

100-2-14110

Box 3 Folder 36 th Enclosure

~~SECRET~~

File

15 June 1949

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW		D R I F T E	
AUTHORITY: <i>alt 3/27/94</i>	DETERMINATION (CIRCLE NUMBER(S))	CLASSIFICATION: <i>NSI</i>	
REVIEWER: <i>ADDY</i>	DATE: <i>7/6/94</i>	CLASSIFIED TO: <i>DOD</i>	INFO
NAME: <i>ADDY</i>	DATE: <i>7/6/94</i>	CLASSIFIED BY: <i>ADDY</i>	DATE: <i>7/6/94</i>
CLASSIFICATION: <i>NSI</i>			

Enclosure 7/11/94 including Draft 2

SUBJECT: RW Program Status Report of the Joint AEC-NME Panel dated 10 Jan. '49

TO: Executive Secretary, RDB
Room 3E-600
The Pentagon
Washington, D.C.

~~SECRET~~
~~SECRET~~

SPECIFIC RESTRICTED DATA CLEARANCE R1

- Reference is made to letter, above subject, dated 10 May 1949 in which the CAE informed you of its action taken 7 April 1949 with reference to RW Program Status Report of the Joint AEC-NME Panel dtd 10 Jan. 1949.
- The CAE on 1 June considered the RW problem in view of a recommendation by the Chief, AFSWP for an RW field test site, based upon a request by the D/A. The CAE adopted the inclosed resolution.
- This resolution in effect constitutes a modification by the CAE of its previous approval of the recommendations in the Report of the Joint Panel dated 10 Jan. 1949 insofar as the Panel recommendations on field testing facilities (Recommendation D) are concerned. The Panel strongly recommended "that adequate field testing facilities be provided to the agency responsible for the development of dissemination devices" and stated that "in case it is impossible to locate a proper field testing facility near the Army Chemical Center, careful consideration must be given to the establishment of a new development laboratory regarding a field test installation and proper provisions should be made for this eventuality in the budget for FY 1950 and 1951." At its 1 June meeting, the CAE disapproved the request of the Army for field test facilities. *This disapproval constitutes a disapproval of Recommendation D quoted above.*
- In view of the CAE resolution, attached, it is recommended that the RDB request the JCS to review the urgency and desirability of a (dissemination) program. It is felt that the draft letter to the JCS, proposed by CAE letter

No Defense Nuclear Agency Classified Information. Coordinate with Army Before Declassification Authority: ISTS Date: _____

Declassified by DNA, Chief, ISTS Date 7/12/95

~~SECRET~~

HRE-1132W

~~SECRET~~
D R A F T
Page two

to RDB of 10 May 1949, subject above, should be withdrawn and a substitution made. Draft of a proposed substitution is inclosed.

5. Other draft letters proposed to the AEC, the Secretary of Defense, and to the Chairman of the Joint Panel should be modified to conform with the decision of the RDB regarding a substitute letter to the JCS.

LUEDECKE

2 Incls:
Motion
Draft letter to JCS

D R A F T

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~
ATOMIC ENERGY ACT 1946
SPECIFIC RESTRICTED DATA CLEARANCE REQUIRED