

Fudge: A High-Bandwidth Fusion Diagnostic of the NIF

M. J. Moran

This paper was prepared for submittal to the
12th Topical Conference on High-Temperature Plasma Diagnostics
Princeton, NJ
June 7-11, 1998

June 2, 1998



This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

FUDGE. A High-Bandwidth Fusion Diagnostic of the NIF

Michael J Moran

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Abstract

Diagnostics for the National Ignition Facility (NIF)/Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF) program must include good characterization of the fusion source. Ideally, diagnostics would measure the spatially-resolved history of the fusion reaction rate and temperature. Existing diagnostics can satisfy this goal only partially. One class of new techniques that could play a major role in high-yield diagnostics is measurements based on fusion γ rays. The FUSion Diagnostic Gamma Experiment (FUDGE) can be used to perform energy-resolved measurements of (D,T) fusion reaction rates. This diagnostic is based on the 16.7-MeV γ rays that are produced by (D,T) fusion. The γ rays are free of spectral dispersion and can be detected with a high bandwidth Cherenkov detector. A simple magnetic monochromator selects signals from the 16.7-MeV γ rays and reduces background signals from non-fusion γ rays.

Nuclear diagnostics will play a critical role in the success of future ICF experiments at the National Ignition Facility (NIF). Diagnostics must go beyond measurement of fusion yield to characterizing details of the fusion burn. Imaging and temporal measurements are important because they provide data for comparison with theoretical predictions. The comparisons show how details of fusion behavior depend on target and experiment design. These data will serve as the basis of an iterative process of design and experiment that will lead to optimization of fusion performance.

Neutron and x-ray images are important for understanding how to compress the target to the desired size and shape. Images provide a direct indication of the integrity of the compressed target during the fusion burn. Such data can give clear indications of high-quality performance, and also can provide evidence of imperfect target compression in unsuccessful experiments. Image data go far beyond indications of success or failure by indicating how the spatial distributions of the source might be related to performance in experiments. These results can suggest specific approaches for improving performance for subsequent experiments.

Burn-history measurements of emission rate can serve as indicators of the instantaneous source temperature and other details of behavior that are not evident in time-integrated images. In addition to following the instantaneous reaction rate, the data are highly sensitive to instantaneous temperature (rate $\propto T^5$). When compared with images, the reaction rate can be used to infer source temperature, or to provide independent indication of unexpected behavior. Again, as with images, time-dependent behavior can help to suggest approaches for improving system performance.

The NIF will require new burn-history diagnostics because the techniques that have been used traditionally no longer will be feasible. The relatively low fusion yields of previous experiments have made it possible to place detector components a few cm from the source, where temporal dispersion of neutron signals can be small.¹ In addition, streak cameras could be located a few tens of cm from the source without suffering serious radiation damage. At the NIF, physical constraints and high radiation doses in the target chamber will force diagnostic components to be located at distances of the order of meters from the source, where temporal broadening of neutron signals will render them useless.

One promising approach to burn-history measurements at the NIF is with diagnostics based on the 16.7-MeV γ ray that is associated with (D,T) fusion. This γ ray is ideal for measuring (D,T) fusion reaction rates because it is free of the temporal dispersion that frustrates neutron-based measurements. The presence of this γ ray is well known, although associated spectrum and "branching ratio" have proven difficult to measure accurately. The most recent measurement finds for ${}^3\text{H}(d,\gamma){}^5\text{He}/{}^3\text{H}(d,\alpha){}^1\text{H}$ a branching ratio of 1.2×10^{-4} , with the γ rays in two broad lines at 11.5 (FWHM \approx 7 MeV) and 16.7 (FWHM \approx 2 MeV) MeV.² This result is within the range of 2×10^{-5} to 3×10^{-4} that had been reported in previous measurements. This rather small branching ratio means that γ -ray measurements of (D,T) fusion reaction rates are practical only for intense short-pulse experiments. For weaker steady-state sources, neutron backgrounds make it extremely difficult to extract the desired γ -ray signal.

Several approaches have been used previously for designing a fusion γ -ray diagnostic. Filtered and heavily shielded scintillation detectors have been used in tokamak experiments.³ Cherenkov detectors and gas-Cherenkov detectors

have been discussed, sometimes in tandem with magnetic Compton spectrometers^{4,5,6} These attempts often were frustrated by small signals in the presence of large neutron backgrounds. With the large emission rates anticipated for NIF experiments, it becomes possible to choose a γ -ray diagnostic design having an excellent signal-to-background ratio with high-bandwidth signal recording

Several basic considerations constrain the design of a fusion γ -ray diagnostic. The instrument should provide sufficient energy discrimination to eliminate signals from lower-energy γ rays that are generated by $(n,n'\gamma)$ and other nuclear reactions. The diagnostic also must feature some form of energy dispersion, as the spectral resolution must be achieved in single-shot measurements. The detector should be fast and have sufficient sensitivity to measure the rays. These requirements can be met by a magnetic Compton spectrometer with a Cherenkov detector.

The basic layout of an appropriate Compton spectrometer is shown in Fig. 1. Here, γ rays scatter electrons from a (γ,e^-) converter target. The recoil electrons, after energy selection in a sector magnet, are detected in a high-gain, high-bandwidth Cherenkov detector. The three components (the (γ,e^-) converter, the sector magnet, and the Cherenkov detector) can be designed for optimum performance from the overall system.

The (γ,e^-) converter, although very simple, offers choices with respect to scattering cross section and type of material. At a first glance, the rather large total cross section associated with pair production would suggest that thin, high-Z targets be used as the converter (Z is the atomic number of the target material). However, multiple scattering, energy loss, and loss of spectral signature are

significant disadvantages for pair production. Pair production increases with Z^2 , but so does multiple scattering. Energy loss in dense, high-Z materials compounds the undesirable effects of multiple scattering. The flat energy spectrum of electrons from pair production reduces the benefits that might be obtained from spectral resolution

Compton scattering is a (γ, e^-) converter mechanism which, in spite of its smaller total cross section, lends itself nicely to a fusion- γ diagnostic. The differential cross section (i.e., the Klein-Nishina formula), peaks in the forward direction with an angular width of about $1/E\gamma$. For 16.7-MeV γ s, the majority of the recoil electrons are in a narrow (width $\approx 3^\circ$) forward-directed cone with energies near that of the original γ ray. Thick low-Z Compton converters maximize the conversion efficiency while minimizing the effects of multiple scattering

Figure 1 shows a comparison of (γ, e^-) conversion for 16.7-MeV photons in targets that optimize the efficiency for pair production and Compton scattering. The pair-production target is 0.5 mm of uranium, while the Compton target is 5 mm of beryllium. The distributions are calculated with the Gluckstern et al.⁷ formulation for pair production and the Klein-Nishina formula⁸ for Compton scattering. The targets are divided into about ten thin layers. Electrons scattered from individual layers are transported, using energy loss⁹ and multiple scattering¹⁰ to estimate contributions to the overall conversion flux from the converter. The distribution has 0.5-MeV energy increments and 3-degree angular bins

The results show that the larger total cross section of pair production produces a distribution that cannot be collected efficiently either in space or in energy.

The total cross section for Compton scattering, although about 16 times smaller, is directed into a narrow beam with good energy definition. The forward-directed peak is about twice as intense and the pair-production peak. Thus, in a diagnostic where good energy discrimination and well-defined trajectories are required, Compton scattering is the desirable choice

The recoil electron beam must be collected and energy analyzed efficiently in order to achieve good performance. This function is accomplished with a sector-magnet dipole.⁴ With a deflection angle of 30 degrees, spectral dispersion can isolate the desired broad band at about 16 MeV. The deflection trajectories function also as a collecting lens. With slight non-normal incidence on the magnet, the magnet functions as a cylindrical lens in the vertical direction. Figure 2 illustrates the electron optics of the a system

This compact design has sufficient energy resolution to collect the desired electron energies, while rejecting lower-energy electrons strongly. Figure 2 shows that 8-MeV electron will be very strongly deflected, and electrons with still lower energies will be unable to exit the magnet assembly. Since the most of the photon background will have energies below 8 MeV, the magnet will serve as an effective background shield.

The data in Figure 1 above can be used to estimate the overall (γ, e^-) Compton conversion efficiency. Assuming a converter with an area of 2 cm² located 2.5 m from the source, the solid-angle fraction is 1.25×10^{-6} . The recoil electron distribution has a peak with widths of about six degrees and 0.5 MeV, but the peak represents only about 15% of the total cross section. Thus, referring to Fig. 1, Compton conversion into the collimated peak has an efficiency of about $10^{-3} e^-/\gamma$. The sector magnet should be able to collect this beam with an efficiency

greater than 10%. Combining these factors gives an overall efficiency of about 1.25×10^{-10} electrons/16.7-MeV γ . This result can be used to determine the viability of the diagnostic. The system can produce a useful signal only if there is a statistically significant number of electrons. If we take 100 as the minimum number of electrons that can provide a measure of burn width, then the diagnostic is viable for (D,T) fusion neutron yields greater than about 10^{16} .

The nature of the signal depends on the method used to detect the electrons. A Cherenkov radiator optimized for electrons in this energy range can produce more than 500 visible photons per electron.¹¹ If the photons are detected with a fast MCP, then the signal will be a current pulse that can be recorded. Figure 3 illustrates a compact design for a FUDGE diagnostic with an MCP as the photodetector. With 100 electrons, an MCP gain of 10, a pulse width of 500 picoseconds (ps), the pulse will have a peak of about 2 amps. This signal sounds very large, but it still represents only 100 initial Compton electrons. MCP response times today can be as short as 140 ps,¹² so burn widths of a few hundred picoseconds can be recorded with this approach.

Higher bandwidth data can be recorded with a streak camera, at some possible expense in sensitivity. The challenge with this approach is to image enough of the Cherenkov photons onto the streak-camera slit to have a statistically significant measurement. Assuming a photocathode efficiency of 10%, approximately 1000 photons must be imaged onto the slit. The assumptions above provide 5×10^4 photons from the Cherenkov radiator, so the Cherenkov image must have a transport efficiency of about 0.2%. This efficiency is feasible with a 50- μm streak camera slit, f/3 optics and a 1-cm beam of electrons incident on the Cherenkov cone. Thus, incorporation of a streak camera might not compromise the overall system efficiency.

The analysis above is intended only to demonstrate the feasibility of designing diagnostic for high-bandwidth recording of a fusion γ -ray signal. This is a compound system with multiple convolved distributions, so detailed predictions of system performance are difficult to calculate. This is a case where, ultimately, the performance of a FUDGE diagnostic must be calibrated on well-characterized γ -ray sources

This work was performed under the auspices of the U S Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract number W-7405-ENG-48.

References

- 1) R.A. Lerche, D.W. Phillion, and G L. Tietbohl, Rev. Sci. Instr. **66**, 933 (1995).
- 2) J E. Kammeraad, J Hall, K. Sale, C.A. Barnes, S E Kellogg, and T R. Wang, et al., Phys Rev C **47**, 29 (1993).
- 3) S.S Medley, A.L. Roquemore, and F.E Cecil, Rev. Sci. Inst **63**, 4857 (1992), and references therein
- 4) M.J. Moran, Rev. Sci Inst., **56** 1067 (1985).
- 5) K W Wenzel, R.D Petrasso, D H Lo, C.K Li, J W. Coleman, and J.R Lierzer, Rev. Sci. Inst. **63**, 4840 (1992)
- 6) R A Lerche, M.D Cable, and P.G. Dendooven, 12th International Conference on Laser Interaction and Related Phenomina, Osaka, Japan, (1995), and R A. Lerche and M.D. Cable, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report UCRL-LR-105821, 115 (1996)
- 7) R.L. Gluckstern and M.H. Hull, Jr., Phys Rev. **90**, 1030 (1953).
- 8) A.T. Nelms, National Bureau of Standards Circular 542 (1953).
- 9) L Pages, E. Bertel, H Joffre, and L Sklavenitis, Atomic Data **4**, 1 (1972).
- 10) E. Segrè, *Experimental Nuclear Physics*, **1**, John Wiley & Sons (1953).
- 11) K-P Lewis,, M.J Moran, and J. Hall, Rev. Sci Inst **63**, 1988 (1992)
- 12) We have demonstrated this response time with measurements of a subpicosecond laser, using a Hamamatsu 2566 MCP and Tektronix SCD5000 transient digitizer




Figure 1 Plots of the scattered electron distribution show that Compton scattering can produce higher spectral-intensity peaks than pair production.




Figure 2 A simple 30° deflection sector dipole magnet can collect recoil electrons efficiently




Figure 3 The overall FUDGE assembly can be compact. Here, a photomultiplier detects Cherenkov photons from energy-selected Compton electrons

Technical Information Department • Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
University of California • Livermore, California 94551

