waste way and their water supply was from the springs.

The next farm is that belonging to D1, age 58 and his wife D2, age 54 and consists of about 160 acres of permanent pasture. Until recently D1 kept a dairy herd. Presently cattle are kept for meat or for replacements in dairy herds. Irrigation

1155258

water is taken from the river. Their drinking water is from a well and most of their food is purchased in town. This family has lived on their Ringold farm for 21 years.

Where Ringold flat is bounded by bluffs an essentially frost free area exists which is ideal for production of fruit. The E farm immediately south of the D pasture is such an area. The principal crop is peaches, but pears, apples, apricots etc. are also grown. A good year would yield over 100 ton of produce from the 45 acre E farm. The E family is composed of E1- male age 52, his wife E2- age 46, and children, E3- male 20, E4- male 13, and E5- female age 9. This family has resided at Ringold for 19 years. Their water is from a well and their irrigation water is pumped from the river. They keep a cow or two for their milk needs, and a garden for their vegetables and raise their own beef. They make little use of Columbia River fish.

The last farm consists of 76 acres belonging to F1 and which is primarily devoted to raising sheep. There are two members in this family, F1, male age 50, and daughter, F2, age 15. F1 has lived at Ringold for about 50 years. Most of this families' food supply is purchased in stores. Their water supply comes from a well. F1 is an ardent fisherman for Columbia River Steelhead and claims an annual catch of about 15 such fish.

III. SOURCE OF ENVIRONMENTAL EXPOSURE

During the past several years the environmental surveillance program has regularly sampled produce from these farms. This produce was radioassayed and the results were used to develop estimates of radiation exposure to a hypothetical Ringold resident of assumed dietary habits. The radionuclides which have been detected in a sufficient number of these samples to be of interest are Zinc⁶⁵, Phosphorus³², Iodine¹³¹, and Strontium⁹⁰. Zinc⁶⁵ and P³² are identified with reactor effluent

water. These radionuclides enter man through ingestion of Columbia River water downstream from the reactors, from ingestion of milk, meat or produce raised on land irrigated with such water or from consumption of fish and water fowl which inhabit the river. Although small amounts of I^{131} and Sr^{90} are released by Hanford facilities, at the time of this study these radionuclides were identified primarily with fallout from nuclear weapons testing. The foodstuffs of primary interest have been milk and Columbia River fish. Results of the environmental surveillance program have been documented in considerable detail in quarterly and annual reports of the Hanford Laboratories Environmental Studies and Evaluation Operation which are available from the Office of Technical Services, U.S. Department of Commerce.(1)

IV. WHOLE BODY COUNTER LABORATORY

In November of 1962 the families of the Ringold area were invited to be examined in Hanford's Whole Body Counter. The Hanford Whole Body Counter facility consists of a low background iron room which is about 10 feet square and whose walls, ceiling, and floor are made of steel ten inches thick. The room weighs about 120 tons. Various scintillation detectors are used in conjunction with a transistorized multichannel pulse height analyzer to satisfy a number of internal dosimetry needs.

For the examination of the Ringold families the pulse height analyzer was electronically divided into two 200 channel units which could receive signals from two detectors simultaneously. In this way a 9-3/8" x 4" NaI detector was used for whole body counting and a 3" x 3" NaI detector was used to measure I^{131} in the thyroid. The measurement apparatus with a subject in place is shown in Figure 3. The sensitivity of the thyroid counter for I^{131} is taken nominally to be 30 picocuries (pc) for a 20 minute examination.

1155260




FIGURE 3

Ringold Examinee and Equipment

AEC-GE RICHLAND, WASH.

11552b1

V. RESULTS

The results of the whole body and thyroid counts of the Ringold families are presented in Table I.

TABLE I
RINGOLD WHOLE BODY COUNTER RESULTS

<u>Subj.</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Exam Date</u>	<u>Zn⁶⁵</u>		<u>Cs¹³⁷</u>		<u>I¹³¹ in Thyroid</u>	
				<u>nc</u>	<u>%NCRPL*</u>	<u>nc</u>	<u>%NCRPL*</u>	<u>pc</u>	<u>%FRCL**</u>
E1	M	52	12/1/62	82	1.4	4.5	0.15	110	1.5
E2	F	46	12/1/62	43	0.7	1.1	0.04	48	0.6
E3	M	20	12/2/62	20	0.33	2.6	0.09	-	-
E4	M	13	12/1/62	57	0.9	2.2	0.08	300	5.5
			12/22/62	54	0.9	2.5	0.08	170	3.1
E5	F	9	12/1/62	33	0.55	1.4	0.05	120	4.0
			12/22/64	30	0.55	1.4	0.05	55	1.8
C2	F	26	12/2/62	8.6	0.14	4.3	0.14	-	-
A1	M	59	12/15	3.6	0.06	4.7	0.14	35	0.4
A2	F	52	12/15	3.6	0.06	4.5	0.15	-	-
B1	M	22	12/15	12	0.20	10	0.33	-	-
B2	F	19	12/15	4.0	0.07	5.3	0.18	150	2.0
F1	M	50	1/5/63	10	0.17	6.7	0.22	-	-
F2	F	15	1/5	5.5	0.09	8.0	0.25	-	-

* The values in the column headed %NCRPL are the whole body counter results expressed as percentages of the maximum permissible body burden for the critical organ under the "neighborhood" concept as described by the National Committee on Radiation Protection and Measurements (2).

** The values in the column headed %FRCL are the measured thyroid burdens assumed to exist for one year and expressed as percentages of the recommended annual dose to the thyroid as described by the Federal Radiation Council (3).

1155262

Zinc⁶⁵ and Cesium¹³⁷ were detected in all subjects in addition to naturally occurring Potassium⁴⁰. I¹³¹ was detected in those drinking local milk. Fallout from weapons testing was relatively high in this area at the time of examination which accounts for the occurrence of I¹³¹ in the thyroid glands. Fallout was the predominant source of the Cs¹³⁷.

In the twelve individuals counted the amount of Zinc⁶⁵ present ranged from 3.6 to 82nc, Cs¹³⁷ from 1.1 to 10nc and I¹³¹ ranged from below detection to 300 pc.

The whole body dose corresponding to the largest Zn⁶⁵ body burden observed (82nc) assuming standard man parameters and that the amount observed is sustained is about 7 mrem per year.

An estimate of the thyroid dose in cases of significant measurement of I¹³¹ in the thyroid was developed for adults assuming standard man parameters and thyroid burdens sustained at the observed amount. The dose to the childrens' thyroids was modified according to the estimated weight of their thyroid. Lacking measurements over an extended period the larger of the two measurements for each of the children were considered sustained for a year. The thyroid dose estimates on these bases are presented in Table II.

TABLE II

Estimated Thyroid Dose

<u>Subject</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Thyroid wt</u> <u>gm</u>	<u>Thyroid Burden</u> <u>I¹³¹ pc</u>	<u>Dose</u> <u>rads/yr</u>
B2	19	20	150	0.03
E1	52	20	110	0.02
E4	13	15	300	0.08
E5	9	8	120	0.06

VI. BIOASSAY RESULTS

Of the families at Ringold the E family most nearly fits the image of a farm family which makes optimum use of farm produce for their sustenance. Because of this and their cooperative spirit in this study they were requested (except E3) to provide a 48 hour total urine collection. Hopefully radioanalysis of the urine would indicate the presence of any radionuclides not observed in the Whole Body Counter. Radiochemical analyses were performed for Tritium, Plutonium²³⁹, Uranium, Phosphorus³², and Strontium⁹⁰. In addition a gamma scan was performed on the urine. Trace amounts of Chromium⁵¹, Cesium¹³⁷, Zirconium-Niobium⁹⁵, Strontium⁹⁰, and Iodine ¹³¹ were identified in some samples. No attempt has been made to interpret trace findings in terms of body burdens. Neither H³ nor Pu²³⁹ were detected in the urine. Small amounts of U on the order of 1-2 ug/l were found in each sample. The presence of uranium was attributed to its occurrence in well water.

Phosphorus³² was detected in each member of the E family and ranged from 1.0 to 1.8×10^{-4} $\mu\text{c P}^{32}/\text{l}$. A comparison may be made between these results and the excretion rate of a man whose body burden of P³² had been established in the course of development of an in vivo measurement technique for P³². This person excreted about 3.5×10^{-3} $\mu\text{c P}^{32}$ per 24 hour voiding while at a level of 0.4 μc in the body. Comparing the E's excretion rates with that above would imply burdens of 0.03 μc for E1, 0.02 for E2, 0.01 for E4 and 0.008 μc for E5. These values in terms of NCRP limits range from 1-5%. By another comparison the equilibrium amount of P³² in the body may be determined using standard man parameters and an average concentration of 8×10^{-4} pc/l of milk for 1962. Assuming each of the E family consumed such milk at a rate of one liter per day, the implied body burden would be 0.01 μc which is in fair agreement with the estimate from the analysis of urine.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The results from examining the Ringold residents have confirmed the place of Whole Body Counting in environmental surveillance programs. Of primary importance, the Whole Body Count provides a direct measurement on the subject of interest and avoids dietary assumptions, uptake parameters etc. It is unlikely that a significant quantity of any gamma emitting nuclide would go unnoticed. That only a few radionuclides were detected in the Ringold residents and that those present were in sufficiently small amounts to be comfortably within applicable limits was a gratifying conclusion of this study.

REFERENCES

1. Wilson, R.H. "Evaluation of Radiological Conditions in the Vicinity of Hanford for 1962". AEC Document HW-76526 (Unclassified) February, 1963.
2. National Committee on Radiation Protection and Measurements, "Maximum Permissible Body Burdens and Maximum Permissible Concentrations of Radionuclides in Air and Water for Occupational Workers". National Bureau of Standards Handbook 69, June 1959.
3. Federal Radiation Council. "Background Material for the Development of Radiation Protection Standards" Staff Report No. 2. U. S. Government Printing Office. May, 1960.

11552bb