18. S. Coon and J. Dabrowski, Phys. Rev. 140, B287 (1965)

19. N.M. Hugenholz, Physica 23, 533 (1957)

20. L.D. Faddeyev, Zh. Eksperim i. Teor. Fiz. 39, 1459 (1960); Dodd. Akad. Nauk SSSR 138, 565 (1961). English translations in Soviet Phys. -JETP 12, 1014 (1961) and Doklady 6, 384 (1962) respectively.

21. B.E. Day, Phys. Rev. 151, No.3, 826 (1966)

22. This is the BBP spectrum described in A. Briefly, we will use it in the form $E(b) = \frac{1}{m_*}(b^2) + A_2$ for $b > k_F$ and $E(n) = \frac{1}{m_*}n^2 + A_1$ for $n < k_F$ with Δ defined by $A_2 - A_1 = \Delta k_F^2$.

23. H.S. Kohler, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 12, 444 (1961)

24. D.W.L. Sprung, P.C. Bhargava and T. Dahlblom, Physics Letters 21, 538 (1966).

25. All these formulae are valid only if g may be written as a function of r_{23} alone. For a more general treatment see sections 5 and 6

26. T. Dahlblom, (to be published) in Nuclear Physics

27. K.A. Brueckner and J.L. Gammel, Phys. Rev. 109, 1023, (1958)

28. The asymmetry in K_1 and K_2 is arbitrary. It would be equally possible to let g depend on the momenta in state K_2 ; then closure with respect to K_1 could be accomplished. However, K_1 is more simply defined by the two-body function η_1 while K_2 requires analysis of the complicated 3-body function Z.

29. H.A. Bethe, Phys. Rev. (to be published)

30. P. Noyes, Conference on Nuclear Forces and the Few-Nucleon Problem (London 1959), P. Noyes and T. Osborn, private communication (1965)

31. R. Reid, Cornell University thesis (1967), to be published in Phys. Rev.