

DOCUMENT SOURCE	
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Archives and Records Office	
Records Series Title	<u>LBL Life Sciences Division</u>
	<u>R &amp; D Administrative Files</u>
Accession No.	<u>434-90-0236</u>
File Code No.	<u>73-11-26</u>
Carton No.	<u>26/30</u>
Folder No.	<u>Empty Box</u>
Notes	
Found By	<u>John Stoner</u>
Dates	<u>Copied 7-21-94</u>

**COPY**

713599

LAWRENCE BERKELEY LABORATORY  
Room: Bldg.: Ext.:


July 5, 1973  
DC 73-568

TO: J. L. Born

SUBJECT: Human Use - Budinger's Camera Comparison

George Pappas has asked me about your position as a Contract 48 official when you act on the Protocol Committee with reference to a Contract 48 proposal and whether there might be an apparent conflict of interest. My initial reaction is negative to this question so long as it is clear that when you act in that area you clearly distinguish your role. Accordingly, shouldn't the memorandum you wrote to Budinger on June 7 omit your title as Director and substitute the Chairman designation throughout?

I assume the memorandum from Lorraine McGraw of June 29 represents a concurring approval by a totally non-Contract 48 group - which, it seems, would completely answer the conflict aspect. However, is it our standard practice to always get such a review and formal approval?

  
W. D. Douglass

WDD:lv

cc: G. L. Pappas  
Mark Owens, Jr.

1089703

HUMAN SUBJECTS I  
HISTORICAL 1972-197