

CONF 9208117--24

PNL-SA--21226

DE93 008254

AN OSHA BASED APPROACH TO SAFETY
ANALYSIS FOR NONRADIOLOGICAL HAZARDOUS
MATERIALS

RECEIVED

FEB 23 1993

OSTI

M. Yurconic

August 1992

Presented at the
Safety Analysis Working Group
August 8-13, 1992
Salt Lake City, Utah

Prepared for
the U.S. Department of Energy
under Contract DE-AC06-76RLO 1830

Pacific Northwest Laboratory
Richland, Washington 99352

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

MASTER

SAFETY ANALYSIS NONRADIOLOGICAL HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Background

DOE Order 5481.1B requires preparation of appropriate safety analyses for each DOE operation. This requirement includes the analysis of hazards associated with non-radioactive hazardous materials in DOE facilities. No further guidance is provided about the details of the analysis. Consequently, the PNL approach to safety analysis for non-radioactive hazardous materials was conceptualized and is developed below. For the purposes of this document, safety analysis consists of two parts, 1) chemical hazard classification and 2) chemical hazard assessment.

The philosophical bases for the PNL approach for both chemical hazard classification and chemical hazard assessment are listed below:

- Within the DOE community and in the public's perception, the risk of and consequences from radiological accidents greatly exceeds that from chemical releases.
- Experience has shown that hazard classification and safety analysis of radiological and chemical operations cannot be handled in the same manner. Since the perception of the level of hazard is dramatically different for the two types of operations, two different models are needed to rank and to analyze the hazards.
- There are Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) general industry standards, DOE orders and other national consensus standards for hazardous chemical operations. These standards focus on routine operations and emergencies such as spills and adequately address the precautions (both engineering and administrative) necessary for employee and property protection.
- General industry standards, however, may not adequately address the precautions necessary to prevent large uncontrolled releases that could result in catastrophic consequences.

Processes handling highly hazardous chemicals present the potential for accidents that could have catastrophic results. [Highly hazardous refers to those materials which possess toxic, flammable, reactive, or explosive properties.]

Therefore, the PNL approach for chemical safety analysis emphasizes the management of hazards associated with highly hazardous chemicals. Importantly, "management" includes taking credit for existing comprehensive controls routinely in place. These include engineering and administrative safeguards routinely in place in chemical process operations as required by OSHA, chemical industry best management practices, and other safety and health related codes.

CHEMICAL HAZARD CLASSIFICATION

Introduction

The PNL method for chemical hazard classification defines major hazards by means of a list of hazardous substances (or chemical groups) with associated trigger quantities. In addition, the functional characteristics of the facility being classified is also be factored into the classification. In this way, installations defined as major hazard will only be those which have the potential for causing very serious incidents both on and off site.

Because of the diversity of operations involving chemicals, it may not be possible to restrict major hazard facilities to certain types of operations. However, this hazard classification method recognizes that in the industrial sector major hazards are most commonly associated with activities involving very large quantities of chemicals and inherently energetic processes. These include operations like petrochemical plants, chemical production, LPG storage, explosives manufacturing, and facilities which use chlorine, ammonia, or other highly toxic gases in bulk quantities.

The basis for this methodology is derived from concepts used by OSHA in its proposed chemical process safety standard, the Dow Fire and Explosion Index Hazard Classification Guide, and the International Labor Office's program on chemical safety.

For the purpose of identifying major hazard facilities, this method uses two sorting criteria, 1) facility function and processes and 2) quantity of substances to identify facilities requiring classification. Then, a measure of chemical energy potential (material factor) is used to identify high hazard class facilities.

Objective

The objective of the PNL chemical hazard classification methodology is to provide a realistic basis for establishing uniform requirements for the preparation and review of safety analyses for DOE operations involving the use of chemicals.

Hazard Class Determination - Chemical Operations

Step 1

- a. Offices, maintenance shops, paint shops and other facilities where chemicals are used in quantities and in a manner that a consumer might use them or are used for routine maintenance activities are routine hazard class facilities.
- b. Research laboratory facilities, analytical laboratory facilities (not associated with other chemical operations) or other operating facilities in which chemicals are in small volume packages, containers and

allotments making a massive release unlikely are designated general hazard class facilities.

The definition of a laboratory is closely linked to the concept of "laboratory scale" as defined by OSHA. "Laboratory scale" means work with substances in which the containers used for reactions, transfers, and other handling of substances are designed to be easily and safely manipulated by one person.

In addition, "laboratory" means a facility where small quantities of chemicals are used on a non-production basis. Pilot scale operations would not be included in this definition of "laboratory" or "laboratory scale."

- c. Facilities or operations where explosives activities are performed and controlled according to the requirements established in the DOE Explosives Safety Manual (DOE/EV/06194) are designated general hazard class facilities.

If the explosives activities cannot comply with the requirements in the DOE Explosives Safety Manual (DOE/EV/06194) or if the donor facility/operation is not designed to contain the effects of an explosion on a threshold quantity of chemical either within the donor facility or in an adjacent facility, these facilities are designated major hazard class facilities.

Step 2

For the selection of major hazard class chemical operations, a list of substances and threshold quantities is provided in Appendix A. When the quantity of any substance present exceeds the given threshold quantity, then a contributing hazard factor related to chemical process safety is evaluated.

A measure of chemical energy potential (material factor) is determined. The material factor is determined using only two properties of the substance, flammability and reactivity. Appendix B lists material factors for some common industrial chemicals. If a material factor is not listed for a substance, use the matrix in Table 1 to determine one. The material factor is obtained from the matrix by using the substance's National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) ratings for flammability (N_f) and reactivity (N_r). If the substance has not been rated by the NFPA, use the combination of the adiabatic decomposition temperature and the flash point for the substance to determine the flammability and reactivity ratings.

Note: The quantities present in Appendix A relate to operations in each facility or group of facilities belonging to the same contractor where the distance between the facilities is not sufficient to avoid, in foreseeable circumstances, any aggravation of major accident hazards. For the purposes of this methodology, a chemical operation is defined as the aggregate of operations in all facilities within a distance of 500 meters of each other and belonging to the same contractor.

Step 3

Go to Table 2 to identify major hazard class facilities.

Table 1 - Determination of Material Factor

| | | Adiabatic decomposition temp. Td K | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|------------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|-------|----|
| | | <830 | 830-935 | 935-1010 | 1010-1080 | >1080 | |
| | | reactivity | | | | | |
| | | Nf \ Nr | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Flash point °C | None | 0 | 0 | 14 | 24 | 29 | 40 |
| | > 100 | 1 | 4 | 14 | 24 | 29 | 40 |
| | 40 - 100 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 24 | 29 | 40 |
| | -20 - +40 | 3 | 16 | 16 | 24 | 29 | 40 |
| | < -20 | 4 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 29 | 40 |
| | | Material Factor MF | | | | | |

Table 2 - Determination of Hazard Class

| Quantity Present | MF ≥ 21 | MF < 21 |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | $\geq TQ$ | major hazard class |
| $< TQ$ | general hazard class | routine hazard class |

CHEMICAL HAZARD ASSESSMENT

Introduction

A chemical hazard assessment is performed on all facilities identified as major hazard class facilities. Like chemical hazard classification, the chemical hazard assessment relies upon the substance/quantity definition as the method of defining a major chemical hazard requiring additional analysis. Importantly, the concept of a "major hazard" for both chemical hazard classification and chemical hazard assessment is an operation requiring

controls over and above those applied in normal operations, in order to provide an enhanced level of protection to workers and the public.

Furthermore, because of the inherent difficulty of defining appropriate chemical risk criteria, chemical hazard assessment relies upon a consequence based approach to perform the analysis.

Objective

Major hazard class facilities must be operated to a standard of safety which ensures that major hazards can be successfully controlled during normal and upset conditions. In order to control a major hazard, certain questions must be answered. These include:

- a. Do toxic, flammable or explosive materials in a facility constitute a major hazard?
- b. Which accidents or failures can lead to a catastrophic release?
- c. If a catastrophic release occurs, what are the consequences for employees and the public?
- d. What is being (or can be) done to mitigate the release or to reduce the consequences of a release?

The objectives of the chemical hazard assessment are to provide answers to the above questions, to define the chemical related safe operating envelope, and to assure that the major hazard facility can be operated safely.

Chemical Hazard Assessment: Chemical Facilities

A chemical hazard assessment shall be performed on facilities classified as major hazard class facilities. The analysis shall evaluate both process equipment and hazard control systems, considering the quantity of materials at or above the threshold quantities, the toxicity of the materials and special process parameters which may increase the likelihood of a catastrophic release or increase the consequences of a release. These parameters include but are not limited to flammability, reactivity, explosivity, process pressure, process temperature, and operations in or near the flammable range.

Bounding accidents, credible release scenarios and modeling should be used to develop realistic release amounts and to determine airborne concentrations. Since the toxicity of many chemicals has been established in the literature, the assessment should rely on a determination of the consequences of the release to evaluate the operating safety of the facility.

The consequences may be presented as text or in tabular form. The discussion should include a description of the expected impacts to workers and to the public. In addition, the assessment should address the potential for the release to incapacitate personnel both within the facility and in adjacent major hazard class facilities (chemical facilities) and high hazard class facilities (nuclear facilities). The assessment also should include an analysis of the possibility for subsequent releases of either radiological or chemical sources caused by operator incapacitation.

An example of the consequence based assessment approach is presented in Appendix C.

Safety Analysis: Nuclear Facilities

DOE nuclear operations require safety analysis reports (SARs), technical safety requirements (TSRs) and evaluation of unreviewed safety questions (USQs) according to the 5480 series of orders. The requirements in this series of orders are presented as applying to nuclear operations only. Currently, there is no DOE guidance for applying comparable requirements to nonnuclear operations.

Consequently, PNL SARs for nuclear facilities will include an analysis of chemicals in the facility only as they have the potential to impact the radiological source terms. Most frequently, this evaluation will examine the two most important aspects of large radiological and large chemical sources in the same facility. Namely, 1) the potential for chemicals to provide an energy source for the release of radionuclides and 2) the potential for a chemical release within the facility to incapacitate operations personnel such that a radiological hazard would be created or the consequences of a radiological incident would be increased.

| CHEMICAL name | CAS* | TQ** | | | | | | |
|---|------------|-------|--|------------|-------|--|------------|-------|
| Acetaldehyde | 75-07-3 | 2500 | Hexafluoroacetone | 684-16-2 | 5000 | Perchloryl Fluoride | 7816-84-8 | 5000 |
| Acrolein (2-Propenal) | 107-02-8 | 150 | Hydrochloric Acid, Anhydrous | 7847-01-0 | 5000 | Peroxyacetic Acid (concentration > 80% Acetic Acid; also called Peroxyacetic Acid) | 79-21-0 | 1000 |
| Acrylyl Chloride | 814-68-8 | 250 | Hydrofluoric Acid, Anhydrous | 7664-39-3 | 1000 | Phosgene (also called Carbonyl Chloride) | 75-44-5 | 100 |
| Allyl Chloride | 107-05-1 | 1000 | Hydrogen Bromide | 10035-10-6 | 5000 | Phosphine (Hydrogen Phosphide) | 7803-51-2 | 100 |
| Allylamine | 107-11-9 | 1000 | Hydrogen Chloride | 7647-01-0 | 5000 | Phosphorus Oxichloride (also called Phosphoryl Chloride) | 10025-87-3 | 1000 |
| Alkylaluminums | Varies | 5000 | Hydrogen Cyanide, Anhydrous | 74-90-8 | 1000 | Phosphorus Trichloride | 7719-12-2 | 1000 |
| Ammonia, Anhydrous | 7664-41-7 | 10000 | Hydrogen Fluoride | 7664-39-3 | 1000 | Phosphorus Trichloride (also called Phosphorus Oxichloride) | 10025-87-3 | 1000 |
| Ammonia solutions (> 44% ammonia by weight) | 7664-41-7 | 15000 | Hydrogen Peroxide (52% by weight or greater) | 7722-84-1 | 7500 | Propargyl Bromide | 106-86-7 | 100 |
| Ammonium Perchlorate | 7790-98-9 | 7500 | Hydrogen Selenide | 7783-07-5 | 150 | Propargyl Nitrate | 627-3-4 | 2500 |
| Ammonium Permanganate | 7787-38-2 | 7500 | Hydrogen Sulfide | 7783-06-4 | 1500 | Sann | 107-44-8 | 100 |
| Arsine (also called Arsenic Hydride) | 7784-42-1 | 100 | Hydroxylamine | 7803-49-8 | 2500 | Selenium Hexafluoride | 7783-79-1 | 1000 |
| Bis(Chloromethyl) Ether | 542-88-1 | 100 | Iron, Pentacarbonyl | 13463-40-8 | 250 | Stibine (Antimony Hydride) | 7803-52-3 | 500 |
| Boron Trichloride | 10294-34-5 | 2500 | Isoocyanamine | 75-31-0 | 5000 | Sulfur Dioxide (liquid) | 7446-09-5 | 1000 |
| Boron Trifluoride | 7637-07-2 | 250 | Ketene | 463-51-4 | 100 | Sulfur Pentafluoride | 5714-22-7 | 250 |
| Bromine | 7726-95-6 | 1500 | Methacrylamide | 78-35-3 | 1000 | Sulfur Tetrafluoride | 7783-80-0 | 250 |
| Bromine Chloride | 12863-11-7 | 1500 | Methacryloyl Chloride | 920-46-7 | 150 | Sulfur Trioxide (also called Sulfuric Anhydride) | 7446-11-9 | 1000 |
| Bromine Pentafluoride | 7789-30-2 | 2500 | Methacryloyloxyethyl isocyanate | 30674-90-7 | 100 | Sulfuric Anhydride (also called Sulfur Trioxide) | 7446-11-9 | 1000 |
| Bromine Trifluoride | 7787-71-5 | 15000 | Methyl Acrylonitrile | 126-98-7 | 250 | Tellurium Hexafluoride | 7783-80-4 | 250 |
| 3-Bromopropyne (also called Propargyl Bromide) | 106-96-7 | 100 | Methylamine, Anhydrous | 74-89-5 | 1000 | Tetrafluoroethylene | 118-14-3 | 5000 |
| Butyl Hydroperoxide (Tertiary) | 75-31-2 | 5000 | Methyl Bromide | 74-33-9 | 2500 | Tetrafluoroethylenimine | 10038-47-2 | 5000 |
| Butyl Perbenzoate (Tertiary) | 814-15-9 | 7500 | Methyl Chloride | 74-37-3 | 15000 | Tetramethyl Lead | 75-74-1 | 1000 |
| Carbonyl Chloride (see Phosgene) | 75-44-5 | 100 | Methyl Chloroformate | 79-22-1 | 500 | Thionyl Chloride | 7719-09-7 | 250 |
| Carbonyl Fluoride Cellulose Nitrate (concentration > 12.6% nitrogen) | 9004-70-0 | 2500 | Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide (concentration > 60%) | 1338-23-4 | 5000 | Trichloro (chloromethyl) Silane | 1558-25-4 | 100 |
| Chlorine | 7782-50-5 | 1500 | Methyl Fluoroacetate | 453-18-9 | 100 | Trichloro (dichloroethyl) Silane | 27137-85-5 | 2500 |
| Chlorine Dioxide | 10049-04-4 | 1000 | Methyl Fluorosulfate | 421-20-5 | 100 | Trichlorosilane | 10025-78-2 | 5000 |
| Chlorine Pentafluoride | 12637-63-3 | 1000 | Methyl Hydrazine | 60-34-1 | 100 | Trifluorochloroethylene | 79-38-9 | 10000 |
| Chlorine Trifluoride | 7790-31-2 | 1000 | Methyl Iodide | 74-88-4 | 7500 | Trimethoxysilane | 2487-90-3 | 1500 |
| Chloroethylaluminum (also called Diethylaluminum Chloride) | 96-10-8 | 5000 | Methyl Isocyanate | 624-83-9 | 250 | | | |
| 1-Chloro-2,4-Dinitrobenzene | 97-30-7 | 5000 | Methyl Mercaptan | 74-83-1 | 5000 | | | |
| Chloromethyl Methyl Ether | 107-30-2 | 500 | Methyl Vinyl Ketone | 79-84-4 | 100 | | | |
| Chloropicrin | 76-06-2 | 500 | Methylnchlorosilane | 75-79-8 | 500 | | | |
| Chloropicrin and Methyl Bromide mixture | None | 1500 | Nickel Carbonyl (Nickel Tetracarbonyl) | 13443-39-3 | 150 | | | |
| Chloropicrin and Methyl Chloride mixture | None | 1500 | Nitric Acid (84.5% by weight or greater) | 7697-37-2 | 500 | | | |
| Cumene Hydroperoxide | 80-15-9 | 5000 | Nitric Oxide | 10102-43-9 | 250 | | | |
| Cyanogen | 460-19-5 | 2500 | Nitrosamine (para Nitrosamine) | 100-01-8 | 5000 | | | |
| Cyanogen Chloride | 506-77-4 | 500 | Nitromethane | 75-52-5 | 2500 | | | |
| Cyanuric Fluoride | 675-14-9 | 100 | Nitrogen Dioxide | 10102-44-0 | 250 | | | |
| Diacetyl Peroxide (Concentration > 70%) | 110-22-5 | 5000 | Nitrogen Oxides (NO; NO ₂ ; N ₂ O ₄ ; N ₂ O ₃) | 10102-44-0 | 250 | | | |
| Diazomethane | 334-88-3 | 500 | Nitrogen Tetroxide (also called Nitrogen Peroxide) | 10544-72-8 | 250 | | | |
| Dibenzoyl Peroxide | 94-36-0 | 7500 | Nitrogen Trifluoride | 7783-54-2 | 5000 | | | |
| Diborane | 19287-45-7 | 100 | Nitrogen Trioxide | 10544-73-7 | 250 | | | |
| Dibutyl Peroxide (Tertiary) | 110-05-4 | 5000 | Oleum (85% to 80% by weight; also called Fuming Sulfuric Acid) | 8014-84-7 | 1000 | | | |
| Dichloro Acetylene | 7572-29-4 | 250 | Osmium Tetroxide | 20816-12-0 | 100 | | | |
| Dichlorosilane | 4109-96-0 | 2500 | Oxygen Difluoride (Fluorine Monoxide) | 7783-41-7 | 100 | | | |
| Diethylzinc | 557-20-0 | 10000 | Ozone | 10028-15-8 | 100 | | | |
| Diisopropyl Peroxydicarbonate | 105-64-6 | 7500 | Pentaborane | 19824-22-7 | 100 | | | |
| Dilauroyl Peroxide | 105-74-3 | 7500 | Peracetic Acid (concentration > 80% Acetic Acid; also called Peroxyacetic / 1) | 79-21-0 | 1000 | | | |
| Dimethyldichlorosilane | 75-78-5 | 1000 | Perchloric Acid (concentration > 80% by weight) | 7601-90-3 | 5000 | | | |
| Dimethylhydrazine, 1,1- | 57-14-7 | 1000 | Perchloromethyl Mercaptan | 594-42-3 | 150 | | | |
| Dimethylamine, Anhydrous | 124-40-3 | 2500 | | | | | | |
| 2,4-Dinitroaniline | 97-32-9 | 5000 | | | | | | |
| Ethyl Methyl Ketone Peroxide (also Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide; concentration > 60%) | 1338-23-4 | 5000 | | | | | | |
| Ethyl Nitrite | 109-95-5 | 5000 | | | | | | |
| Ethylamine | 75-34-7 | 7500 | | | | | | |
| Ethylene Fluorohydrin | 371-62-0 | 100 | | | | | | |
| Ethylene Oxide | 75-21-8 | 5000 | | | | | | |
| Ethyleneimine | 151-58-4 | 1000 | | | | | | |
| Fluorine | 7782-41-4 | 1000 | | | | | | |
| Formaldehyde (Formalin) | 50-00-0 | 1000 | | | | | | |
| Furan | 110-00-9 | 500 | | | | | | |

*Chemical Abstract Service Number.
 **Threshold Quantity in Pounds (Amount necessary to be covered by this standard).

**APPENDIX A
THRESHOLD QUANTITY DETERMINATION**

1. This appendix contains a listing of toxic and reactive chemicals and their associated threshold quantities (TQs). It is believed that these chemicals present a potential for a catastrophic event at or above the threshold quantity. This list is not intended to be all inclusive but represents many of the highly hazardous chemicals routinely used in large amounts in industrial operations.
2. If a TQ has not been determined for a chemical, use the scheme presented below to establish a TQ for the purpose of performing a hazard classification.

- a. If the chemical is determined to be highly toxic, use 100 pounds.

For this hazard classification method, highly toxic is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}LD50 &\leq 5 \text{ mg/kg} \quad \text{oral} \quad \text{or} \\LD50 &\leq 1 \text{ mg/kg} \quad \text{skin} \quad \text{or} \\LC50 &\leq 10 \text{ ppm} \quad \text{inhalation} \\&\leq 0.1 \text{ mg/l}\end{aligned}$$

- b. If the chemical is determined to be toxic, use 1000 pounds.

For this hazard classification method, toxic is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}LD50 &5 < 50 \text{ mg/kg} \quad \text{oral} \quad \text{or} \\LD50 &1 < 200 \text{ mg/kg} \quad \text{skin} \quad \text{or} \\LC50 &10 < 200 \text{ ppm} \quad \text{inhalation} \\&0.1 < 2 \text{ mg/l}\end{aligned}$$

- c. If a chemical is determined to be moderately toxic, use 5000 pounds.

For this hazard classification method, moderately toxic is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}LD50 &50 \leq 500 \text{ mg/kg} \quad \text{oral} \quad \text{or} \\LD50 &200 \leq 1000 \text{ mg/kg} \quad \text{skin} \quad \text{or} \\LC50 &200 \leq 2000 \text{ ppm} \quad \text{inhalation} \\&2 \leq 20 \text{ mg/l}\end{aligned}$$

d. If a chemical is determined to be low toxicity, use 10,000 pounds.

For this hazard classification method, low toxicity is defined as:

LD50 > 500 mg/kg oral or

LD50 > 1000 mg/kg skin or

LC50 > 2000 ppm inhalation
> 20 mg/l

3. TQ for flammable liquids and gases use 10,000 pounds.

4. TQs for individual chemicals, see list below.

APPENDIX B NFPA CLASSIFICATIONS AND MATERIAL FACTORS

| Name | NFPA classification | | | Material factor | Name | NFPA classification | | | Material factor |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------|------------|-----------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------|------------|-----------------|
| | Health | Fire | Reactivity | | | Health | Fire | Reactivity | |
| Acetaldehyde | 2 | 4 | 2 | 24 | 1-Chlorobutane | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Acetic acid | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 | Chloroform | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acetic anhydride | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 | Chloromethylethyl ether | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Acetone | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0-Chloro phenol | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Acetonitrile | 2 | 3 | 1 | 16 | Chloropicrin | 4 | 0 | 3 | 29 |
| Acetyl chloride | 3 | 3 | 2 | 24 | 1-Chloropropane | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Acetyl peroxide | 1 | 2 | 4 | 40 | Chlorostyrene | 2 | 2 | 2 | 24 |
| Acetyl salicylic acid | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | Coumarin | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Acetylene | 1 | 4 | 3 | 29 | 0-Cresol | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Acrolein | 3 | 3 | 2 | 24 | Cumene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Acrylic acid | 3 | 2 | 2 | 24 | Cumene hydroperoxide | 1 | 2 | 4 | 40 |
| Acrylamide | 2 | 1 | 1 | 14 | Cyanuric acid | 2 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| Acrylonitrile | 4 | 3 | 2 | 24 | Cyclobutane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Allyl alcohol | 3 | 3 | 1 | 16 | Cyclohexane | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Allylamine | 3 | 3 | 1 | 16 | Cyclohexanol | 1 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Allyl chloride | 3 | 3 | 1 | 16 | Cyclopropane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Allyl ether | 3 | 3 | 2 | 24 | | | | | |
| Ammonia | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | Diesel fuel | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| tert-Amylacetate | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Dibutyl ether | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Aniline | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 0-Dichlorobenzene | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| | | | | | p-Dichlorobenzene | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Barium stearate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1,2-Dichloroethylene | 2 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Benzaldehyde | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 1,2-Dichloropropene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Benzene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 2,3-Dichloropropene-crude | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Benzoic acid | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3,5-Dichlorosalicylic acid | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Benzoyl chloride | 3 | 2 | 1 | 14 | Dicumyl peroxide | 0 | 2 | 3 | 29 |
| Benzoyl peroxide | 1 | 4 | 4 | 40 | Dicyclopentadiene | 1 | 3 | 1 | 16 |
| Bisphenol A | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 | Diethyl amine | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Bromobenzene | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 | Diethyl benzene | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Butane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 | Diethyl carbonate | 2 | 3 | 1 | 16 |
| 1,3-Butadiene | 2 | 4 | 2 | 24 | Diethyl peroxide | 0 | 4 | 4 | 40 |
| Butanol | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Diethanolamine | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 1-Butene | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 | Diethylene glycol | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| n-Butyl acetate | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Diethylamine mamine | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Butyl alcohol | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Diethyl ether | 2 | 4 | 1 | 21 |
| n-Butylamine | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Diisobutylene | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Butyl bromide | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Diisopropylbenzene | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| n-Butyl ether | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Dimethyl amine (anhy.) | 3 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| tert-Butyl hydroperoxide | 1 | 4 | 4 | 40 | 2,2-Dimethyl propanol | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Butyl nitrate | 1 | 3 | 3 | 29 | n-Dinitrobenzene | 3 | 1 | 4 | 40 |
| tert-Butyl peroxide | 1 | 3 | 3 | 29 | 2,4-Dinitro phenol | 3 | 1 | 4 | 40 |
| Butylene | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 | m-Dioxane | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Butylene oxide | 3 | 3 | 2 | 24 | Dioxolane | 2 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| | | | | | Diphenyl oxide | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Calcium carbide | 1 | 4 | 2 | 24 | Dipropylene glycol | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Calcium stearate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | Di-tert-Butyl peroxide | 1 | 3 | 4 | 40 |
| Carbon disulphide | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 | Divinyl benzene | 1 | 2 | 2 | 24 |
| Carbon monoxide | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 | Divinyl ether | 2 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Chlorine dioxide | 3 | 4 | 3 | 29 | | | | | |

| Name | NFPA classification | | | Material factor |
|--------------------------|---------------------|------|------------|-----------------|
| | Health | Fire | Reactivity | |
| Dowtherm A heat tr. agt. | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Epichlorohydrin | 3 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Ethane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| 2-Ethanolamine | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Ethyl acetate | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Ethyl acrylate | 2 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Ethyl alcohol | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Ethyl benzene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Ethyl bromide | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Ethyl chloride | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Ethylene | 1 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
| Ethylene carbonate | 2 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Ethylene diamine | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Ethylene dichloride | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Ethylene glycol | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Ethylene oxide | 2 | 4 | 3 | 24 |
| Ethylenimine | 3 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Ethyl nitrate | 2 | 4 | 4 | 40 |
| Ethylamine | 3 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Formaldehyde | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Glycerine | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Heptane | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Hexane | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| n-Hexanol | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Hydrazine | 3 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Hydrogen | 0 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Hydrogen sulphide | 3 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Isobutane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Isobutyl alcohol | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Isopentane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Isopropanol | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Isopropyl acetate | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Isopropyl chloride | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Isopropyl ether | 2 | 3 | 1 | 16 |
| Jet fuel | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Lauroyl peroxide | 0 | 2 | 3 | 29 |
| Maleic anhydride | 3 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Magnesium | 0 | 1 | 2 | 24 |
| Methane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Methanol | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Methyl acetate | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Methyl acrylene | 2 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
| Methyl amine | 3 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Methyl chloride | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Methyl chloracetate | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Methyl cyclohexane | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Methylene chloride | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Methyl ether | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |

| Name | NFPA classification | | | Material factor |
|------------------------|---------------------|------|------------|-----------------|
| | Health | Fire | Reactivity | |
| Methyl ethyl ketone | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Methyl hydrazine | 3 | 3 | 1 | 16 |
| Methyl isobutyl ketone | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Methyl mercaptan | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Methyl styrene | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Mineral oil | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Monochlorobenzene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Monoethanolamine | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Naphtha | 1 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Naphthalene | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Nitroethane | 1 | 3 | 3 | 29 |
| Nitroglycerine | 2 | 2 | 4 | 40 |
| Nitromethane | 1 | 3 | 4 | 40 |
| Nitropropane | 1 | 2 | 3 | 29 |
| 2-Nitrotoluene | 2 | 1 | 4 | 40 |
| Octane | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Pentane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Peracetic acid | 3 | 2 | 4 | 40 |
| Phenol | 3 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| P-Phenylphenol | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Potassium perchlorate | 1 | 0 | 2 | 24 |
| Propane | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Propargyl alcohol | 3 | 3 | 3 | 29 |
| Propargyl bromide | 4 | 3 | 4 | 40 |
| Propionitrile | 4 | 3 | 1 | 16 |
| Propylene | 1 | 4 | 1 | 21 |
| Propylene dichloride | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Propylene glycol | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Propylene oxide | 2 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
| Sodium dichromate | 1 | 0 | 1 | 14 |
| Stearic acid | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Styrene | 2 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Sulphur | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Sulphur dioxide | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Toluene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Trichloroethylene | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Triethanolamine | 2 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Triethylene glycol | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Triethyl aluminum | 3 | 3 | 3 | 29 |
| Triisobutyl aluminum | 3 | 3 | 3 | 29 |
| Triisopropanol amine | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Triisopropyl benzene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Trimethyl aluminum | 3 | 3 | 3 | 29 |
| Trimethyl amine | 2 | 4 | 0 | 21 |
| Tripropylamine | 2 | 2 | 0 | 10 |
| Vinyl acetate | 2 | 3 | 3 | 24 |
| Vinyl acetylene | | 4 | 3 | 29 |

| Name | NFPA classification | | | Material factor |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------|------------|-----------------|
| | Health | Fire | Reactivity | |
| Vinyl allyl ether | 2 | 3 | 3 | 24 |
| Vinyl benzyl chloride | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Vinyl chloride | 2 | 4 | 1 | 21 |
| Vinyl cyclohexane | 2 | 3 | 2 | 24 |
| Vinyl ethyl ether | 2 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
| Vinyl toluene | 2 | 2 | 1 | 14 |
| Vinylidene chloride | 2 | 4 | 2 | 24 |
| Xylene | 2 | 3 | 0 | 16 |
| Zinc stearate | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |

APPENDIX C

EXAMPLES OF CONSEQUENCE PRESENTATION FOR CHEMICAL HAZARD ASSESSMENT

Example 1: Chlorine Release - Discussion of Consequences

Chlorine can be dangerous to human health at concentrations of 10-20 parts per million (ppm) for an exposure of 30 minutes. The gas becomes fatal at concentrations of 100-150 ppm with exposure durations of 5-10 minutes. Exposure to chlorine for much shorter periods can be fatal at concentrations of 1000 ppm. In addition, The American Industrial Hygiene Association's Emergency Response Planning Guidelines (ERPG) for chlorine are:

- ERPG-1: 1 ppm
The maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed that nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing other than mild, transient adverse health effects or without perceiving a clearly defined objectionable odor.
- ERPG-2: 3 ppm
The maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed that nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing irreversible or other serious health effects or symptoms which could impair an individual's ability to take protective action.
- ERPG-3: 20 ppm
The maximum airborne concentration below which it is believed that nearly all individuals could be exposed for up to one hour without experiencing or developing life-threatening health effects.

Conclusion

The bounding accident analysis of the chlorine release for this facility showed that the peak airborne concentration of chlorine at the site boundary would be approximately 3 ppm. This concentration would be transient and would disperse to non-detectable levels within 5-10 minutes. Recent human test data have demonstrated that exposure to 1 ppm chlorine for up to one hour resulted in no more than slight transient effects. Consequently, based upon chlorine's toxicology, the acute, short-term exposure to chlorine experienced from this release would cause no more than transient irritation in exposed individuals.

Example 2: Chlorine Release - Tabular Presentation of Consequences

| <u>Airborne Concentration(ppm)</u> | <u>Duration(min)</u> | <u>Effect</u> |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | 60 min | slight transient irritation |
| 10-20 | 30 min | possible adverse health effects |
| 100-150 | 5-10 | fatal |
| 1000 | < 5 | fatal |
| ----- | | |
| 1 | up to 60 | ERPG-1: mild, transient health effects |
| 3 | up to 60 | ERPG-2: no irreversible health effects |
| 20 | up to 60 | ERPG-3: no life-threatening health effects |

Conclusion

The bounding accident analysis of the chlorine release for this facility showed that the peak airborne concentration of chlorine at the site boundary would be approximately 3 ppm. This concentration would be transient and would disperse to non-detectable levels within 5-10 minutes. Consequently, based upon chlorine's toxicology, the acute, short-term exposure to chlorine experienced from this release would cause no more than transient irritation in exposed individuals.

END

**DATE
FILMED**

5/05/93

