

- ¹⁵⁸ “Waste Cleanup, Defense Operations Top Priority, Watkins Testifies,” *DOE This Month*, 12 (March 1989), 1; “Watkins Takes Command, Sets Priorities,” *Inside Energy* (March 6, 1989), 1, 4.
- ¹⁵⁹ “President Bush Cites Plant Modernization as Top Energy Department Challenge; Attends Watkins Swearing-in Ceremony,” *DOE This Month*, 12 (April 1989), 1, 5; Watkins quoted in “Bush, Watkins Use Induction to Boost DOE Morale and Credibility,” *Inside Energy* (March 13, 1989), 6.
- ¹⁶⁰ “Secretary of Energy Moves to Strengthen Waste Management Operations at DOE Facilities,” *DOE NEWS*, R-89-034, March 24, 1989.
- ¹⁶¹ “Energy Secretary Watkins Announces New Line Management Accountability,” *DOE This Month*, 12 (June 1989); Secretary of Energy Notice, Subject: Departmental Organization and Management Arrangements, SEN-6-89, May 19, 1989; Secretary of Energy Notice, Subject: Setting the New DOE Course, SEN-11-89, September 5, 1989.
- ¹⁶² “Watkins Announces Ten-Point Plan for Environmental Protection, Waste Management,” *DOE News*, R-89-068 (June 27, 1989); “Secretary of Energy Announces Completion of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management Five-Year Plan,” *DOE News*, R-89-086 (August 1, 1989); Secretary of Energy Notice, Subject: Establishment of the Office of Environmental Restoration and Waste Management, SEN-13-89, November 9, 1989.
- ¹⁶³ *New York Times*, June 7, 1989; Watkins quoted in *New York Times*, June 28, 1989.
- ¹⁶⁴ “Watkins Says He’s Making Headway at DOE,” *Inside Energy* (January 15, 1990), 1-2.
- ¹⁶⁵ Robert Pool, “Fusion Breakthrough?” *Science*, 243 (March 31, 1989), 1661-62; “DOE Labs to Research Cold Fusion; Panel to Review Research to Date,” *DOE News*, R-89-046, April 21, 1989; “Legal Concerns Stall Los Alamos Lab’s Collaboration in Cold Fusion,” *Inside Energy* (May 22, 1989), 6.
- ¹⁶⁶ “Watkins Cold Fusion Panel Unconvinced by Pons-Fleischmann Claims,” *Inside Energy* (July 17, 1989), 7; “Divided Advisers Insert Disclaimer in Critical Cold Fusion Report,” *Inside Energy* (November 13, 1989), 3.
- ¹⁶⁷ Magnetic confinement fusion is the traditional approach requiring powerful machines using magnetic fields to force hydrogen atoms to fuse and leading to a self-sustaining reaction. Inertial confinement fusion, a younger technology, uses an array of lasers to compress tiny target spheres, causing deuterium and tritium atoms to fuse. Since the early 1950s, some \$6.1 billion had been spent on magnetic fusion. The Department’s efforts on laser fusion had thus far been confined to defense programs.
- ¹⁶⁸ Mark Crawford, “Fusion Plan Ignites Controversy at DOE,” *Science*, 244 (June 23, 1989), 1434-35; Crawford, “Hot Fusion Plans Get Icy Reception,” *Science*, 246 (October 13, 1989), 207; Crawford, “Hot Fusion: A Meltdown in Political Support,” *Science*, 247 (March 30, 1990), 1534-35.
- ¹⁶⁹ “Advisors Recommend Doubling Fusion Budget,” *Inside Energy* (September 3, 1990), 1; “Fusion Fans Keep Fighting,” *Science*, 250 (October 12, 1990), 205-6.
- ¹⁷⁰ Crawford, “U.S. Fusion Program: Struggling to Stay in the Game,” *Science*, 250 (December 14, 1990), 1501; David P. Hamilton, “The Fusion Community Picks up the Pieces,” *Science*, 255 (March 6, 1992), 1203-4; U.S. Department of Energy, *National Energy Strategy: Powerful Ideas for America, First Edition, 1991/1992* (Washington: February 1991), pp. 132-33; U.S. Department of Energy, *National Energy Strategy: One Year Later* (Washington: February 1992), pp. 40-42; *Washington Post*, April 21, 1992.