DEFENSE ATOMIC SUPPORT AGENCY

PRICE NEGOTIATION MEMORANDUM

Contract/ESEATONICE	No. DASA01-69-	C 0131				
1. Introductory Summa	ry					
This is a /7 CPFF	/x7CRcc	ontract negotiat	ed on a \sqrt{x} single-source			
/// informal competit	ive / formal	competitive (RF	P) basis. Over-all			
comparative prices are sa follows:						
	Proposed	Objective	Negotiated			
Estimated cost	\$ 71,369	\$ 71,369	\$ 71,369			
Pee/Profit	** -0-	** -0-	** -0-			
Total Price	\$ 71,369	\$ 71,369	\$ 71,369			
Fee/Profit, percent	** %	** %	** %			
2. Particulars						
a. Contractor's na	a. Contractor's name and address: University of Cincinnati					
		College of Me	edicine			
		Cincinnati, (Ohio 45229			
b. Contract for: A Study of Radiation Effects in Man: Manifestations						
and Therapeutic Efforts.						
and Tretapeutic Liliques.						
c. Contractor's Proposal Letter dated						
	<u> </u>	v	-			
19 February 1969 , as revised by						
dated, was used as basis for negotiations.						
DASA FL 125			under:			
24 Sep 68			Contract/MONX 69_G-0131 Section: Negotiation			

		_		(Contractor	•
Board of Div		and Miss D	. Jeanne R	yder	, Con1
(Titl Negotiator,	•	30 April 1969			
e. DD F	orm 633-4 a	nd cost or pric	ing data x	_ geope∕were not	required beca
	Proposal w	as for \$100,000	or over		
½ 7	Proposal w	as for less tha	ın \$100,000)	
	Competitive	e prices were	received		
<u> </u>		e based on esta commercial item		_	
	-	rice was for le n was considere			cost
3. Procurem	ent Situatio	on: See Purcha	se Request	STND dated 29 Fa	DF
		_	•		-
General Mego	tiation Memo	orandum dated	30 April	1969	filed in C
		orandum dated se Request" and			filed in C
file under t	abs "Purchas				filed in C
file under t	abs "Purchasion Summary	se Request" and	"Negotiat	ion".	filed in C
file under t 4. Negotiat	abs "Purchasion Summary		"Negotiat	ion".	filed in C
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Follo	abs "Purchasion Summary	se Request" and	"Negotiat	ion".	filed in C
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Follo	abs "Purchasion Summary owing indivi	se Request" and	"Negotiat	ion".	filed in C
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Follo	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct Le (a) Propos	se Request" and idual cost elem abor sed \$ 43,198	"Negotiat ents were Object	ion".	filed in C
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Follo	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct Le (a) Propos	se Request" and idual cost elem	"Negotiat ents were Object	ion".	filed in C
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Follo	abs "Purchasion Summary owing indivi- Direct La (a) Propos	se Request" and idual cost elem abor sed \$ 43,198	"Negotiat ents were Object	ion". considered: ive \$43,198	
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Foll (1)	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct L. (a) Proposion Negotion (b) Basis	se Request" and idual cost elem abor sed \$ 43,198 iated \$ 43,198 for acceptance	"Negotiat ents were Object	ion". considered: ive \$43,198	as to extent
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Foll (1)	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct La (a) Proposion Negotic (b) Basis t required,	se Request" and idual cost elements sed \$ 43,198 isted \$ 43,198	"Negotiat ents were Object	ion". considered: ive \$43,198	as to extent
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Foll (1)	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct La (a) Proposion Negotic (b) Basis t required,	se Request" and idual cost elem abor sed \$ 43,198 iated \$ 43,198 for acceptance	"Negotiat ents were Object	ion". considered: ive \$43,198	as to extent
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Foll (1)	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct Ly (a) Proposion Negotia (b) Basis t required,	se Request" and idual cost elem abor sed \$ 43,198 iated \$ 43,198 for acceptance	"Negotiat ents were Object STMD P.R. with labo	considered: ive \$43,198 . 28 Feb 69.	as to extent
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Foll (1)	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct Ly (a) Proposion Negotia (b) Basis t required,	idual cost elements aborused \$ 43,198 isted \$ 43,198 for acceptance and consistent	"Negotiat ents were Object STMD P.R. with labo	considered: ive \$43,198 . 28 Feb 69.	as to extent
file under t 4. Negotiat a. Foll (1)	abs "Purchasion Summary owing individual Direct Ly (a) Proposion Negotia (b) Basis t required,	idual cost elements aborused \$ 43,198 isted \$ 43,198 for acceptance and consistent	"Negotiat ents were Object STMD P.R. with labo	considered: ive \$43,198 . 28 Feb 69.	as to extent

(5) <u>Con</u>	sultant
(a)	Proposed \$ 1.000 Objective \$ 1.000
	Negotiated \$1.000
(b)	Basis for acceptance STMD P.R. 28 Feb 69, as to requirement
for consultant	t in the internal medicine field.
(c)	Reliance on Contractor data Full reliance on Contractor
data.	
(6) <u>Tr</u> a	rve]
(a)	Proposed \$ 2,000 Objective \$2,000
	Negotiated \$ 2.000
(b)	Basis for acceptance STMD P.R. 28 Feb 69, as to extent of
travel requir	ed.
(c)	Reliance on Contractor data Full reliance on Contractor's
data.	
~	
(a)	Proposed \$ Objective \$
	Negotiated \$
(b)	Basis for acceptance
(c)	Reliance on Contractor data
<u></u>	

(2) Staff Benefits
(a) Proposed \$5,616 Objective \$ 5,616
Negotiated \$ 5,616
(b) Basis for acceptance ONM Advisory Letter that Staff
Penefit rate is 13% of salaries. (See CG File)
(c) Reliance on Contractor data None
(3) Overhead
(a) Proposed \$ 14,255 Objective \$ 14,255
Negotiated \$ 14,255
(b) Basis for acceptance ONM Audit Report that Overhead Rate is 33%, applicable to Direct salaries for FY 69. (See CG File) ONM Negotisti Report, 17 Mar 69, gave new overhead rate at 42.78%, but Contractor agreed to st with the proposed rate of 33% since his proposal was prepared prior to issuance of the ONM Report and to keep the Contract within available FY 69 funding. (c) Reliance on Contractor data None
(4) Materials
(a) Proposed \$5,300 Objective \$ 5,300
Negotiated \$ 5,300
(b) Basis for acceptance STMD P.R., 28 Feb. 69, as to requirement
for proposed materials and equipment in performance of the Contract work.
(c) Reliance on Contractor data Full reliance on Contractor's
data

(7)	Fixed-Fee/Profit NOT APPLICABLE
	(a) Proposed \$ Objective \$
	Negotiated \$
	(b) For development of fee/profit objective, see DD Form
1547 dated	, with attachment thereto, Incl 1 to this M/R.
	(c) Negotiation of fee/profit: Not applicable, since Contractor
is a non-pr	ofit educational institution.
	
 	
b. Reaso	onableness of Final Price
<u>*</u> 7	Based on the above information, the agreed final price is
considered to	be fair and reasonable.
	The concession made in the awarded price, without change
in the Govern	ment's ideas about cost, was considered necessary to obtain

an award, and is considered to be in the best interest of the Government.

5. Miscellaneous

a. DCAA Prop	osal Audit Revie	ew / was requested from
on		/// was not required because
roposal was for curre		000 /X/ was waived because
		in LGCM to perform a cost analysis of Contractor
proposal.		
	ort N/A	dated
c. Informal		rmation was obtained from N/A
		(Audit Repr. Name)
(Agency)	and See	tab "Preaward Survey", Contract file).
		IGCM Contract Regotiator

Renewed Jonature (66.4/30/09

1-Incl /// DD Form 1547, w/2 Atchs