

53  
8-15-95 JS(2)

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY,  
UNDER CONTRACT DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073

PPPL-3104  
UC-426,427

PPPL-3104

HIGH- $\beta$  DISRUPTION IN TOKAMAKS


BY

W. PARK, E.D. FREDRICKSON, A. JANOS, ET AL.

JULY 1995

**PPPL**

PRINCETON  
PLASMA PHYSICS  
LABORATORY



PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

## **NOTICE**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial produce, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

## **NOTICE**

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.  
Available in paper copy and microfiche.

Number of pages in this report: 20

DOE and DOE contractors can obtain copies of this report from:

Office of Scientific and Technical Information  
P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831;  
(615) 576-8401.

This report is publicly available from the:

National Technical Information Service  
Department of Commerce  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, Virginia 22161  
(703) 487-4650

## **DISCLAIMER**

**Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.**

## High- $\beta$ Disruption in Tokamaks

W. Park, E.D. Fredrickson, A. Janos, J. Manickam, W.M. Tang

*Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University*

*P.O. Box 451, Princeton, NJ 08543*

### Abstract

Three dimensional MHD simulations of high- $\beta$  plasmas show that toroidally localized high- $n$  ballooning modes can be driven unstable by the local pressure steepening which arises from the evolution of low- $n$  modes. Nonlinearly, the high- $n$  mode becomes even more localized and produces a strong local pressure bulge which destroys the flux surfaces resulting in a thermal quench. The flux surfaces then recover temporarily but now contain large magnetic islands. This scenario is supported by experimental data.

PACS numbers: 52.55.Fa, 52.65.+z, 52.35.Py, 52.30.-q

*Submitted to Phys.Rev.Lett.*

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

*SP*  
MASTER

In the record-setting deuterium-tritium discharges in TFTR, performance is limited by MHD activity, the most dangerous type being the major disruption. This event causes the loss of the confined thermal energy during the thermal quench phase and then the loss of plasma current during the current quench phase.<sup>1,2</sup> For larger tokamaks like ITER, a major disruption can cause substantial damage to the first wall.

In this letter, we use the nonlinear 3D MHD code, MH3D,<sup>3</sup> to study high- $\beta$  disruptions; i.e., major disruptions near the  $\beta$  limit. First we obtain a 2D toroidally symmetric equilibrium state using the safety factor  $q$  and pressure profiles which correspond to a representative experimental case. The case presented here has  $q_o = 0.92$  at the axis,  $q_w = 4$  at the boundary, and  $\epsilon\beta_p \equiv 8\pi\epsilon \int p ds / \mu_o I_t^2 = 0.3$  with the aspect ratio  $R/a = 2.9$ . From this 2D equilibrium, a linear  $n = 1$  mode with a dominant  $m = 1$  component is obtained as shown in Fig. 1 which plots the stream lines of the incompressible part of the velocity ( $m$  and  $n$  are the poloidal and toroidal mode numbers). This ideal mode nonlinearly evolves to a helically distorted 3D equilibrium as shown in Fig. 2. This figure shows the equi-pressure contours on poloidal plane at three different toroidal angles. The maximum pressure gradient occurs at the toroidal angle  $\phi = 0$  plane where the local steepening of the pressure gradient occurs in the bad curvature region (the outboard region).<sup>4</sup>

The MH3D code is modified to be able to calculate a mixed- $n$  linear eigenmode evolving from such a 3D equilibrium. A rapidly growing linear ideal mode is obtained as shown in Fig. 3 which plots the stream lines (a) on the poloidal plane and (b) on the mid-plane of the torus. The mode is localized at the peak pressure gradient at the outboard region. Its structure in this region has a well defined local  $n$  number (about 9), even though the global mode has a broad spectrum with  $m = -1$  to 20 and  $n = 5$  to 14. A similar mechanism for this type of linear mode development has been suggested

in analytic studies,<sup>5,6</sup> and some of the associated features have been experimentally observed.<sup>7</sup> The crucial question which will be investigated in the following deals with the nonlinear development of this toroidally localized high- $n$  mode; i.e., whether it will cause a disruption, or just cause a local enhancement of dissipation due to its radial localization, or even saturate harmlessly as in the low- $n$  case.

For the nonlinear evolution of the localized mode, we study a case where the  $q$  profile is slightly raised from the previous case such that the plasma does not contain a  $q = 1$  surface. This is because with a  $q = 1$  surface present, the present resistive MHD model would have evolved the low- $n$  mode through a complete reconnection process instead of the experimentally observed mode saturation. The nonlinear behavior with the present  $q$  profile is similar to the experimentally observed trends which show a saturation of  $n = 1$  mode probably due to effects associated with energetic particles<sup>8</sup> and diamagnetic drifts.<sup>9</sup> The present study focuses on the behavior of plasmas with  $q_0$  slightly greater than one. This is of special interest because the key character of the nonlinear evolution of high- $n$  mode is an increasing localization as we will see below, which should not be sensitive to slight changes in the global  $q$  values.

Even though  $q_0$  is slightly larger than one, the linear phase of the calculations yield results similar to the previous case. This is because the mode is driven by the pressure gradient. The nonlinear calculation shows that the steep localized pressure gradient, which gives rise to this toroidally localized high- $n$  mode, becomes even more steep nonlinearly. This causes the nonlinear mode to be increasingly localized producing a local pressure bulge which strongly pushes into the outer region of plasma as shown in Fig. 4, which plots the temporal evolution of the pressure contours at  $\phi = 0$ . The local pressure bulge continues to push into the outer region of plasma until it causes most of the plasma region to become stochastic and thereby produce a thermal quench. Fig. 5 shows the

pressure contours on the midplane of the torus at the last phase of Fig. 4.

The novel nonlinear behavior just described can be understood from an examination of the linear eigenmode shown in Fig. 3. This fastest growing eigenmode arising from the 3D equilibrium can have either a positive or a negative sign. Since the positive sign gives an outboard direction of flow at the position of the local steep pressure gradient, it will further steepen this gradient and make it more localized. This accordingly provide a stronger drive to the mode.

There are several ways in which the nonlinearly steepened pressure gradient ultimately relaxes. One path is the thermal quench process just described. Other possible mechanisms include a sawtooth crash, and to a lesser extent, a fishbone event. The pressure gradient can also be relieved without a thermal quench if it touches large neighboring magnetic islands.

Figure 6 shows the simulated ECE signal obtained using a finite amplitude eigenmode from the calculation using the original  $q$  profile. The signal is produced by two toroidal rotations with 15 detector sites with the lowest line corresponding to  $r = -0.9$  at the inboard region and the uppermost line to  $r = 0.9$  at the outboard region. The high- $n$  oscillations are only seen when the underlying  $n = 1$  signal is at the maximum phase because this mode is strongly localized toroidally. The calculated signals are in good agreement with the experimental ECE data shown in Fig. 7. Specifically, note that the experimental signal shows similar high- $n$  oscillations superposed on the maximum phase of the  $n = 1$  signal. This confirms that the "bursts" of the high- $n$  mode are actually due to a steadily growing localized high- $n$  mode driven by a nonlinear  $n = 1$  distortion. It is only seen when the toroidally localized mode structure comes into view of the ECE detector thereby giving the bursting behavior.

Figure 8 shows the calculated ECE signals from the later two nonlinear states shown

in Fig. 4. Only the outboard ECE detector signals are shown. At the later time, the signals clearly indicate that the mode is even more localized and a local pressure bulge is pushing its way toward the boundary. These characteristics are also seen in the experimental ECE data, although this later phase is usually masked by a non-thermal ECE emission. These results give additional support to the conclusion that it is the toroidally localized high- $n$  mode and not the low- $n$  mode which causes the thermal quench.

After the thermal quench, a 95% reduction of pressure in the simulation causes the flux surfaces to recover. However, they contain large magnetic islands in the outer region as shown in Fig. 9. (The total pressure loss is usually less than 95%, but the behavior is similar.) This indicates that there exists some pressure confinement in the central region in the post thermal quench phase. This also agrees with the experimental observation of some pressure recovery in the central region. Such behavior can be understood by the fact that at small  $\beta$ , the plasma is stable to ideal modes while unstable to resistive modes if the perturbation is large enough. The large perturbation resulting from the thermal quench phase destabilizes the resistive ballooning mode, overcoming the layer stabilization effect of Glasser, Green, Johnson.<sup>10</sup>

Resistive mode destabilization following a thermal quench, a minor disruption, or a fast  $\beta$ -collapse is a common occurrence not only in TFTR but also in other large tokamaks. In JT-60U, for example, a large  $m/n=2/1$  mode is destabilized right after a fast  $\beta$ -collapse, even though the pressure is much less than pre- $\beta$ -collapse plasmas where the resistive mode is stable.<sup>11</sup> These trends support the conclusion that the layer stabilization is in effect (overcoming even the neoclassical destabilization) and provides threshold amplitude for the destabilization of resistive modes. This can also explain why the good(quiet) discharges in large tokamaks are limited by an ideal mode criterion.

The likely final phase of a major disruption occurs when  $Z_{eff}$  becomes high, and the plasma becomes very cold due to line radiation. Since the loop voltage in the experiment is not large enough to sustain the plasma current, the current quench phase sets in to terminate the discharge.

In conclusion, the principal results of this paper can be summarized as follows. As a high  $\beta$  plasma reaches the ideal mode limit, a low  $n$  mode is first destabilized and the plasma nonlinearly evolves toward a 3D equilibrium containing a localized steep pressure gradient in the bad curvature region. This pressure gradient then drives a new toroidally localized high- $n$  mode. This mode becomes even more localized nonlinearly, producing a local pressure bulge which strongly pushes into the outer region of the plasma resulting in a thermal quench. When the high- $n$  mode occurs together with sawtooth or fishbone oscillations, it can be less dangerous. After the thermal quench phase, the flux surfaces recover but they contain large magnetic islands. This gives some thermal confinement in the central region and agrees with experimental observations, supporting that a layer stabilization of resistive modes was in effect in the pre-thermal quench plasma.

Finally, it is appropriate to comment on the other type of high- $\beta$  disruption which is preceded by a slow  $\beta$ -collapse rather than a thermal quench (fast  $\beta$ -collapse). Although rare in TFTR, this type is more common in other large tokamaks. When the low- $n$  mode does not destabilize the toroidally localized high- $n$  mode, low- $n$  magnetic islands will develop on a resistive time scale resulting in a slow degradation of the confinement. (This can happen, for example, when the low magnetic shear region is small, thereby limiting the saturation amplitude of a low- $n$  mode.) When the outer flux surfaces are finally destroyed, a major disruption will occur.

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-76-CHO-3073.

## References

- <sup>1</sup>K. McGuire and the TFTR Group, *Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research* (IAEA, Vienna, 1986), Vol. 1, p.421.
- <sup>2</sup>E.D. Fredrickson et al., Submitted to *Phys. Plasmas* (1995).
- <sup>3</sup>W. Park et al., *Phys. Fluids B* **4**, 2033 (1992).
- <sup>4</sup>W. Park et al., *Phys. Fluids B* **3**, 507 (1991).
- <sup>5</sup>M.N. Bussac and R. Pellat, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 2650 (1987).
- <sup>6</sup>C.C. Hegna and J.D. Callen, *Phys. Fluids B* **4**, 3031 (1992).
- <sup>7</sup>Y. Nagayama et al., *Phys. Fluids B* **5**, 2571 (1993).
- <sup>8</sup>R.B. White et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 539 (1989).
- <sup>9</sup>L. Zakharov et al., *Phys. Fluids B* **5**, 2498 (1993).
- <sup>10</sup>A.H. Glasser, J.M. Green, and J.L. Johnson, *Phys. Fluids* **18**, 875 (1975).
- <sup>11</sup>S. Ishida and Y. Kamada, Private communications.

## Figures

FIG. 1. The stream lines of the linear eigenmode.

FIG. 2. Pressure contours of the 3D equilibrium.

FIG. 3. The stream lines of a mixed  $n$  linear eigenmode; (a) on the poloidal plane and  
(b) on the mid-plane of the torus.

FIG. 4. The nonlinear time development of the pressure.

FIG. 5. The pressure contours on the midplane of the torus.

FIG. 6. The theoretical ECE signals.

FIG. 7. The experimental ECE signals.

FIG. 8. The calculated ECE signals in the later phase.

FIG. 9. The reformed flux surfaces.




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6





Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION IN ADDITION TO UC-420

Dr. F. Paoloni, Univ. of Wollongong, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. R.C. Cross, Univ. of Sydney, AUSTRALIA  
 Plasma Research Lab., Australian Nat. Univ., AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. I.R. Jones, Flinders Univ, AUSTRALIA  
 Prof. F. Cap, Inst. for Theoretical Physics, AUSTRIA  
 Prof. M. Heindler, Institut für Theoretische Physik, AUSTRIA  
 Prof. M. Goossens, Astronomisch Instituut, BELGIUM  
 Ecole Royale Militaire, Lab. de Phy. Plasmas, BELGIUM  
 Commission-European, DG. XII-Fusion Prog., BELGIUM  
 Prof. R. Bouciqué, Rijksuniversiteit Gent, BELGIUM  
 Dr. P.H. Sakanaka, Instituto Fisica, BRAZIL  
 Prof. Dr. I.C. Nascimento, Instituto Fisica, Sao Paulo, BRAZIL  
 Instituto Nacional De Pesquisas Espaciais-INPE, BRAZIL  
 Documents Office, Atomic Energy of Canada Ltd., CANADA  
 Ms. M. Morin, CCFM/Tokamak de Varennes, CANADA  
 Dr. M.P. Bachynski, MPB Technologies, Inc., CANADA  
 Dr. H.M. Skarsgard, Univ. of Saskatchewan, CANADA  
 Prof. J. Teichmann, Univ. of Montreal, CANADA  
 Prof. S.R. Sreenivasan, Univ. of Calgary, CANADA  
 Prof. R. Marchand, INRS-Energie et Materiaux, CANADA  
 Dr. R. Bolton, Centre canadien de fusion magnétique, CANADA  
 Dr. C.R. James,, Univ. of Alberta, CANADA  
 Dr. P. Lukác, Komenského Univerzita, CZECHO-SLOVAKIA  
 The Librarian, Culham Laboratory, ENGLAND  
 Library, R61, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, ENGLAND  
 Mrs. S.A. Hutchinson, JET Library, ENGLAND  
 Dr. S.C. Sharma, Univ. of South Pacific, FIJI ISLANDS  
 P. Mähönen, Univ. of Helsinki, FINLAND  
 Prof. M.N. Bussac, Ecole Polytechnique,, FRANCE  
 C. Mouttet, Lab. de Physique des Milieux Ionisés, FRANCE  
 J. Radet, CEN/CADARACHE - Bat 506, FRANCE  
 Prof. E. Economou, Univ. of Crete, GREECE  
 Ms. C. Rinni, Univ. of Ioannina, GREECE  
 Preprint Library, Hungarian Academy of Sci., HUNGARY  
 Dr. B. DasGupta, Saha Inst. of Nuclear Physics, INDIA  
 Dr. P. Kaw, Inst. for Plasma Research, INDIA  
 Dr. P. Rosenau, Israel Inst. of Technology, ISRAEL  
 Librarian, International Center for Theo Physics, ITALY  
 Miss C. De Palo, Associazione EURATOM-ENEA, ITALY  
 Dr. G. Grosso, Istituto di Fisica del Plasma, ITALY  
 Prof. G. Rostangni, Istituto Gas Ionizzati Del Cnr, ITALY  
 Dr. H. Yamato, Toshiba Res & Devel Center, JAPAN  
 Prof. I. Kawakami, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN  
 Prof. K. Nishikawa, Hiroshima Univ., JAPAN  
 Librarian, Naka Fusion Research Establishment, JAERI, JAPAN  
 Director, Japan Atomic Energy Research Inst., JAPAN  
 Prof. S. Itoh, Kyushu Univ., JAPAN  
 Research Info. Ctr., National Instit. for Fusion Science, JAPAN  
 Prof. S. Tanaka, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN  
 Library, Kyoto Univ., JAPAN  
 Prof. N. Inoue, Univ. of Tokyo, JAPAN  
 Secretary, Plasma Section, Electrotechnical Lab., JAPAN  
 Dr. O. Mitarai, Kumamoto Inst. of Technology, JAPAN  
 Dr. G.S. Lee, Korea Basic Sci. Ctr., KOREA  
 J. Hyeon-Sook, Korea Atomic Energy Research Inst., KOREA  
 D.I. Choi, The Korea Adv. Inst. of Sci. & Tech., KOREA  
 Leandro Melendez Lugo, Inst. Nac'l. de Inves. Nucl, MEXICO  
 Prof. B.S. Liley, Univ. of Waikato, NEW ZEALAND  
 Inst of Physics, Chinese Acad Sci PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA  
 Library, Inst. of Plasma Physics, PEOPLE'S REP. OF CHINA  
 Tsinghua Univ. Library, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 Z. Li, S.W. Inst Physics, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA  
 Prof. J.A.C. Cabral, Instituto Superior Tecnico, PORTUGAL  
 Prof. M.A. Hellberg, Univ. of Natal, S. AFRICA  
 Prof. D.E. Kim, Pohang Inst. of Sci. & Tech., SO. KOREA  
 Prof. C.I.E.M.A.T, Fusion Division Library, SPAIN  
 Dr. L. Stenflo, Univ. of UMEA, SWEDEN  
 Library, Royal Inst. of Technology, SWEDEN  
 Prof. H. Wilhelmson, Chalmers Univ. of Tech., SWEDEN  
 Centre Phys. Des Plasmas, Ecole Polytech, SWITZERLAND  
 Bibliotheek, Inst. Voor Plasma-Fysica, THE NETHERLANDS  
 Asst. Prof. Dr. S. Cakir, Middle East Tech. Univ., TURKEY  
 Dr. V.A. Glukhikh, Sci. Res. Inst. Electrophys. I Apparatus, USSR  
 Dr. D.D. Ryutov, Siberian Branch of Academy of Sci., USSR  
 Dr. G.A. Eliseev, I.V. Kurchatov Inst., USSR  
 Librarian, The Ukr.SSR Academy of Sciences, USSR  
 Dr. L.M. Kovrizhnykh, Inst. of General Physics, USSR  
 Kernforschungsanlage GmbH, Zentralbibliothek, W. GERMANY  
 Bibliothek, Inst. Für Plasmaforschung, W. GERMANY  
 Prof. K. Schindler, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, W. GERMANY  
 Dr. F. Wagner, (ASDEX), Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY  
 Librarian, Max-Planck-Institut, W. GERMANY