

SUMMARY RESULTS OF ENEWETAK/BIKINI ATOLL

VISITS

September 1974

DISCUSSIONS WITH THE PEOPLE

On August 12, 1974, the Commission considered and approved recommendations contained in SECY 75-81 for cleanup and rehabilitation of Enewetak Atoll and instructed the staff to obtain comments from the Enewetak people. During the week of September 2, 1974, staff of the Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA), Department of the Interior (DOI), and the Atomic Energy Commission (AEC), met with the Enewetak people and their advisors at Enewetak Atoll.

The AEC recommendations were presented to the Enewetak Council, their advisors, members of the Congress of Micronesia, and staff of the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (TTPI). The DNA submitted a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) which contains the AEC recommendations as the preferred option. During the series of meetings, there was ample opportunity for questions.

Additional information was presented on radiation standards and their application to the radiological conditions leading to the recommendation that Enjebi not be resettled at this time. AEC staff were asked when Engebi would become habitable again. The staff responded that a precise estimate was not possible now, that natural decay would reduce the radioactivity in the soil and plants to acceptable levels in 30 years, and that AEC would be studying the rate of reduction of radioactivity in the environment and within five years should have a better answer to the question.

There was a question about how the Enjebi people were to be accommodated till they can return to their island. A DOI representative said that this should be worked out by the Enewetak people and that it was hoped an agreement would be reached permitting the Enjebi people to live in the southern islands. The Enjebi people are concerned that their houses may be constructed on southern islands they do not own and that the houses could not later be moved to Enjebi.

Representatives of AEC, DNA, and DOI, the District Administrator of the Marshall Islands, and the Enewetak Council and their legal advisors discussed the announced plan for 50 people to return to Japtan Island prior to cleanup. On July 18, 1974, DOI was advised that while there was no contamination on Japtan Island, AEC does not recommend return of people before cleanup and certification because of a number of hazardous conditions known to exist in other

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

parts of the Atoll. However, AEC would interpose no objections to such return provided TTPI can assure effective measures to restrict access to unsafe areas and prevent removal or transport of contaminated scrap. Interior did proceed to approve and announce the early return. A list of conditions and restrictions for this return were prepared. These included: (1) no visits to islands in the north, (2) scrap collection only with permission of the TTPI Representative, (3) visits to other islands in the south under control of the TTPI Representative. The Japtan conditions and restrictions were presented to the Council. See Attachment I.

During a side trip to Bikini, legal advisors to the Bikini Council requested a comparison of the predicted radiation doses for the Bikini people and the predicted dose for the Enewetak people. The radiological survey findings and decision to rehabilitate Bikini Atoll were reviewed. (See Attachment II, Liverman to Barry, June 27, 1974.) The advisors were told that the same radiation standards were used for both Enewetak and Bikini Atolls. Food items such as pandanus, breadfruit, banana and papaya, will be monitored for uptake of radioactivity and contribution to radiation dose. Locally grown pandanus and breadfruit will not be available for four or five years. Banana and papaya will be available much earlier.

FOLLOWUP ACTIONS AND FUNDING REQUIREMENTS

The agreed upon division of responsibilities between the several Federal agencies for Enewetak Atoll assigns the Department of Defense, with DNA as its action agency, the job of preparing the DEIS and conducting and funding the cleanup. DOI is to be responsible for rehabilitation, including commercial and subsistence agriculture and housing and community facilities construction. The AEC conducted the radiological survey and provided radiological criteria and recommendations for cleanup. In addition, AEC is to provide advice and close liaison with DNA and DOI on radiological aspects of cleanup and rehabilitation field operations. AEC will participate in certification that cleanup, according to the criteria and recommendations, has been satisfactorily completed. Further, there is a firm requirement for followup of the environment and people to be certain that radiation dose predictions are indeed correct. These are responsibilities AEC will have to assume. This same division of responsibilities and participation applied to Bikini Atoll cleanup and rehabilitation. Except for certification and environmental studies, AEC is thus in an advisory role for both atolls.

Information also will be applicable to DNA from its work on the long-term radiation dose predictions.

2. Criteria have been provided to DNA for cleanup of plutonium. Additional guidance is required on feasibility of reducing the quantity of material requiring disposal, e.g., can plutonium be removed from the contaminated soil, and what is the cost of such action? This information will have an impact on the method chosen for disposal of contaminated material and therefore on the cost of cleanup.

Answers are needed so that DNA can effectively deal with plutonium cleanup and disposal during the cleanup of other debris, expected to require two to three years of field work. Otherwise, AEC may well inherit a long-term problem.

3. Not all islands at Bikini Atoll were returned free of restrictions and several important food items were not growing and could not be sampled. New crops never before grown in the Atoll have been planted. A long-term follow-up of the radiological status of the environment and people of Bikini Atoll is being done. It is essential that strict measures be taken to maintain within the standards. It is expected that a number of restrictions may be removed for certain islands.

Further field work and laboratory work will be needed to answer questions and carry forward work at Bikini and Enewetak. This is a long-term effort with results needed today.

4. There is a need for current data on external radiation levels on Bikini Island to bring information up to par with Enewetak Atoll. Also, questions are being asked about the current radiological status of other islands that received significant fallout from the 1954 Bravo test. External radiation surveys using the aerial survey system and samples of food items are needed for Rongerik, Rongerik, and Ujae Atolls. There is an increasing number of inquiries about the radiation levels in these Atolls, and little or no current radiation data.

evaluation of the various disposal alternatives and their costs. EPA has indicated such an evaluation may take a year or more to process.

Results of an evaluation of disposal alternatives will be needed in about one year to allow time for EPA processing and in order to obtain a permit for ocean disposal for timely use during and near the finish of cleanup field operations.

The five items above require decisions regarding responsibility and funding within AEC and its divisions and field offices. A clear understanding of division of responsibilities between AEC and DOD is also needed. There is a critical need for answers to the questions that have been raised in items 1, 2 & 5 and the research and necessary studies must be accomplished quickly. The followup environmental monitoring and re-evaluation of the dose predictions represent longer term studies that will be continued indefinitely. Annual funding for this work will have to be continued and increased when both Bikini and Eniwetok Atolls are resettled.

It should be noted that AEC will have to provide qualified representatives to provide technical advice in cleanup to DNA and its contractors and to provide direct and indirect assistance in the field during cleanup and rehabilitation. Cleanup is expected to last two to three years. Rehabilitation is expected to last about two years. The precise methods of rehabilitation and cleanup has not been developed. However, it is expected that with the use of a contractor staff will be required to assist in the cleanup and rehabilitation under the cognizance of AEC. It is estimated that a staff of 100 men will be needed for construction of the cleanup and rehabilitation over a five-year period starting with DNA field operations.